

ORIENTAL JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY

An International Open Free Access, Peer Reviewed Research Journal

www.orientjchem.org

ISSN: 0970-020 X CODEN: OJCHEG 2018, Vol. 34, No.(5): Pg. 2425-2434

Development and Validation of Rapid, Sensitive RP-UPLC Method for Determination of Related Impurities in dexrabeprazole sodium

SANTOSH. T. KHADANGALE,^{1,2} VITTHAL. M. DHALAPE,^{1,2} and RAHUL. V. PINJARI^{1*}

¹School of Chemical Sciences, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded 431606, India. ²Analytical Research Centre, Emcure Pharmaceuticals Limited, Hinjawadi, Pune 411057, India. *Corresponding author E-mail: rvp.chem@srtmun.ac.in

http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/ojc/340526

(Received: August 13, 2018; Accepted: August 21, 2018)

ABSTRACT

Dexrabeprazole sodium (DEX•Na) is an active pharmaceutical ingredient and used as proton pump inhibitor. A reverse phase (RP) ultra-performance liquid chromatographic (UPLC) method is developed for determination of related impurities in DEX•Na and this method is validated using ICH guideline. This method was developed using BEH C18 (2.1 X 50 mm) 1.7 µm column and gradient program for mobile phase. Mobile phase A contains 99% phosphate buffer of pH ~7.0 and 1% acetonitrile, where as mobile phase B is the methanol and acetonitrile in 95:5 ratio. The 0.45ml/min. total flow rate, 3.0 µl injection volume, 40°C column oven temperature and 284 nm detection wavelength are used with sampling rate of 5 points per second. The DEX•Na peak is well separated from its known and unknown impurities. The run time of the method is reduced to 10 min. which is ~11 times smaller than methods reported in literature. The method is accurate and precise as well as linear in the range of LOQ to 150% level with respect to specification concentration limit of impurities. The stress conditions to DEX•Na revealed that drug is stable in alkaline medium, while it is sensitive to acidic and oxidative condition. The new method is sensitive, precise, accurate, and rapid; it also qualifies all the criteria of linearity, stability, as well as robustness.

Keywords: Dexrabeprazole sodium (DEX•Na), Rapid, Sensitive, Stability indicating, RP-UPLC, Lower level quantification and detection.

INTRODUCTION

Dexrabeprazole sodium (DEX•Na)is R(+)-isomer of Rabeprazole, (2-[[[4-(3-methoxy propoxy)-3-methyl-2pyridinyl]-methyl] sulfinyl] 1H-benzimidazole).^{1,2,3} It belongs to a class of anti-secretory compounds that exhibit neither anti cholinergic nor histamine H2 receptor antagonist properties. However, DEX•Na suppresses gastric acid secretion by inhibiting the gastric H⁺/K⁺ AT Pase at the secretory surface gastric parietal cells.4 DEX•Na is thus a proton pump inhibitor and used to treat backward flow of acid from the stomach (gastroesophageal reflux disease), which causes heart-burn and possible injury of the oesophagus.⁵ It heals oesophagus and prevent



This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits unrestricted BY NC SA Non Commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

further damage. Several factors viz. the stability of the active ingredient, the manufacturing process, the environmental conditions (such as heat, light and moisture during storage) and chemical reactions (oxidation, reduction and hydrolysis) may affect the stability of a pharmaceutical product.⁶ Rabeprazole (RAB), conjugated acid of DEX•Na, has been reported as thermal, acidic and photo sensitive^{7,10} Several HPLC methods using UV and PDA detectors are reported for these related substances in DEX•Na (see Table 1).^{11,12} The reverse phase (RP) HPLC method developed by Kumar *et al.*, has 85 min. run time and LOQ for the related impurities between 0.020 % to 0.036 %.¹¹ In another article, the analytical method has achieved good sensitivity and relatively lower LOQ (0.005 - 0.014%), however with longer run time (90 min.).¹² Thus the present article reports the development and validation of the RP-UPLC method for related substances of DEX•Na with higher sensitivity, lower levels of detection and quantification with considerably shorter run time

Name	Chemical Name	Chemical Structure	Туре
Dexrabeprazole Sodium (DEX•Na)	1H-Benzimidazole, 2-[[[4- (3-methoxypropoxy)-3- methyl-2-pyridinyl]methyl] sulfinyl]-, sodium salt.	Dexrabeprazole sodium	Active pharmaceutical Ingredient.
4-Pyridinone impurity	1-(1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-3-methyl -4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine- 2-carboxylic acid		Process and degradant
Rabeprazole N-oxide	2-[[(1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) sulfinyl]methyl]-4- (3-methoxypropoxy)-3- methylpyridine 1-oxide		Process Related impurity
Rabeprazole Methoxy analog	2-[[(4-Methoxy-3-methyl-2- pyridyl) methyl] sulfinyl] benzimidazole		Process Related impurity
Rabeprazole Sulfone analog	2- [{(4-Methoxy propoxy)-3- methyl pyridine-2-yl} methyl sulphone]-1H-benzimidazole	CH O O N N S C O O OMe	Process and degradant
Rabeprazole Chloroanalog	2-[[(4-Chloro-3-methyl-2-pyridyl) methyl]sulfinyl] benzimidazole, Sodium salt		Process Related impurity
Rabeprazole Sulfideanalog	2- [{(4-methoxy propoxy) -3-methyl-2-pyridine-2-yl} methyl thio] 1 H-benzimidazole		Raw Material and Degradant impurity

Table	1: Dexrabeprazole	Sodium	(DEX•NA)	and its	related	impurities
-------	-------------------	--------	----------	---------	---------	------------

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The DEX•Na and its six impurities viz. 4-pyridinine (A), sulfone analog (B), sulfideanalog (C), N-oxide (D), chloroanalog(E) and methoxy analog (G)(see Table 1) were synthesized and characterized in Emcure Pharmaceutical Limited, Analytical Research Centre Hinjawadi, Pune. Purified milli-Q water and analytical grade acetonitrile, methanol, di-potassium hydrogen phosphate as well as potassium hydrogen phosphate are used throughout. The Waters Acquity UPLC (H Class) system with PDA/UV detector and Empower 2 software for data acquisition are used. All the instruments were calibrated during method development and validation.

The buffer is prepared by dissolving 1.74 g of di-potassium hydrogen phosphate anhydrous and 1.36 g of potassium di-hydrogen phosphate in purified water and diluted to 1000 ml. The homogeneous mixture of 990 ml buffer and 10 ml acetonitrile are used as a mobile phase-A, while mobile phase B is a mixture of 950 ml methanol and 50 ml acetonitrile. The mixture of 900 ml of 0.01N sodium hydroxide and 100 ml methanol is used as a diluent for the sample and standard preparation. The 500 ppm solutions of DEX•Na both for system suitability test for peak identification and sample solution were prepared.

Analytical Method development

The UPLC methods usually require short time for analysis therefore solvent consumption and exposure is very less cost-effective method, results can obtain within short time. Therefore, proposed the UPLC technique for development of analytical method for related substances of DEX•Na. The DEX•Na and its impurities are quite polar hence analytical method using reverse phase chromatography is development for their separation. Different combination of mobile phase parameters, pH and columns are considered during present RP-UPLC method. It is observed that, separations of all known and unknown impurities are very sensitive to aforementioned parameters. The DEX•Na and its impurities show maxima near to 284 nm therefore, this wavelength is chosen for analyte detection during method development. The UPLC columns having 2.1 X 100 mm dimensions and 1.9 µm stationary phase particle size with different carbon load(C8 and C18) are used, where late eluting peaks and their broad peaks are observed. When Acquity BEH C4 column (2.1 X 100 mm 1.7 µm) is used the known and unknown impurities are eluted in 10 min. with sharp peak shape, however the chloroanalog impurity elute together with methoxy analog impurity. To separate the chloro and methoxy analogues impurities the carbon loading of Acquity BEH column is increased from C4 to C18. All known and unknown impurities are well resolved from each other and from analyte with proper peak shapes when Acquity BEH C18 (2.1 x 50 mm 1.7µm) column is used. Among different column oven temperature (30, 35, 40 and 45°C) better chromatograms is observed at 40°C. The other parameter such as sampling rate (5 points/second), injection volume (3 µL) and flow rate (0.45ml/min.) are set by performing different experiment.

The method is established by using gradient program with combination of phosphate buffer (mobile phase A) and mixture of organic solvents (mobile phase B).Initially the composition of mobile phase A and B in 77:23 ratios have been used, which is then gradually changed to 55:45 up to 0.80 min. and maintain till 2.00 minutes. Then mobile phase ratio is slowly changed to 50:50 up to 3.50 min. and to 20:80 up to 5.50 min. which is maintained till 7.50 min. Finally, composition is abruptly brought to initial values of 77:23 up to 7.60 min. and maintained till 10 minute.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analytical method validation is carried out as per ICH guideline.^{13,14} All parameters in the method validation are discussed below.

Specificity

Specificity is the ability of the method to measure the analyte response in the presence of its impurities. This can be done by spiking known impurities with its limit levels and demonstrate the results are unaffected by presence of known impurities. Selectivity chromatogram for blank, mix impurities standard, unspiked and spiked test are shown in Fig. 1. Blank run does not show any interfering peak at the retention time of DEX•Na and its related impurities peak. The peak due to DEX•Na is well resolved from known impurities. The selectivity data for spiked test preparations are given in Table 2. Peak purity angle of DEX•Na and its known impurities are below peak purity threshold for all individual solutions of impurities, standard preparations, unspiked and spiked test preparations, thus these peaks are homogeneous and pure. The % relative standard deviation of six replicates of standard preparation with mixture of impurities (A-E and G) and DEX•Na at limit level are 0.26, 0.37, 0.22, 0.51,0.50, 0.15 and 0.59%, respectively. Tailing factor and theoretical plates in system suitability preparation of DEX•Na are 1.14 and 19347, respectively. The resolution between DEX•Na and closest eluting chloro analog is 2.69 the resolution values for all other impurities are even larger.

Peak name	Peak(Impurity) name	RT (min.)	RT Ratio	Resolution	Purity Angle	Purity Threshold	Peak Purity
А	4-Pyridinone	0.553	0.18	NA	1.462	1.572	Pass
В	N-oxide	1.996	0.64	27.05	0.180	1.393	Pass
С	Methoxy Analog	2.286	0.73	4.70	0.066	1.147	Pass
D	Sulfone Analog	2.630	0.84	4.77	0.092	1.466	Pass
Е	Chloro Analog	2.883	0.92	3.04	0.196	2.623	Pass
F	DEX•Na	3.139	1.00	2.69	0.419	2.325	Pass
G	Sulfide Analog	4.986	1.59	24.62	0.272	1.397	Pass

Table 2: Selectivity data of spiked test preparation for DEX•Na

Limit of detection and Limit of quantitation

The limit of detection (LOD) is the point at which a measured value is larger than the uncertainty associated with it. It is the lowest concentration of analyte in a sample that can be detected, but not necessarily quantified. The limit of quantitation (LOQ) of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount of analyte in a sample which can be quantitatively determined with suitable precision and accuracy. The limit of detection and quantitation are determined from signal-to-noise ratios being 3:1 and 10:1, respectively and reported in the Table 3. The observed LOD and LOQ are in between 0.0015 to 0.0025% and 0.0046 to 0.0076%, respectively. The reported LOD and LOQ values are lower than the earlier methods in literature.^{11,12}

Table 3: Limit of detection and quantitation data of DEX•Na and its related impurities

Peak Name	Peak name	LOD in %	LOD in ppm w. r. t.	LOQ in % test Conc.50	LOQ in ppm 0 ppm
А	4-Pyridinone Impurity	0.0024	0.012	0.0074	0.037
В	N-oxide	0.0022	0.011	0.0068	0.034
С	Methoxy Analog	0.0024	0.012	0.0074	0.037
D	Sulfone Analog	0.0022	0.011	0.0068	0.034
Е	Chloro Analog	0.0022	0.011	0.0068	0.034
F	DEX•Na	0.0015	0.0075	0.0046	0.023
G	Sulfide Analog	0.0025	0.0125	0.0076	0.038

Linearity and Range

Linearity of method is the measure of the proximity of the straight line obtained from a calibration plot of response versus concentration. It is obtained by performing the experiments using different concentrations of the DEX•Na and its known impurities. Thus, the linearity of present UPLC method is determined from LOQ level to 150% of specification limit concentrations (0.50 ppm for DEX•Na and 0.75 ppm for each impurity). The typical chromatograms of blank, overlay linearity levels are shown in Fig. 2. The peak area versus concentration data was treated by least squares linear regression analysis. All observed value (in Table 4) and graph plotted (see Fig. 3) indicates that this method is linear for given range. The LOQ obtained from this

data is precise with the values in the Table 3. The straight-line nature of graph shows that method is linear in the range from LOQ to 150% of known impurities and DEX•Na. The linearity was plotted in the range of LOQ to 150% of level concentrations. The correlation coefficient obtained for DEX•Na and its related impurities are greater than 0.9997.



Fig. 1. Selectivity chromatogram for blank, mix impurities standard, unspiked and spiked test.





Fig. 2. Linearity levels chromatograms blank, LOQ (5 %) to 150% level concentration



concentration range Impurities

	А	В	С	D	E	G
Correlation Coefficient	0.9999	0.9999	0.9997	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999
Slope	20531	19327	14284	14775	14407	16662
Intercept	-49.70	-51.36	-19.37	-51.69	-61.36	5.06

Precision

System precision is performed with six replicates of standard solution of DEX•Na in presence of impurities. System suitability criteria such as tailing factor, theoretical plates, %RSD and resolution are calculated. %RSD results in system precision study for impurities (A-E and G) and DEX•Na are 0.22, 0.21, 0.19, 0.44, 0.52, 2.91 and 0.14%, respectively. Tailing factor and theoretical plates in system suitability preparation of DEX•Na are 1.31 and 19058, respectively. The method precision is performed by injecting six replicate of sample solutions after spiking of impurities at specification limit level. While an intermediate precision is evaluated by changing analyst, instrument and column on different day. For calculation of the %RSD for each method and intermediate precisions, six replicates of spiked impurities are considered. The comparison data of method precision and intermediate precision are presented in Table 5. Overall %RSD of twelve samples i.e. six from method precision and six from intermediate precision of impurities (A-E and G) as well as total Impurities are 2.00, 2.41, 3.89, 3.31, 3.13, 2.07 and 2.91% respectively. The resolution between peak of DEX•Na and chloro analog is 02.91.

Accuracy

Accuracy can also be described as the closeness of agreement between the value that is adopted, either as a conventional, true, or accepted reference value, and the value found. Accuracy study of all known impurities is carried out in triplicate at LOQ level, 50, 100 and 150% concentration. Observed % recoveries of all six known impurities are between 95.07 to 102.73%. Recovery data is given in Table 6 and typical chromatograms of different recovery levels are shown in Figure 4.

Robustness

The robustness of analytical method is determined by purposeful alteration of experimental conditions such as flow rate (by $\pm 10\%$) and column

oven temperature (\pm 5°C). Actual flow rate of 0.45 ml/min. is altered to 0.40 ml/min. and 0.50 ml/min. Similarly, the column oven temperature is changed from 40°C in original method to 35 and 45°C. In all above cases the retention times are varied by \pm 0.12 to \pm 0.6 min. compared to actual retention times. System suitability parameter such as tailing factor, theoretical plates, resolution and %RSD are range from 1.26–1.31, 15730–20735,2.89–3.04 and 0.05–4.04, respectively. The relative % impurities

with reference to the corresponding values in method precision obtained for the altered method parameter are given in Table 7. In all deliberate varied chromatographic conditions (flow rate, column oven temperature and column) the values of system suitability criteria are almost similar to that of method precision. The % deviation for these values compared to one in method precession are below 9.00%, which is within the limit (20%) prescribed by ICH guidelines.¹³

Table 5: Comparative data of method precision and intermediate precision in spiked tes	Table 5: Comparative	data of method	precision and	intermediate	precision i	in spiked te	st
--	----------------------	----------------	---------------	--------------	-------------	--------------	----

Test	% A		% B		% C		% D		% E		% G	% To	otal imp	urities
	MP	IP	MP	IP										
Spiked test 1	0.146	0.145	0.136	0.139	0.147	0.152	0.135	0.139	0.134	0.136	0.151	0.153	0.881	0.904
Spiked test 2	0.142	0.147	0.133	0.140	0.143	0.155	0.132	0.141	0.131	0.138	0.147	0.153	0.860	0.913
Spiked test 3	0.146	0.146	0.137	0.140	0.148	0.155	0.135	0.140	0.134	0.137	0.151	0.155	0.884	0.911
Spiked test 4	0.143	0.147	0.134	0.139	0.144	0.152	0.133	0.140	0.132	0.136	0.149	0.153	0.867	0.905
Spiked test 5	0.137	0.147	0.129	0.139	0.137	0.155	0.127	0.141	0.123	0.138	0.143	0.154	0.826	0.907
Spiked test 6	0.143	0.145	0.135	0.138	0.144	0.153	0.132	0.138	0.131	0.136	0.148	0.153	0.864	0.902
Mean (n=6)	0.143	0.146	0.134	0.139	0.144	0.154	0.132	0.140	0.131	0.137	0.148	0.154	0.864	0.907
SD (n=6)	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.021	0.006
% RSD(n=6)	2.31	0.68	2.09	0.58	2.71	0.97	2.20	0.86	3.13	0.73	2.03	0.52	2.41	0.71
Mean (n=12)		0.145		0.137		0.149		0.136		0.134		0.151		0.885
SD (n=12)		0.003		0.003		0.006		0.005		0.004		0.004		0.027
%RSD(n=12)		2.00		2.41		3.89		3.31		3.13		2.32		3.03



Fig. 4: Chromatogram for blank, recovery levels from LOQ to 150% concentration

Table 6: % Recovery data of	related impurities	of DEX•Na impurities
-----------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Impurities	LOQ Level(n=3)	50 % Level(n=3)	100 % Level(n=3)	150 % Level(n=3)
А	100.00±0.00	99.53±0.81	100.46±0.40	99.69±0.27
В	95.07±3.69	100.00±0.00	94.79±0.67	99.50±0.00
С	101.79±6.84	98.15±0.81	99.77±0.40	99.69±0.27
D	99.02±6.62	100.00±0.00	99.26±0.01	99.34±0.29
E	101.49±2.99	99.49±0.88	98.26±0.41	99.00±0.01
G	100.91±3.38	102.73±0.02	100.90±0.38	101.35±0.00

% Impurities relative to MP*									
Parameter	Total	А	В	С	D	Е	G		
MP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
-0.864	-0.143	-0.134	-0.144	-0.132	-0.131	-0.148			
Temp. (35°C)	0.016	0.005	0.001	0.002	0.004	0.005	0.001		
Temp. (45°C)	0.015	0.004	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.002	0.001		
Flow (0.40 ml/min.)	0.006	0.005	0.001	0.002	0.004	0.002	0		
Flow (0.50 ml/min.)	0.007	0.005	0	0.002	0.005	0.002	0.014		

Table 7. Companyon dala of melitod precision and topustness study of spiked imputitie	Table 7:	Comparison	data of method	precision and	robustness stud	v of s	piked impuriti
---	----------	------------	----------------	---------------	-----------------	--------	----------------

* The % impurity values for MP are given in parenthesis.

Solution Stability

The solution stability of standard as well as sample solutions and solutions used for system suitability test is performed on 24 and 48 h. at the controlled room temperature (25°C). The % recovery for impurities (A-E and G) in standard solutions are 99.17(101.57), 98.68 (99.48),99.10 (99.13),99.02 (100.03), 99.86 (100.35), 99.11 (100.58) and 101.55 (101.77) % for 24 (48) h, respectively. Cumulative % RSD of concentration of these impurities in % for is 0.42, 0.43, 0.00, 1.24, 0.44, and 0.78%. Also, there is insignificant change in tailing factor, theoretical plates and resolution up to 48 h. This indicates that the solutions are quite stable at least up to 48 hours.

Mobile phase stability

The mobile phase stability at the controlled room temperature is performed on 24 and 48 h.Until 48 h for both A and B mobile phases the appearance is clear, and haziness is not observed. The observed % RSD of all the (A-E and G) impurities and DEX•Na in standard solutions on 48 h are 0.38,0.60,0.79,0. 28,0.75,1.20and 0.63 %, respectively. Furthermore, system suitability parameters such as tailing factor, theoretical plates and resolution are comparable with initial data. Therefore, mobile phase is stable up to 48 h when stored at the controlled room temperature.

Forced degradation study

Forced degradation study gives a measure of specificity and it helps in selection of stabilityindicating analytical procedures. The % degradation of analyte is determined by comparing chromatogram of untreated sample and samples maintained under (thermal, photolytic, humidity, aqueous, acidic, basic and oxidative) stress conditions. The degradation data of DEX•Na under such conditions are shown in Table 8. In thermal degradation sample was heated at 60°C for 24 h, humidity degradation carried out at 40°C with 75% relative humidity for 24 h and in photolytic degradation sample solution is treated with light energy of 1.2 x 106 lux h using near-UV 200 Wh/m² source. The colour of DEX•Na solid sample is changed from pale yellow to yellow due to these heat, humidity and photolytic condition, however the % impurities and peak area of the DEX•Na remained constant without any degradant peak. This indicates that DEX•Na molecule is stable for heat, humidity and photolytic stress conditions. The solution-A is prepared by dissolving 50.0 mg of DEX•Na in 5.0 ml of diluent, which is then exposed to the desired stress condition. The solution is then neutralized, and final volume is adjusted using diluent to 100 ml in volumetric flask. In acid degradation study, DEX•Na solution is exposed to 3.5 ml of 0.02N HCI at room temperature for 10 min. and then neutralized with 0.02N NaOH. In alkali degradation, solution-A of DEX•Na is exposed to 5.0 ml of 0.5N NaOH at 60°C for 4 h in oil bath. After 4 h solution is cooled and neutralized with 0.5N HCI. In oxidation degradation, solution-A is exposed to 2.0 ml 30% H₂O₂ at room temp for 20 min. Final volume of solution-A after each stress treatment is made up to 100 ml in volumetric flask and chromatograms are recorded (see Fig 5-7). All degradant impurities are well separated from DEX•Na and its known impurities. Overall 11.90, 0.72 and 5.30 %degradation (see Table 8) are observed for acid, basic and oxidative stress, respectively. In acid degradation the sulphide analogue impurity (G) is the major degradant, while in oxidative degradation sulfone analog (D)as major degradant. Insignificant

degradation of DEX•Na in alkaline condition renders its stability in basic medium.

ID	Form of sample	Degradation Condition	Purity Angle	Purity Threshold (unit)	Peak purity (unit)	% Degradation (unit)
Untreated Sample	Solid	-	0.040	0.253	Pass	
Photolytic	Solid	Light energy of 1.2 million lux h. and near UV 200-watt h/m ²	0.043	0.255	Pass	
Humidity	Solid	75% RH at 40°C for 24 h	0.041	0.250	Pass	
Heat	Solid	24 h at 60°C	0.038	0.254	Pass	
Aqueous	Solution	5 ml water at room temp. for 24 h	0.041	0.254	Pass	
Peroxide	Solution	2 ml 30% H ₂ O ₂ Kept at room temp for 20 min.	0.036	0.242	Pass	5.34
Acid	Solution	3.5 ml of 0.02N HCl at room temp for 10 min.	0.046	0.252	Pass	11.92
Alkali	Solution	5 ml of 0.5N NaOH, heat the	0.033	0.253	Pass	0.72
		solution at 60°C on oil bath for 4 h				
	0.111 0.100 0.099 0.084 0.064 0.064 0.064 0.054 0.044 0.034 0.044 0.034 0.044 0.034 0.044	A = B C D E F G A = B C D E F G	V	Acid Degradatic lix Impurities St Acid Degradatic 8.00 9.00	on Test andard on Blank	
	0.120 0.110 0.090 0.080 0.070 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.040 0.030 0.020 0.020 0.010	Fig. 5. Chromatogram for the acid degradation st	Alkali Deg Mix Impu Alkali Deg	radation Test rities Standard		
	0.060 0.030 0.030 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020	A B C D E F G 000 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 Retention Time (Min)	Alkali Deg Mix Impu Alkali Degi 7.00	radation Test rities Standard radation Blank 8.00 9.00	10.00	

Table 8: The stress conditions with % degradation of DEX•Na

Fig. 6. Chromatogram for the alkali degradation studies of DEX•Na



Fig. 7. Chromatogram for the peroxide degradation studies of DEX•Na

CONCLUSION

Dexrabeprazole sodium (DEX•Na) is an active pharmaceutical ingredient and used as proton pump inhibitor. A RP-UPLC method is developed for determination of related impurities in DEX•Na and it is validated using ICH guideline. This RP-UPLC method is developed using BEH C18 (2.1 X 50 mm) 1.7 µm column and gradient program for mobile phase. Mobile phase A contains 99% buffer of potassium di-hydrogen phosphate and di-potassium hydrogen phosphate with ~7.0 pH and 1% acetonitrile, whereas mobile phase B is the methanol and acetonitrile in 95:5 ratio. The 0.45ml/ min. total flow rate, 3.0 µl injection volume, 40°C column oven temperature and 284 nm detection wavelength are used. The sampling rate is 5 points per second. Run time of the method is 10 min. which is much faster compared to the 85-90 min. in reported methods. The DEX•Na peak is well separated from its known (A-E and G) and unknown impurities. Linearity and accuracy study of impurities was performed in the range of LOQ to 150% level with respect to specification concentration limit. Solution and mobile phase are stable up to 48 h and the method is robust also. The forced degradation study reveals that the DEX•Na is stable in the alkaline medium, while it significantly degrades in acidic and oxidative stress condition mainly to sulfide and sulfone analogues, respectively. The present RP-UPLC method is not only precise, accurate, linear and rapid but also qualifies all the stability and robustness criteria. This may be used for routine quality control analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Santosh Khadangale and Vitthal Dhalape thank to Dr. Mukund Gurjar, Emcure Pharmaceuticals Limited, Analytical Research Centre, Hinjawadi, Pune for his support, encouragement and permitting this work to communicate for publication.

REFERENCES

8.

- 1. Richardson, P.; Hawkey C.; Stack W. *Drugs.*, **1998**, *56*, 307-35.
- 2. Feret B.; Quercia R.; *Cappa J. Formulary.*, **1999**, *34*,313-23.
- 3. Reilly J.P.;Am. *J. Health. Syst. Pharm.*, **1999**, *56*, S11-7.
- 4. Bodhankar S.L.; Jain B.B.; Ahire B.P.; Daude R.B.; Shitole P.P. *Indian.J. Pharmacol.*, **2006**, *38*(5), 357-58
- 5. Barth J.; Hahne W. Aliment. *Pharmacol. Ther.*, **2002**, *16*, 31–33.
- 6. Kommanaboyina B,; Rhodes C.T. *Drug. Dev. Ind. Pharm.*, **1999**, *25*, 857–868.
- 7. Cassia V.G.; Norma S.N.; Martin S.; Elfrides E.

S. S. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, **2008**, *46*, 88-93. Mathew M.; Das Gupta V.; Bailey R.E. *Drug.*

- Dev. Ind.Pharm., **1995**, *21*, 965–971.
- 9. Radi A. *Microchem. J.*, **2002**, *73*, 349–354.
- Qaisi A.; Tutunji M.; Tutunji L. J. Pharm. Sci., 2006, 95, 384–391.
- 11. Navneet K.; Dhanaraj S. *Sci. Pharm.*, **2013**, *8*, 697–711
- Raghavaraju T.V.; Raja kumar S.;Mrutyunjaya Rao I.; Someswara Rao N. Asian. J. Pharm. Clin., 2013, 6, 43-51.
- 13. ICH. Guideline., 2005, Q2 (R1).
- 14. ICH. Guideline., 2003, Q1A (R2).