A study of stability constant for co-ordination compounds of Cu (II) using potentiometric method

V.T. CHAUDHARI¹, AYESHA DURRANI², B.R. AGRAWAL³ and MAZHAR FAROOQUI²

¹S.S.M.M. College, Pachora Dist. Jalgaon (India). ²Post Graduate and Research Centre Maulana Azad College, Aurangabad (India). ³J.E.S. College, Jalna (India).

(Received: June 01, 2009; Accepted: July 04, 2009)

ABSTRACT

The log kH values of \pm aryloxyacetic acid, malonic acid, \pm amino butyric acid, picolinic acid and maleic acid were determined in aqueous 25% ethanol, 50% and 75% ethanol solutions, Binary and ternary metal-ligand stability constants were determined in aqueous and 25%, 50% and 75% ethanol solutions.

Key words: Cu(II), Potentiometric method

INTRODUCTION

Solvent mixture are important reaction media as one can tune the polarity, solubility etc of a solution by altering the composition solvation

environment around a reactant affects the barrier crossing rate. Interdiffusion and preferential solvation significantly slows down the dynamic in binary mixtures than in pure solvent. The solvent effect on a chemical reaction in a pure solvent and binary mixture is entirely different. The polarity and ion mobility in liquid mixture is different than in pure solvent. Therefore, we decided to study the effect of solvent, on the stability of complex formed between metal ion and some acids. We selected aryloxyacetic acid, picolinic acid and dicarboxylic maleic acid and malonic acids as ligands and investigated their stability constants with Cu (II) ion is aqueous, 25% 50% and 75% alcoholic solution. The stability constants were determined pH metrically. The mixed ligand titration curve Mxy involved simultaneous equilibrium.

EXPERIMENTAL

Appropriate amount of ligand picolinic acid, malec acid, malonic acid, aryloxyacetic acid and 2 amino isobutaric acid (S.D. fine Ltd.) of analar grade were dissolved in distilled water and diluted to definate volume to prepare solutions of known concentrations. The pH metric measurements were carried out with Elico digital pH meter (LI - 612) and combined glass electrode. The titrations were carried out at 27 ± 0.5°C and on inert atmosphere were maintained by bubbling oxygen free nitrogen gas throughout the course of titration. The Calvin Bjerrum pH titration technique as modified by Irving and Rossotti1-4 was applied to determine formation constant. The titrations were carried out with carbonate free 0.221 M sodium hydroxide solution. The ionic strength was maintained to 1.0 M with the help of NaClO4. The metal to ligand ratio was 1:5. There is several advantages of potentiometer study over other methods such as speed, low cost, ease of preparation, simple instrumentation,

relatively fast response, very low reasonable selectivity etc. The potentiometry may be considered as the most accurate technique for the evaluation of complex equilibrium constants. The Literature survey reveals that various papers appear on the study of complexation using potentiometry. Malonic acid $CH_2(COOH)_2$ mol wt 104.06 mp 134 – 135°C



 α – amino iso butyric acid (CH₃)₂ (NH₂) COOH mol wt = 103.12 m. p. \geq 300

 $O - CH_2 COOH$

aryloxy acetic acid

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The potentiometric data was analyzed using computer programme. The values of protonation constants are given in table 1.

Maleic acid and malonic acid contains two dissociable protons whereas aryloxy acetic acid releases one proton. α -amino butyric acid contain two protons one at NH2 group and one of carboxylic group the low log H 1 K is due to carboxylic group and higher value is for amino group. Using the binary titration, keeping 1:5 metals to ligand ratio, metalion stability constant was determined. (Table 2).

There are various interacting forces presents when we use solvent mixtures. Ion – dipole forces are important for solutions of ionic compounds in dipolar solvents, where solvated species such as $M(OH_2)m$ and $L(H_2O)n$ exist. In case of some metal ions, these solvated species can be sufficiently stable. Liquid water consist of ordered regions of a regular lattice as well as regions in which the water molecules are hydrogen bonded in a random array, It is permeated by monomeric water and intrespored with random holes, lattice

vacancies and cages. When a binary mixture of solvent is taken, the solute is get preferentially solvated, the interaction may be non specific, involving solute / solvent association caused due to dielectric enrichment in the solvent shell of solute ions or dipolar solute molecules and the interaction may be specific involving solute / solventassociation due to hydrogen bonding or electron pair donar electron pair acceptor interactions.

The statistical value of $\Delta \log K$ depends of the geometry of the complex and for the distorted octahedral coordination sphere of Cu²⁺ with two different bidentate ligands was deduced (4) as – 0.9. The calculated values of $\Delta \log K$ are given in table – 3. It is observed that $\Delta \log K$ is 0.90 for Cu (Maleic acid) (PA) complex in 50% ethanol solution the highest 3.39 is observed for Cu (Maleic acid) (Picolinic acid) in 25% ethanol.

The metal ligand stability constant indicates that in ethanolic solution at 50% ethanol + water higher values are obtained. The dissociation constant of ligand depends on solvents. It is reported9 that pK1 of amino acid increased as the concentration of ethanol increases. On the contrary

768

pK2 values decreases upto 40% ethanol (w/w) and increases afterwards with the increasing concentration of ethanol in the solvent mixtures.

Changes in the ion-solvent interactions on transfer of electrolytes between solvents are small

but are sufficiently large to cause dramatic changes in chemical reactions involving ions. The solvents S₁ (water) and S₂ (ethanol) interact to give a structure S₁₋₂ and mixed solvents, three solvent structures S₁, S₂ and S₁₋₂ may coexist. pK values in any solvent mixture has been assumed to be

	Aqueous		25 % Ethanol		50 % Ethanol		75 % Ethanol	
Ligand	$\log K_{\iota}^{\scriptscriptstyle H}$	$\logK_2^{\rm H}$	$\log K_{\iota}^{\scriptscriptstyle H}$	$\log K_2^{\rm H}$	$\log K^{\scriptscriptstyle H}_\iota$	$\log K_2^{\rm H}$	$\log K^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm H}_\iota$	$\logK_2^{\rm H}$
Aryloxy acetic acid	10.308	-	7.424	-	6.148		7.095	-
Malonic acid α-amino butyric acid	5.017 7.656	- 10.987	6.416 4.760	- 2.843	5.436 7.843	- 5.549	5.0442 8.542	- 2.698
Picolinic acid Maleic acid	5.056 9.596	- 10.937	4.097 10.696	- 4.985	2.699 7.147	- 5.699	5.112 4.491	- 8.659

Table 1: Protanation constants of ligand

Table 2: Metal ligand stability constants

Ligand	Aqueous	25 % ethanol	50 % ethanol	75 % ethanol
Aryloxy acetic acid	4.757	4.668	7.281	4.349
Malonic acid	2.058	2.753	5.506	3.40
α -amino isobutyric acid	3.030	2.870	4.039	2.047
picolinic acid	5.202	2.821	6.006	2.50
maleic acid	3.467	2.233	3.234	4.718

Table 3: Stability constants of mixed ligand complexes

Mix	Aqueous		25 % Ethanol		50 % Ethanol		75 % Ethanol	
Ligand	$\log {\rm K}_{\rm Mxy}$	∆logK	log K _{Mxy}	∆logK	log K _{Mxy}	∆logK	log K _{Mxy}	∆logK
Maleic acid + Aryloxy acetic acid	5.72	2.51	4.096	3.00	3.096	2.25	5.81	2.55
Maleic acid + malonic acid	5.60	2.60	3.859	2.59	4.795	2.69	5.59	2.30
Maleic acid + α amino isobutyric acid	6.01	1.00	4.775	3.71	5.055	3.40	6.58	0.59
Maleic acid + picolinic acid	5.09	3.23	3.964	3.39	4.985	2.00	6.00	0.90

weighted average of the ones in three solvents¹⁰ i.e.

$$pK = \frac{n_1 pK + n_2 pK_2 + n_{12} pK_{12}}{n_1 + n_2 + n_{12}}$$

n1 and n2 and n1-2 are the number of molecules of solute solvated by each of the three respective solvents, Potentiometric titration method is not capable of determining simultaneously pK₁, pK₂ and pK₁₋₂, pH-measurement is unable to as certain the preferential salvation of H± ion in different solvents and can give no idea about the preferential solvent of anions and natural molecules.

REFERENCES

- Sanjay Ubale , N G Palaskar , Mazhar Farooqui , Sandeep Kotharkar and Milind Ubale; Asian J Chem 14(1): 99-102 (2002).
- Ayesha Durrani , Mazhar Farooqui and Ahmed Zaheer; Trans SAEST 41: 28-29 (2006).
- Magre B K Farooqui M N , Ubale M B; Nat Acad Sci Let 31(11–12): 353-355 (2008).
- Barbara Kurzak, Anna Kamecka, Katarzyna Bogusz, Julia Jezierska; Polyhydron, 27: 2952-2958 (2008).
- A Chylewska, D Jacewicz , D Zarzeczanska,
 L Chmurzynski; *J chem thermodyn* , 40: 1290-1294 (2008) .

- Xianlong Wang, Kun Li, Xiao Di yong, Ling Ling Wang, Ren Fang Shen; *J Inorg Biochem*, ariticle in press (2009) doi, 10, 1016 / Jinorgbio 11: 007 (2008).
- M V paschevskaya, S N Bolotin, A A Sklyar , N M Trudnikova, N N Bukov, V T Panyuskin; J Mol Liq 126: 89-94 (2006).
- V T Chaudhari and Mazhar Farooqui; J Ind Chem. Soc 86: 166-167 (2009).
- 9. M Ashfaqur Rahman, A R Chaudhary, M R Zaman; *Ind J chem Technol.*, **9**: 212-217 (2002).
- 10. Rupasri Mandal (Karan) and Sujit Chandra Lahiri; *J Indian chem soc* **85**: 901-910 (2008).