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#### Abstract

Metal complxes of Gliclazide, Glibenclamide and Glimeperide drugs were prepared and characterized based on elemental analysis, FT-IR, Molar conductance and thermal analysis (TGA and DTG) technique. From elemental analysis data, the complexes were proposed to have general formulae (GLZ) $)_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad(\mathrm{GLZ})_{2} \mathrm{Cu}, \quad(\mathrm{GLB})_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{GLB})_{2}, \quad(\mathrm{GLM})_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ and $(\mathrm{GLM})_{2} \mathrm{La} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The molar conductance data reveal that all the metal complexes are nonelectrolytic, IR spectra shows that GLZ, GLB and GLM are coordinated to metal ions in a neutral bidentate manner from the ESR spectra and XRD-spectra. It is found that the geometrical structures of these complexes are tetrahedral $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ and octrahedral $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{La}(\mathrm{II})$. The thermal behavior of these complexes studied using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA and DTG) techniques. The results obtained shows that the hydrated complexes lose water molecules of hydration followed immediately by decomposition of the anions and ligand molecules in the successive unseparate steps. Thermogravimetric analysis was carried out to study the decomposition and various kinetic parameters. Freeman Carroll and Sharp Wentworth method have been applied for calculation of kinetic parameters. While data from freeman Carroll method have been used to determine various thermodynamic parameters such as order of reactions, energy of activation, frequency factor, entropy change, free energy change and apparent entropy change and order of reaction..
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## INTRODUCTION

Gliclazide, Glibenclamide and Glimeperide, are bi substituted urea derivatives can exist in keto and enolic form when dissolved in an organic solvent and react with various metal ions to form intensely coloured metal complexes that provide the basis for their use as a sensitive reagent.

The thermal degradation study of complexes has become a subject of recent interest. It is important property of complexes, which decides the thermal stability and processability of the complexes. The study of thermal behaviour of complexes in air at different temperature provides important information about its practical applicability.

Iqbal S.A. et.a1'., (2005) synthesized the metal complexes of gliclazide characterized by FTIR, elemental analysis and TGA-DTG parameters. The thermal analysis (TGA) was performed at the heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. in nitrogen atmosphere.

Wilma Cyril et.af., (2011) studied kinetics and Thermal decomposition of Cu (II) complex of of hydroxyl quinoline-5-sulphonic acid

Thermal data have been analyzed by Freeman Carroll and Sharp-Wentworth method.

Thermal analysis (TGA and DTG) is a typical analytical technique to describe the relationship between physico-chemical changes and temperature. ${ }^{1-2}$ In order to synthesize complexes having practical applications. There is a need to investigate the effect of heat on complexes in order to establish thermal stability.

Iqbal and coworkers ${ }^{3-4}$ have synthesized and characterized complexes of tolbutamide and glibenclamide by FTIR, elemental analysis and TGA-DTA technique.

Thermal studies of complexes were carried out to determine their mode of decomposition, the activation energy (Ea), order of reaction ( $n$ ), frequency factor(Z), entropy change (S), Free energy (ÄF) and apparent entropy change (*S). Thermal decomposition curves were discussed with careful attention of minute details. The freeman Carroll and Sharp-Wentworth methods have been used to calculate thermal activation energy and thermal stability.

However, very little work has been carried out on the synthesis and characterization and thermal degradation studies of the metal complexes of gliclazide, glibenclamide and glimeperide.

Hence in this work we prepare complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}$ (II), Hg (II) and La (II) transition and inner transition metals with gliclazide, glibenclamide and glimeperide drug molecule. The solid complexes were characterized using different physico-chemical methods, like elemental analysis (C, H, N, S and metal content), IR and thermal analysis (TGA and DTG)

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Materials and reagents

All chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade (A.R.) and of highest purity. They included gliclazide, glibenclamide and glimeperide (Zim laboratories, Nagpur), Copper(II) Chloride , Lanthanum(II) Chloride heptahydrate (Hi media Lab, Mumbai) organic solvents used are absolute ethyl alcohol, DMF. These solvents were spectroscopic pure from $B D H$, hydrogen peroxide, hydrochloric and nitric acid (E.Merck) were used. De-ionized water was used in all preparations.

## Instruments

Molar conductance of solid complexes in DMF was measured using Systronics conductivity meter, elemental microanalyses of the isolated solid complexes for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ were performed at CDRI, Lucknow, using (HMS-932CLECO) Vario elemental analyzers. Infrared spectra were recorded on PerkinElmer, FTIR type 1650 spectrophotometer in wave number 400-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The spectra were recorded as KBr pellets.

The thermogravimetric (TG and DTG) analysis was carried out in dynamic nitrogen atmosphere ( $20 \mathrm{ml} . \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ min. using shimatzu TGA-50H Thermal Analyzer at IIT Bombay (Mumbai) Electronic spectra recorded at Qualichem Laboratory, Nagpur.

## Synthesis of metal complexes

Metal complexes or synthesized by adding metal salt solution in appropriate solvent to the solution of the ligand. The mixture was refluxed for 3-4 hours. Then the precipitate of metal complexes was obtained. It was filtered, washed and dried in vacuum desiccators.

All selected metals forms 1:2 complexes with gliclazide, glibenclamide and glimeperide, were confirmed by Jobs method of continuous variation ${ }^{5}$ as modified by Turner and Anderson ${ }^{6}$.

## Estimation of metals in complexes

An accurately weighed portion of the different complexes ranged from 10 to 30 mg was placed in Kjeldhal flask. A measured volume of concentrated nitric acid ranged from 5 to 10 ml was
added initially to the powdered complexes to start the fast wet oxidation digestion. This mixture had been digested with some drops of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution using a gradual heating. This treatment was conducted until most of the powdered complexes were dissolved and the remaining solution had the colour of the corresponding metal salt. This solution was then diluted upto a 50 ml . with distilled water and the metal content was determined by titration against standard EDTA solution at a suitable pH value using the suitable indicator.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Composition and structures of metal complexes
The isolated solid complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, Co(II) ions with GLZ ligand, and GLB ligand while $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{La}(\mathrm{II})$ ions with GLM ligands were subjected to elemental analysis (C, H, N, S. and metal content), I.R., Molar conductance, thermal analysis (TG and DTG) to support the tentative structure. The results of elemental analysis listed in table (1) suggest the formulae $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{GLZ})_{2}\right] 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{GLZ})_{2}\right]$, $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{GLB})_{2}\right] 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{GLB})_{2}\right],\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{GLM})_{2}\right] 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\left[\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{GLM})_{2}\right] 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for respective complexes.

Table 1: Analytical and physical data of gliclazide, glibenclamide,and glimeperide metal complexes

| Complexes | Colour | \% <br> (Yield) | m.p. <br> ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Elemental Analysis ( $\Omega^{-1} \mathrm{Mole}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  | Molar cond. ‘Am' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | C | H | N | S | M |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}\right.$ | Blue | 74 | 189 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.67 \\ & (46.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.12 \\ & (5.65) \end{aligned}$ | 8.12 <br> (11.86) | $\begin{aligned} & 6.05 \\ & (9.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.18 \\ & (8.61) \end{aligned}$ | 13.18 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Co} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Pink | 70 | 195 | $\begin{aligned} & 48.91 \\ & (45.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.42 \\ & (5.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.10 \\ & (10.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.66 \\ & (8.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.20 \\ & (6.75 \end{aligned}$ | 18.56 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Blue | 76 | 205 | $\begin{aligned} & 48.41 \\ & (52.62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.80 \\ & (5.15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.42 \\ & (8.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.62 \\ & (6.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.43 \\ & (5.81) \end{aligned}$ | 24.51 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}{\mathrm{Co} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ | Blue | 75 | 188 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.40 \\ & (51.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.33 \\ & (5.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.91 \\ & (7.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.32 \\ & (6.32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.00 \\ & (5.00) \end{aligned}$ | 18.88 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right){ }_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ | White | 65 | 189 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.08 \\ & (50.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.02 \\ & (5.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.02 \\ & (9.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.18 \\ & (6.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.46 \\ & (14.08) \end{aligned}$ | 22.1 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{La} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | White | 64 | 188 | $\begin{aligned} & 50.12 \\ & (50.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.92 \\ & (5.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.26 \\ & (9.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.23 \\ & (6.99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.01 \\ & (10.07) \end{aligned}$ | 30.10 |

Table 2: I.R. Spectra ( $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) of the GLZ, GLB, GLM and their metal complexes

| Compounds | $\gamma(\mathrm{OH})$ Enolic | $\gamma$ (NH) | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) \\ & \text { Asym } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) \\ & \text { Sym } \end{aligned}$ | $\gamma(C=0)$ <br> Amide | $\gamma(\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{O})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gliclazide } \\ & \left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu} \\ & \left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Co} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { Glibenclamide } \\ & \left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{CIN} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu} \\ & \left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{CIN} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{Glimeperide} \\ & \left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Hg} \\ & \left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{La} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | 3100-3320 Br. 3220-3320 br. 3220-3363 br. 3280-3310 3280-3320 br. 3283.6-3363.6 b 3100-3400 3163.2-3384 br. 3289.6-3381 br. | 3100 br. 3024 br. <br> 3060 br. <br> br. 2945.7 br. <br> 2931.0 br. <br> 2932.0 br. | 1375 sh. <br> 1365 sh. <br> 1340.8 m . <br> 1305 sh. <br> 1315 m. <br> 1340 m . <br> 1375 sh. <br> 1348 m. <br> 1358.7 m . | $\begin{aligned} & 1100 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1120 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1160.6 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1160 \mathrm{w} . \\ & 1160 \mathrm{w} . \\ & 1160 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1100 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1161 \mathrm{w} . \\ & 1216 \mathrm{br} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1460 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1481 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1481 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1480 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1460 \mathrm{w} . \\ & 1481 \mathrm{w} . \\ & 1460 \mathrm{sh} . \\ & 1440 \mathrm{w} . \\ & 1432.8 \mathrm{w} . \end{aligned}$ | 530 m . <br> 577 m. <br> 530 m . <br> 577.0 m. <br> 588.6 m. <br> 670.8 br. |

Table 3: ESR spectral data of Cu (II), Co (II), Hg (II), La (II) complexes of gliclazide, glibenclamide and glimeperide

| Compounds | Temp. | $\mathrm{g}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{gav}_{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A_{1} \times 10^{-4} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & g_{11} / A_{11} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\alpha^{2}$ | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}$ | $\mathrm{RT}^{\text {a }}$ | 2.20 | 2.09 | 2.11 | 184.33 | 119 | 0.7793 | 2.93 |
|  | $\mathrm{LNT}^{\text {b }}$ | 2.18 | 2.05 | 2.10 | 182.03 | 119 | 0.7628 | 3.27 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Co} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | RTa | 2.16 | 2.05 | 2.27 | 184.22 | 117 | 0.7768 | 3.11 |
|  | LNT ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.14 | 2.03 | 2.25 | 183.11 | 116 | 0.7755 | 3.07 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) 2 \mathrm{Cu}$ | RT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.27 | 2.04 | 2.25 | 184.22 | 119 | 0.7792 | 3.25 |
|  | LNT ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.22 | 2.03 | 2.24 | 183.03 | 118 | 0.7631 | 3.08 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) 2 \mathrm{Co} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{RT}^{\text {a }}$ | 2.19 | 2.04 | 2.27 | 185.23 | 118 | 0.7765 | 2.99 |
|  | $\mathrm{LNT}^{\text {b }}$ | 2.16 | 2.05 | 2.25 | 183.02 | 118 | 0.7762 | 3.22 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ | RT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.21 | 2.05 | 3.38 | 184.35 | 119 | 0.7760 | 3.05 |
|  | LNT ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.16 | 2.03 | 2.27 | 182.04 | 118 | 0.7758 | 2.93 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}{\mathrm{La} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ | RT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.22 | 2.07 | 2.37 | 182.03 | 121 | 0.7792 | 2.94 |
|  | LNT ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.16 | 2.08 | 2.42 | 182.05 | 118 | 0.7629 | 3.25 |

Where, $g_{11}, g$, and $G=$ are the EPR parameters $\quad A_{11}=$ reduced absorbances $\alpha^{2}=$ bonding parameters

## Molar conductance

The complexes were dissolved in DMF and the molar conductivities of $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ of their solutions at 298 K are measured. It is concluded from results listed in table (1) that the complexes are found to have molar conductance values of 13.18 to $30.15 \mathrm{U}^{-1} \mathrm{~mole}^{-1} \mathrm{am}^{-2}$ indicating that all the metal complexes are non-electrolytes.

## IR spectral studies

The IR data of the spectra of GLZ, GLB, GLM ligand and there complexes are listed in table (2). The IR spectra of the complexes are compared with those of the free GLZ, GLB, GLM ligands in order to determine the coordination sites that may be involved in complexation ${ }^{7-14}$. The tautomeric equilibrium depends on the extent of conjugation, nature and position of the substituent, polarity of the solvent etc.

## Electronic spectral studies

In the ESR spectrum, from the observed ' $g$ ' values of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{La}(\mathrm{II})$ complex. It is evident that the unpaired electron is predominantly in $d x^{2}-y^{2}$ orbital with the possibly of same $d_{2}{ }^{2}$ character being mixed with it because of low symmetry. The ' $g_{1}$ ' value is less than 2.3 indicater a larger percentage of covalency. The $G$ value less than 4, concludes the interaction between metal
centres. The ratio $g_{11} / A_{11}=119 \mathrm{~cm}$. suggests the square planar geometry and the ratio 121 suggested the octahedral geometry(Rosenberg et.al $\left.{ }^{15}, 1999\right)$. The observations were recorded in table 3.

## Magnetic susceptibility studies

The room temperature magnetic moment of the complexes was found to be 4.66 B.M. which corresponds to the presence of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{La}(\mathrm{II})$ in octahedral geometry. Zayed et al ${ }^{16}$., (2000) Cotton et al., ${ }^{17}$ (1999)

In addition to that, the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, complex is found to have magnetic moment value of 4.62 B.M. which indicates the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex with tetrahedral structure.

## Thermal analysis (TGA and DTG)

In the present investigation, the weight losses for each complex were calculated within corresponding temperature ranges. The obtained data are listed in table 4. All complexes are thermally decomposed in three decomposition steps within the temperature range of $50-600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The TGA/DTA curves for the complexes are shown in Fig. (a) to (d)

The thermoanalytical data are presented in table 4. In studying the decomposition kinetics ${ }^{18-26}$, three methods mentioned in the
Table 4 Thermogravimetric data of Glibenclamide-Cu complex by Sharp-Wentworth ${ }^{28-29}$ method

| Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ Temp ( T ) | $\frac{100}{T}$ | \% Mass <br> Loss | Change in Wt. 'c' grams | 1-c | $-\frac{d c}{-d t}$ | $\log (\mathrm{dc} / \mathrm{dt})$ | $\log (1-c)$ | $\begin{gathered} \log \\ (\mathrm{dc} / \mathrm{dt}) / 1-\mathrm{c} \end{gathered}$ | Weight \% (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 303 | 3.30033 | 0.638 | 0.00006 | 0.99994 | 0.00009 | -4.05164 | -0.00003 | -4.05187 | 99.362 |
| 50 | 323 | 3.09598 | 1.106 | 0.00010 | 0.99990 | 0.00012 | -3.92395 | -0.00004 | -3.92435 | 98.894 |
| 70 | 343 | 2.91545 | 1.534 | 0.00014 | 0.99986 | 0.00017 | -3.77705 | -0.00006 | -3.77758 | 98.466 |
| 90 | 363 | 2.75482 | 2.148 | 0.00019 | 0.99981 | 0.00020 | -3.69867 | -0.00008 | -3.69939 | 97.852 |
| 110 | 383 | 2.61097 | 2.635 | 0.00024 | 0.99976 | 0.00022 | -3.65637 | -0.00010 | -3.65724 | 97.365 |
| 130 | 403 | 2.48139 | 2.958 | 0.00027 | 0.99973 | 0.00024 | -3.61347 | -0.00012 | -3.61444 | 97.042 |
| 150 | 423 | 2.36407 | 3.275 | 0.00030 | 0.99970 | 0.00027 | -3.56881 | -0.00013 | -3.56987 | 96.725 |
| 170 | 443 | 2.25734 | 3.629 | 0.00033 | 0.99967 | 0.00030 | -3.52480 | -0.00014 | -3.52596 | 96.371 |
| 190 | 463 | 2.15983 | 4.017 | 0.00036 | 0.99964 | 0.00033 | -3.48241 | -0.00016 | -3.48368 | 95.983 |
| 210 | 483 | 2.07039 | 4.432 | 0.00040 | 0.99960 | 0.00038 | -3.42467 | -0.00017 | -3.42605 | 95.568 |
| 230 | 503 | 1.98807 | 5.031 | 0.00046 | 0.99954 | 0.00042 | -3.38055 | -0.00020 | -3.38209 | 94.969 |
| 250 | 523 | 1.91205 | 5.594 | 0.00051 | 0.99949 | 0.00050 | -3.30383 | -0.00022 | -3.30551 | 94.406 |
| 270 | 543 | 1.84162 | 6.593 | 0.00060 | 0.99940 | 0.00057 | -3.24715 | -0.00026 | -3.24909 | 93.407 |
| 290 | 563 | 1.77620 | 7.556 | 0.00069 | 0.99931 | 0.00059 | -3.23207 | -0.00030 | -3.23429 | 92.444 |
| 310 | 583 | 1.71527 | 7.969 | 0.00072 | 0.99928 | 0.00061 | -3.21413 | -0.00031 | -3.21645 | 92.031 |
| 330 | 603 | 1.65837 | 8.324 | 0.00076 | 0.99924 | 0.00063 | -3.19810 | -0.00033 | -3.20052 | 91.676 |
| 350 | 623 | 1.60514 | 8.648 | 0.00078 | 0.99922 | 0.00065 | -3.18558 | -0.00034 | -3.18808 | 91.352 |
| 370 | 643 | 1.55521 | 8.917 | 0.00081 | 0.99919 | 0.00067 | -3.17559 | -0.00035 | -3.17817 | 91.083 |
| 390 | 663 | 1.50830 | 9.138 | 0.00083 | 0.99917 | 0.00068 | -3.16753 | -0.00036 | -3.17016 | 90.862 |
| 410 | 683 | 1.46413 | 9.32 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.00069 | -3.16117 | -0.00037 | -3.16384 | 90.680 |
| 430 | 703 | 1.42248 | 9.467 | 0.00086 | 0.99914 | 0.00070 | -3.15540 | -0.00037 | -3.15812 | 90.533 |
| 450 | 723 | 1.38313 | 9.598 | 0.00087 | 0.99913 | 0.00071 | -3.14976 | -0.00038 | -3.15250 | 90.402 |
| 470 | 743 | 1.34590 | 9.725 | 0.00088 | 0.99912 | 0.00072 | -3.14431 | -0.00038 | -3.14708 | 90.275 |
| 490 | 763 | 1.31062 | 9.849 | 0.00089 | 0.99911 | 0.00073 | -3.13884 | -0.00039 | -3.14164 | 90.151 |
| 510 | 783 | 1.27714 | 9.974 | 0.00091 | 0.99909 | 0.00889 | -2.05090 | -0.00039 | -2.05276 | 90.026 |

Table 5: Thermogravimetric data of Glibenclamide-Cu complex by Freeman and Carroll ${ }^{26-27}$ method

| Temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | \% Mass Loss | Change in Wt. (gm.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Sec. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{lcc} \mathrm{dw} / \mathrm{dt} & \begin{array}{l} \log w r=w c-w \log w r \\ d w / d t \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} T \\ (K) \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / \mathrm{T} \\ (\mathrm{~K}-1) \end{gathered}$ | (Log dt/dt) /(log wr | $\begin{gathered} (1 / \mathrm{T}) / \\ \mathrm{Log} \\ \mathrm{wr} \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha=g$ <br> wt/wc | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=1- \\ & n / 1-n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}^{3} \times 10^{-7} \\ & (1-\alpha)^{1-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{g} \alpha / \mathrm{T} 3 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{T} \times 10 \\ \times 10^{7} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 3 \quad \log \\ & g(\alpha) / T^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 4.049 | 0.0002057 | 90 | 0.0004250-3.37170.003171-2.498866 303 | 0.003300 | 1.3493 | . 001 | . 06093 | 0.0628 | 2.78 | . 0013763.300 | -86.8 |
| 50 | 8.566 | 0.0004352 | 150 | 0.0004479-3.34880.002941-2.531500 323 | 0.003096 | 1.3229 | 001 | . 1289 | 0.1378 | 3.369 | 0.0052723 .095 | -51.9 |
| 70 | 9.243 | 0.0004696 | 210 | 0.0004567-3.34030.002907-2.536609 343 | 0.002915 | 1.3169 | 001 | . 139 | 0.1496 | 4.035 | 0.0051552 .915 | -41.6 |
| 90 | 9.451 | 0.0004802 | 270 | 0.0004616-3.33570.002896-2.538191363 | 0.002755 | 1.3142 | 001 | . 142 | 0.1532 | 4.783 | 0.0045552 .754 | -34.7 |
| 110 | 9.558 | 0.0004856 | 330 | 0.0004679-3.32990.002891-2.539007383 | 0.002611 | 1.3115 | 0010 | . 1438 | 0.1551 | 5.618 | 0.0039702 .610 | -29.39 |
| 130 | 9.686 | 0.0004921 | 390 | 0.0004797-3.31900.002884-2.539985403 | 0.002481 | 1.3067 | 0009 | . 1457 | 0.1573 | 6.545 | 0.0035032 .481 | -25.05 |
| 150 | 9.926 | 0.0005043 | 450 | 0.0005039-3.29770.002872-2.541826 423 | 0.002364 | 1.2974 | . 0009 | 0.14938 | 0.1615 | 7.568 | 0.0031882 .364 | -21.37 |
| 170 | 10.413 | 0.0005291 | 510 | 0.0005484-3.26090.002847-2.545584 443 | 0.002257 | 1.2810 | . 0008 | . 1567 | 0.1702 | 8.693 | 0.0030672 .257 | -18.10 |
| 190 | 11.31 | 0.0005749 | 570 | 0.0006259-3.20350.002801-2.552623 463 | 0.002160 | 1.2550-0.00 | 0.0008 | 0.17027 | 0.1863 | 9.9253 | 0.0031962 .15982 | 7-15.09 |
| 210 | 12.884 | 0.0006546 | 630 | 0.0007092-3.14920.002722-2.565170 483 | 0.002070 | 1.2277-0.00 | 0.00080 | 0.19389 | 0.2151 | 11.2679 | 0.0037012 .0703 | 3-12.2 |
| 230 | 14.603 | 0.0007420 | 690 | 0.0008170-3.08780.002634-2.579336503 | 0.001988 | 1.1971-0.00 | 0.00077 | 10.21976 | 0.2475 | 12.726 | 0.0042751 .9880 | 2-9.935 |
| 250 | 16.81 | 0.0008541 | 750 | 0.0013404-2.87280.002522-2.598228523 | 0.001912 | 1.1057 | 0.00073 | 0.25298 | 0.2908 | 14.3056 | 0.0051431 .91204 | 6-7.922 |
| 270 | 27.221 | 0.0013831 | 810 | 0.0021815-2.66120.001993-2.700455543 | 0.001842 | 0.9855-0.00 | 0.00068 | 2.40965 | 0.5243 | 16.01030 | 0.0134151 .84162 | 1-4.1724 |
| 290 | 44.295 | 0.0022506 | 870 | 0.0026006-2.58490.001126-2.948599 563 | 0.001776 | 0.8767-0.00 | 0.00060 | 0.66660 | 1.0864 | 17.845 | 0.0405831 .77619 | 9-0.7852 |
| 310 | 53.398 | 0.0027132 | 930 | 0.0027506-2.56060.000663-3.178407583 | 0.001715 | 0.8056-0. | 0.0005 | 0.80359 | 1.6014 | 19.8155 | 0.0649411 .71526 | 60.55273 |
| 330 | 56.805 | 0.0028863 | 990 | 0.0028234-2.54920.000490-3.309794 603 | 0.001658 | 0.7702-0.000 | . 00050 | 10.85487 | 1.8933 | 21.9256 | 0.0738191 .65837 | 50.95378 |
| 350 | 58.409 | 0.0029678 | 1050 | 0.0028782-2.54090.000409-3.388795 623 | 0.001605 | 0.7498-0 | 0.00047 | 40.87900 | 2.0680 | 24.1804 | 0.0751771 .60513 | 61.073373 |
| 370 | 59.567 | 0.0030266 | 1110 | 0.0029243-2.53400.000350-3.456336 643 | 0.001555 | 0.7331-0 | 0.00045 | 0.89643 | 2.2169 | 26.5848 | 0.0747531 .55521 | 01.1219 |
| 390 | 60.531 | 0.0030756 | 1170 | 0.0029769-2.52620.000301-3.521876 663 | 0.001508 | 0.7173-0 | 0.00042 | 0.91094 | 2.3609 | 29.1434 | 0.0737941 .50829 | 61.14112 |
| 410 | 61.616 | 0.0031307 | 1230 | 0.0030311-2.51840.000246-3.609834 683 | 0.001464 | 0.6976-0 | 0.00040 | 0.92727 | 2.5535 | 31.8612 | 0.0743141 .46412 | 91.17488 |
| 430 | 62.737 | 0.0031877 | 1290 | 0.0030755-2.51210.000189-3.724443703 | 0.001422 | 0.6745-0 | 0.00038 | 0.94414 | 4.2660 | 34.7429 | 0.1159301.42247 | 51.74153 |
| 450 | 63.667 | 0.0032349 | 1350 | 0.0031104-2.50720.000141-3.849694723 | 0.001383 | 0.6513-0. | 0.00035 | 0.95813 | 50.0000 | 37.7933 | 1.2675971.38312 | 64.44627 |
| 470 | 64.399 | 0.0032721 | 1410 | 0.0031412-2.50290.000104-3.982297743 | 0.001346 | 0.6285-0 | 0.00033 | 0.96915 | 3.3603 | 41.0172 | 0.0793981 .34589 | 51.2501 |
| 490 | 65.042 | 0.0033048 | 1470 | 0.0031720-2.49870.000071-4.145757 763 | 0.001311 | 10.6027-0 | 0.00031 | 0.97883 | 3.7101 | 44.419 | 0.0817561 .31061 | 61.2609 |
| 510 | 65.68 | 0.0033372 | 1530 | 0.0032094-2.49360.000039-4.408124783 | 0.001277 | 0.5657-0. | 0.00029 | 0.98843 | \#REF! | 48.0049 | \#REF! 1.27713 | \#REF! |

Table 6: Thermogravimetric data of Metal complexes of GLZ, GLB and GLM drugs with corresponding to heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$

| Complexes | Decomposition Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | \%Wt. loss | Ea (Kj/mole) |  | $\Delta \mathbf{S}^{*}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{F}$ (Kj/mole) | $\begin{array}{lr} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{S}^{*} \\ \text { (Kj/mole) } \end{array}$ |  | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | F.C. | w.w |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}$ | 30-150 | 9.926 | 32.87 | 31.37 | -28.85 | -8.708 | 281.2 | -44.2681 | 0.9 |
|  | 150-350 | 58.408 | 51.21 | 51.01 | -64.65 | -27.29569 | 269.8 |  |  |
|  | 350-510 | 65.68 | 110.30 | 109.23 | -112.5 | -69.9772 | 252.7 |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Co} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 30-150 | 5.815 | 33.67 | 32.66 | -33.98 | -10.26227 | 322.8 | -48.5380 | 1.01 |
|  | 150-350 | 51.027 | 55.14 | 54.38 | -82.07 | -34.66047 | 268.3 |  |  |
|  | 350-510 | 69.718 | 109.37 | 108.38 | -116.8 | -72.65703 | 252.3 |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ClN} \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}$ | 30-150 | 10.413 | 29.77 | 28.68 | -24.59 | -7.421 | 284.3 | -43.2123 | 0.98 |
|  | 150-350 | 58.409 | 67.76 | 66.14 | -67.76 | -29.94992 | 263.0 |  |  |
|  | 350-510 | 65.042 | 114.2 | 113.92 | -116.50 | -72.4653 | 252.0 |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}{\mathrm{Co} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ | 30-150 | 11.414 | 33.45 | 33.12 | -33.98 | -10.26249 | 257.6 | -39.804 | 0.98 |
|  | 150-350 | 33.869 | 85.94 | 85.00 | -69-18 | -30.5608 | 257.6 |  |  |
|  | 350-510 | 45.139 | 137.5 | 138.00 | -101.2 | -42.6701 | 248.3 |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ | 30-150 | 2.577 | 52.66 | 52.16 | -43.48 | -13.12178 | 269.2 | -44.2381 | 0.99 |
|  | 150-350 | 61.536 | 85.94 | 85.13 | -82.05 | -39.54421 | 269.2 |  |  |
|  | 350-510 | 81.344 | 138.23 | 138.14 | -102.2 | -43.09237 | 257.6 |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ | 30-150 | 2.577 | 52.66 | 52.16 | -43.48 | -13.12178 | 269.2 | -44.2381 | 0.99 |
|  | 150-350 | 61.536 | 85.94 | 85.13 | -82.05 | -39.54421 | 269.2 |  |  |
|  | 350-510 | 81.344 | 138.23 | 138.14 | -102.2 | -43.09237 | 257.6 |  |  |

literature were used in each case the least square plots were drawn. The first few points that did not fall on straight line were discarded. These types of deviations of points are reported in literature by
several research workers. This is explained as due to the failure of obeying as first order kinetics always by the solids in their decomposition in the early stages.


Fig. 1:The FT-IR of Cu-glibenclamide complex


Fig. 2: Determination of activation energy by SW method

## Theoretical Consideration

To provide further evidence regarding the degradation system of analyzed compounds we derived the TG curves by applying an analytical method proposed by Freeman-Carroll ${ }^{27-28}$ and Sharp-Wentworth ${ }^{29-30}$.

## Freeman-Carroll Method ${ }^{26-27}$

The straight line equation derived by Freeman and Carroll, which is in the form of Where, = rate of change of weight with time $\mathrm{Wr}=\mathrm{Wc}-\mathrm{W}$ $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad=\quad \mathrm{Wt}$. loss at completion of reaction $\mathrm{W} \quad=\quad$ Total wt. loss upto time ' t '


Fig. 3: Determination of order of reaction and activation energy by FC method


Fig. 4: TGA Curve of GLM-Hg complex


Fig. 5: TGA Curve of GLZ-Co Complex


Fig. 6: TGA Curve of GLZ-Cu Complex


Fig. 7: DTG Curve of GLB-Cu Complex
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad=\quad$ Energy of activation $\mathrm{n} \quad=\quad$ Order of reaction

The plot between the term Vs gives a straight line from which slope can be calculated, also we obtained energy of activation (Ea) and intercept on Y-axis as order of reaction (n). The change in entropy ( $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ ), frequency factor (Z), apparent entropy $\left(S^{*}\right)$ can also be calculated by further calculation.

## Sharp-Wentworth Method ${ }^{28-29}$

Using the equation derived by Sharp and Wentworth

$$
\frac{\frac{\Delta \log d c}{d T}}{(1-c)}=\frac{\log A}{B}-\frac{E q}{2.303 R} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{1}}{T}
$$

Where, $\frac{d c}{d T}=$ Rate of change of fraction of weight with change in temperature.
$\beta=$ Linear heating rate $\frac{d T}{d t}$ by plotting the graph between

$$
\frac{\frac{\Delta \log d c}{d T}}{(1-c)} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\bar{T}}
$$

We obtained the straight line which gives energy of activation (Ea) from its slope.

The thermodynamic activation parameters of decomposition process of dehydrate complexes namely activation energy (Ea), enthalpy ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ ), Entropy ( $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ ) and Gibb's free energy change of decomposition ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) are evaluated graphically by employing Free man-Carroll and Sharp-Wentworth relation. The data are summarized in table 6 the activation energies of decomposition are found to be in the range 29.70 to $204.7 \mathrm{KJ.Mole}^{-1}$. The high value of activation energies reflect the thermal stability of complexes. The entropy of activation is found to have negative values in all the complexes


For Gliclazide Complex, where $\mathrm{R} 1=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R} 2=$


Where $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}$



For Gllclazide Complex, where $\mathrm{R} 1=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R} 2=$


For Gllbenclamide Complex, $\mathrm{R} 1=$ R2 $=$ H
Where M = La, Co
Structure 1


Where, $M=H g$, La
Structure 2
which indicate that decomposition reactions process with lower rate than the normal ones ${ }^{31-35}$.

## DISCUSSION

The complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{La}$ and Co were synthesized with oral hypoglycemic agents i.e. gliclazide, glibenclamide and glimeperide the formulae suggested for the complexes are well supported by the Jobs method of continuous variation as modified by Turner and Anderson,moreover, the formulae of the complexes further gets supports from the analytical data.

The structure of the complexes are supported from variety of spectroscopic technique like I.R,Electronic spectra,TGAmethod whose
results are summarized in Tables-2,3,4,5 and 6 respectively.All the complexes prove to be formed in 2:1 ligand metal ratio The complexes are formed after enolisation of the drugs which is indicative by the presence of only metal oxygen bonds and not the metal nitrogen. The Cu and Hg complexes shows tetrahedral structures while La and Co complexes shows octahedral structures in which the six co-ordination is fulfilled by two water molecule in which the oxygen of the water is vertically joining to the metal atom, above and below the plane of the molecule.

Thus on the bases of analytical data and spectroscopic studies the following structure-I and II may be assighn for the $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Hg}$ and La , Co complexes respectively.
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