

ORIENTAL JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY

An International Open Free Access, Peer Reviewed Research Journal

www.orientjchem.org

ISSN: 0970-020 X CODEN: OJCHEG 2016, Vol. 32, No. (2): Pg. 1055-1059

Spectrophotometric Determination of Kinetic Constants of Fe (III)-Amines's Charge Transfere Complexes

KAMEL BENTAYEB*, NOUREDDINE TCHOUAR and ADIL A. OTHMAN

Department of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Sciences and Technology- Mohamed Boudiaf- Oran, USTO-MB, P.O.B.1505 El Mnaouer, Oran, Algeria *Corresponding author E-mails: k_bentayeb@yahoo.fr

http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/ojc/320230

(Received: March 02, 2016; Accepted: April 11, 2016)

ABSTRACT

Using UV-visible spectrophotometric data of (1:1), electron donor-acceptor complexes (AD₁, AD₂ and AD₃) have been investigated. The complexes concerned are n-butyl amine (D1) and cyclohexyl amine (D₂) and phenyl hydrazine (D₃) as electron donors with iron (III) ion as an electron acceptor (A) in methanol at 25°C. The values of formation constant (κ^{AD}), molar extinction coefficient (ϵ^{AD}), and absorption band energy of complexes were estimated. The ionization potential of the donors I^D, were calculated from the complex band energies. The kinetics of the above association and reverse reactions were studied and some kinetic parameters have been estimated.

Keywords: UV-Visible spectroscopy, charge transfer complex, Benesi-Hildebrand, Scott and Foster-Hammick-Wardley equations, formation constant, molar extinction coefficient.

INTRODUCTION

Many electronic spectrophotometric studies have been reported in the coordination chemistry field on complexes.¹⁻⁶ Generally, these complexes were obtained by using different electron donors with various organic,⁷⁻¹⁰ or metallic electron acceptors¹¹⁻¹³ in polar and non-polar solvents. In this work, the formation of complexes were discussed and different parameters concerning their behaviour in methanol solution at 25°C were calculated. Usually the formation constants (ϵ^{AD}) and molar extinction coefficients (ϵ^{AD}) of complex were calculated using the equations of Benesi-Hildebrand, Scott and Foster-Hammick-Wardley¹⁴⁻¹⁶ (equations 1, 2 and 3) respectively by using the obtained experimental data:

$[A_0] / A = 1 / K^{AD} \varepsilon^{AD} [D_0] + 1 / \varepsilon^{AD}$	(1)
--	-----

 $[D_0] [A_0] / A = [D_0] / \varepsilon^{AD} + 1 / \varepsilon^{AD} K^{AD} \qquad ...(2)$

 $A / [D_0] = - K^{AD} A + K^{AD} \varepsilon^{AD} [A_0]$...(3)

This paper is dedicated to the memory of the late Professor Ali Hassoun Al-Taiar

Where A is, the absorbance of the complex band, $[A_o]$ and $[D_o]$ are the initial concentrations of the electron acceptor and the electron donor respectively. ε^{AD} is the formation constant of the complex in solution, while ε^{AD} represents the molar extinction coefficient of complex. The charge transfer complexes may also be studied by evaluating their electronic absorption band energies. The ionization potentials of electron donors can be obtained from charge transfer absorption bands by using different approaches as in the following equations^{8,17-19}:

This paper is dedicated to the memory of the late Professor Ali Hassoun Al-Taiar

h. $v_{AD} = ID - C_1 + C_2 / I^D - C_1$	(4)
h. $v_{AD} = \alpha I^{D} + b$	(5)
I ^D = 4.39 + 0.857 hυ _{AD}	(6)
$I^{D} = 5.1 + 0.7 \text{ hv}_{AD}$	(7)

Where hu_{AD} is the absorption band energy of charge transfer complex, and I^D is the ionization potential of the electron donor. $C_1 = 5.2 \text{ eV}$, $C_2 = 1.5 \text{ eV}$, a = 0.67 or 0.87, b = -1.9 or -3.6.

Several kinetics parameters of association of electron donors with electron acceptors been reported^{20, 21}.

EXPERIMENTAL

n-Butyl amine, cyclohexyl amine, phenylhydrazine and Ferric chloride with a purity of 99.8% obtained from Merck have been used without further purification. Methanol (Fluka) was distilled before using. The UV-visible spectra were measured using a Pye Unicam SP8-100 spectrophotometer. The complexes were prepared by mixing variable amounts of donor solutions with an acceptor solution in methanol. The donor concentrations used ranged between 1.10⁻³ and 4.10⁻⁹ mol/L while the acceptor concentration remained constant (1.10⁻³ mol/L).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we investigated the kinetics of the equilibrium between n-butyl amine, cyclohexyl amine, phenylhydrazine and Ferric chloride and their complexes in methanol at 25°C. Also, calculating the equilibrium constants and the orders of the reaction as well as the rate constants of the forward and the reverse reactions. Moreover, the half-life period of the reactions also obtained. In addition, the ionization potentials of the electron donors calculated spectroscopically. The reason for choosing the amines as complexing agents is that their reaction with Fe (III) ion is slow and easy to measure. The importance of all calculations in this work is to prove the validity of Scott and Foster-Hammick-Wardley equations for these reactions. Another major objective of this study was the calculation of the formation constants of the complexes. In principle, the analysis of the UV/Visible spectrometric method using the Benesi-Hildebrand's equation, later modified by Scott, permits these determinations for complexes of 1:1 type, for which the proposed equation is linear. In addition, this method provides an easier and direct determination of iron without any extraction or heating. Finally, for additional works, some results could be obtained to give complementary parameters, such as pH of the solution, nature of solvent and temperature in the complexation reactions of Fe (III), and hence to accomplish determination of iron in different water samples. Table 1 shows the symbols and structures of donors (D_i) and acceptor (A) FeCl₃.

The UV maximum wavelength absorption band of donors, acceptor and complexes are shown in Table 2. All maximum absorption bands of the acceptor and donors disappeared and the new absorption bands of the complexes are located in the visible region probably due to the complete transfer of electrons from the donor, which has ionic properties, to the unfilled orbital of the transition ferric ion.

With the ferric ion, the three complexes have been prepared with various amines of which of two of them are between 340 and 360 nm due to the strong affinity of the metal ion towards the formation. For complexe AD_3 , the wide gab in as compared the other two mentioned amine complexes, may be attributed to the effect of the two amine functions present already there.

The energy in eV for complexes $(AD_1, AD_2 \& AD_3)$ were obtained by using the following equations 8 and 9.

$E_{AD} = 1243.667 / \lambda_{max}$	(e.V)	(8)
$\Delta G^0 = - RT \ln K^{AD} =$	- 2.303RT log K ^{AD}	(9)

Where, ΔG^{0} is the free energy of complex (in kJmol⁻¹), R the gas constant (8,314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), T the temperature in Kelvin. The value of ionization potentials I_D of the donors calculated using equations 4 - 6 are equals to, 7.131, 7.848, 7.100 eV for D₁, D₂ and D₃ respectively.

For phenylhydrazine-Fe complex it is observed that equation (2) give the better straight line ($R^2 = 0.999$) when [D_0].[A_0]/A was plotted against [D_0] with support the formation of charge transfer complex (Figure 1).

Thus, ϵ^{AD} and K^{AD} are easily determined. The regression equation describing the line obtained from Scott plot is given by:

$$[D_0].[A_0]/A = 0.021.10^{-6} + 4.25 \ 10^{+2}[D_0]$$

In this plot the slop and intercept equals $1/\epsilon^{AD}$ and $1/\epsilon^{AD}$ K^{AD} respectively. The formation constant and molar coefficient of the AD complex were calculated and shower in Table 3. The others coefficients are calculated from equation (1).

Table 3 gives the values of ε^{AD} and K^{AD} , absorption band energy (E) and free energy (Δ G) of the complex reaction in methanol at different donor concentrations at 25°C. When equation (2) is used and plotted, the value K^{AD} and ε^{AD} for the complex phenylhydrazine-Fe (AD₃) complex obtained as shown in figure 1 and table 3.

Table 1: Amines as donors (D_i) and FeCl₃ as acceptor (A)

Symbole	Nomenclature	Structure
A	Ferric chloride	FeCl ₃
D ₁	n-Butylamine	$n - C_4 H_9 N H_2$
D ₂	Cyclohexylamine	NH ₂
D ₃	Phenylhydrazine	NH –NH ₂
		$\langle \bigcirc \rangle$

These values of ϵ^{AD} are 2488 L.cm⁻¹. mole⁻¹ while those of K^{AD} are 4246 L.mole^{*1}.

From the values of ε^{AD} show that lower amounts of donor are more sensitive to the absorption than higher concentrations. These high values of K^{AD} may show the high stability of the complex in methanol solutions.

The reaction of phenylhydrazine as an example with ferric ion is estimated to be a second order reaction as in Figure 2 and Table 4.

The rate constant (k_1) of the forward reaction was calculated from the graph and the value obtained was 0,97 x 10^{-3} L.mol⁻¹.min⁻¹ from which the half-life $(t_{1/2})$ of 206 min. was obtained. Using the values of the equilibrium constant shown in Table 3, the rate constant of the reverse reaction (k_{-1})



Fig. 1: Scott plots of charge transfer complex of phenylhydrazine with Fe(III) in methanol at 25 °C

Table 2: Maximum wavelength of the
absorption bands of the donor, acceptor and
complex (AD) in methanol at 25°C

Compound Donors	Α λ _{max} (n.m)	D λ _{max} (n.m)	A D λ_{max} (n.m)
D,	275	277	340
	275	277	406
D_3^{-}	275	273	361

was calculated to be 0,23 x 10^{-6} L.mol⁻¹.min⁻¹. Since it is none that $K^{AD} = k_1/k_{-1}$ (see table 3)

These values of K^{AD} , k_1 and k_1 show that the stability of phenylhydrazine-Fe complex is very high

and the forward reaction rate is much higher than the reverse reaction rate. K^{AD} values of the complexes vary between 473 - 4246 l/mol. These results are in accord that iron ion/ Phenylhydrazine complex is more stable.



Fig. 2: Plot of second order reaction of phenylhydrazine-Fe complex

Table 3: Values of formation constant (K^{AD}), molar extinction coefficient (ϵ^{AD}), energy of the absorption band (E) and free energy change (Δ G) of the complex reaction in methanol at 25°C

	Complex AD ₁	Complex AD ₂	Complex AD ₃	
K ^{AD} (l/mol)	709.06	473	4246	
ε ^{AD} (l/mol.cm)	2150.70	693.02	2488	
E (ev)	3.6483	3.0552	3.435	
∆E (ev)	5.36 x 10 ⁻³	3.762 x 10 ⁻³	4.765 x 10 ⁻³	
-∆G (kj/mol)	16.37	15.56	20.53	

Table 4: Resu	Its of the	kinetic	studies
---------------	------------	---------	---------

Symbol	Complex	Order of reaction	Rate* constant k ₁ .10³	Rate* constant k _{_1} .10 ⁶	t _{1/2} (min)	
AD,	n-Buthylamine-Fe	0	2.70	3.81	5330	
AD ₂	Cyclohexamine-Fe	1	4.03	8.52	1720	
	Phenylhydrazine-Fe	2	0,97	0.23	206	

*the unit of the rate constant k depends on the order of the reaction.

Order 0: $(k_1) = \text{mol. } L^{-1}.\text{min.}^{-1}$, Order 1: $(K_1) = \text{min.}^{-1}$, Order 2: $(K_1) = L. \text{ mol.}^{-1}.\text{min.}^{-1}$

1058

CONCLUSIONS

Charge transfer complex (AD) was obtained and the colored reaction of phenylhydrazine with iron (III) was studied using Scott equation and the spectrometric UV-visible data. The obtained results indicate the formation of 1:1 charge transfer complex. Phenylhydrazine is one of the sensitive spectrophotometric reagents for iron. The molar absorptivity of the complex reaches 2488 L.cm⁻¹. mol⁻¹. The determination of some complexation parameters shows that the reaction is instantaneous. The association constant Fe (III)-phenylhydrazine and Gibbs free energy are 4246 L.mol⁻¹ and -20.53 kJ.mol⁻¹, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are due to Professor Anis Al-Najjar of Basrah University, Iraq, for scientific comments and to Mrs. Karima Abed for arranging references.

REFERENCES

- Gopala Krishna D.; Devi C.K. World J.Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sci. 2014, 3(2), 1260-1266.
- Cano-Guzmán C.F.; et al. J Textile Sci Eng. 2014, 4:155. doi: 10.4172/2165-8064.1000155
- Adikwi M.U.; Ofokansi K.C.; Attama A.A. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1999, 47(4): 463-466.
- Refat M.S.; Sadeek S.A. Can. J. Of anal. Sc. and spectr. 2006, 51(6): 312-322.
- 5. fazuddin T.; Biswanath M. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Chem. Sci.).* **2000**, *112*(4): 475–485.
- 6. Khan I.M; Ahmad A. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A.* **2009**, *73:* 966- 971.
- Dehghani H.; Sahba R.; Afrooz M.; Mollaei H. *Journal of the Chinese Chemical Society.* 2010, *57*: 690-695.
- Gao F.; Yang Y.Y. *Chines Chemical Letters.* 1999, *10*(22): 1041-1044.
- Saeed A. A. H.; Othman S.A.W.; Al-Jhalil K. A.; Atto A. T. *Can. J. Spectrosc.* **1981**, 2638-2645.
- 10. Ishaad M.K.; Ahmad A.; Oves M. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A.* **2010**, *77*: 1059-1064.

- 11. Sajid H.; Guzar J.; Qin Han I. *J. appl. Sc.* **2008**, *8*(13): 2480- 2485.
- Al-Taiar A. H.; Shubber S.H.; Megharbi A. *Turk. J. Chem.* 2002, *26*: 351- 355.
- Shahdousti P.; Aghamohammadi M.; Alizahed N. Spectrochim. Acta Part A, 2008, 69, 1195-1200.
- 14. Benesi H. A.; Hilderbrand J. H. *Amer J. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*: 2703.
- 15. Scott R. L. Proc. Int. Conf. On Co-ordination compounds, Amsterdam 1955.
- Foster R.; Hammick D. L.; Wardley A.A. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 3817-3820.
- 17. Chan R.K.; Liao S.C. *Can. J. Chem.* **1970**, *48*: 299-305.
- Singh N.; Ahmad A. Can. J. of Anal. Sci. Spectros. 2009, 54(1): 11-22.
- Subhani M.S.; Aslam S.; Qureshi R.; Rahman
 A. J. Chem. Soc. Pak. 2008, 30(2):232-236.
- 20. Absil A. C.; Buxeraud J.; Raby C. *Can. J. Chem.* **1984**, *62*: 1807- 1811.
- Subhani M.S.; Bhatti N. K.; Mohammad M.; Khan A. Y. *Turk J. Chem.* 2000, *24*(2): 223-230.