

ORIENTAL JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY

An International Open Free Access, Peer Reviewed Research Journal

ISSN: 0970-020 X CODEN: OJCHEG 2016, Vol. 32, No. (2): Pg. 921-926

www.orientjchem.org

Enantioselective Diels-Alder Reactions of Carboxylic Ester Dienophiles Catalysed by Titanium-Based Chiral Lewis Acid

YOGESH K. CHOUGHULE and ANAND V. PATWARDHAN*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Institute of Chemical Technology, Matunga, Mumbai 400019, Maharashtra, India. *Corresponding author E-mail: av.patwardhan@ictmumbai.edu.in

http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/ojc/320217

(Received: March 12, 2016; Accepted: April 05, 2016)

ABSTRACT

A new titanium-based chiral Lewis acid **1** has been developed using (1R,2R)-1,2-bis-(2-methoxyphenyl)-ethane-1,2-diol as a chiral vicinal diol ligand. This chiral catalyst was found to exhibit uniformly high enantioselectivity towards carboxylic ester dienophiles in Diels-Alder reactions. The chiral vicinal ligand (1R,2R)-1,2-bis-(2-methoxyphenyl)-ethane-1,2-diol is inexpensive and is easily accessible.

Keyword: Chiral Lewis acid, Chiral vicinal ligand, Diels-Alder reaction, Dienophiles, Carboxylic esters.

INTRODUCTION

The Diels-Alder reaction is known to be a popular method in synthetic chemistry.¹ The control of absolute stereochemistry has generated a great interest recently.² One successful methodology developed thus far utilises chiral auxiliaries that are integrated into the substrate, and eventually detached from the product by some chemical means. Here, the stereochemical consequence is due to the absolute stereocentre of the substrate (substrate control). There is an alternative method, which has not yet been as exhaustively explored, and which involves introduction of the stereocentre on the reagent (reagent control).^{3,4} This involves the cycloaddition of dienes and dienophiles catalysed by chiral Lewis acids, and simultaneous induction of asymmetry into the product. An example of such type of work, which involve highly reactive oxazolidinone dienophiles were successfully achieved by Narasaka, Chapuis, and Corey.^{4,5} However, the carboxylic ester dienophiles have shown poor enantioselectivity under these reagent-controlled conditions because of their less reactivity. The present work describes an attempt to develop a chiral Lewis acid for Diels-Alder reactions which induces asymmetry with ester dienophiles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General information

Dichloromethane was purified by calcium hydride distillation. Diethyl ether was distilled from potassium hydroxide and purified by sodium wire. TLC and column chromatography were carried out with silica gel. All reactions were conducted under nitrogen atmosphere, and the product was concentrated under reduced pressure with a rotary evaporator. The purity and characterisation of all compounds were done using HPLC and NMR techniques.

General procedure for titanium-based chiral Lewis acid formation 1

A 100 mL 3-neck round bottom flask was fitted with calcium guard tube, nitrogen gas inlet, and rubber septum respectively, to maintain anhydrous conditions. n-butyllithium (1.68 mL of 2.5 M in hexane, 4.2 mmol) was added to a suspension of (1R,2R)-1,2-bis-(2-methoxyphenyl)-ethane-1,2-diol (0.575 g, 2.1 mmol) in 4 mL of diethyl ether at 0°C. The resulting slurry was dissolved in 16 mL of solvent (different solvents were used for effect of solvent experiments see Table 3), and titanium tetrachloride (2.1 mL of 1.0 M solution in Dichloromethane, 2.1 mmol) was added. Lithium Chloride got precipitated. This mixture was used as such.

General procedure for Diels-Alder reaction

Dienophile (1.4 mmol) was added to a mixture of a Lewis acid (as prepared above), followed by diene (7 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 25 °C (carried out at different temperatures for temperature study: Table 2) for 4 h, and was quenched with 10 mL of 1 N aqueous hydrochloric acid. The aqueous phase was extracted (3×20 mL of dichloromethane). The combined organic phase were dried (using anhydrous sodium sulphate) and concentrated on rotary evaporator. Column chromatography of crude oil (silica, 9.5:0.5 hexane:ethyl acetate) gives enantioenriched Diels-Alder product as colourless oil.

Dimethyl-(4S,5S)-1,2-dimethylcyclohexene-4,5dicarboxylate (2a)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 1.56 (s, 6H), 2.05-2.23 (m, 4H), 2.74-2.78 (m, 2H), 3.63 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 175.2, 123.7, 51.6, 41.8, 34.0, 18.4.

Dimethyl-(4S,5S)-I-methylcyclohexene-4,5dicarboxylate (2b)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 1.64 (s, 3H), 2.06-2.38 (m, 4H), 2.75 (dt, J = 5.3, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (dt, J = 5.2, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 5.33 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 175.3, 175.2, 132.1, 118.9, 52.0, 51.7, 41.6, 41.0, 32.4, 27.96, 22.88.

Dimethyl-(4S,5S)-cyclohexene-4,5-dicarboxylate (2c)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 2.12-2.19 (m, 2H), 2.36-2.43 (m, 2H), 2.81-2.85 (m, 2H), 3.67 (s, 6H), 5.66 (d, *J*=2.3Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 175.2, 124.8, 51.8, 41.1, 27.8.



Fig. 1: Diels-Alder reactions catalysed by Lewis acid 1

922

Diene	Dienophile	Major Product	ee ^{a%}	Isolated Yield ^{b%}
X			94	82
		↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	92	80
		Contraction 2c	76-80°	55-78°
	-0-	p 2d	90	79
	0	2e	77	84
	° I °	2f	71	82
	0	0 2g	60-64°	58-80°
	0	2h	68	81
	0	0 2i	60	89
		0 2j	55	87
	0	0 2k	45-48°	60-84°
		۶-°° 2I	50	85
		DieneDienophile \downarrow ς_{0} \downarrow ς_{0} \downarrow ς_{0} ς_{0} ς_{0} ς_{0} ς_{0} ς_{0} ς_{0} ς_{0} ς_{0} \downarrow ς_{0} ς ς_{0} ς ς_{0} ς ς_{0} ς ς_{0} ς ς_{0} ς ς ς ς ς ς <td>DieneDienophileMajor Product$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\downarrow$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\downarrow$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\downarrow$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\downarrow$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$$\varsigma_{0}^{\dagger}$<</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td>	DieneDienophileMajor Product \downarrow ς_{0}^{\dagger} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow ς_{0}^{\dagger} \downarrow \downarrow ς ς_{0}^{\dagger} \downarrow \downarrow ς ς_{0}^{\dagger} ς_{0}^{\dagger} ς_{0}^{\dagger} \downarrow ς_{0}^{\dagger} ς_{0}^{\dagger} ς_{0}^{\dagger} ς ς_{0}^{\dagger} ς_{0}^{\dagger} ς_{0}^{\dagger} <	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1: Enantioselective Diels-Alder reactions catalysed by the titanium-based chiral Lewis acid 1 (T = 25 °C, 4 h)

^aEnantiomeric excess (ee%) was determined by chiral HPLC column CHIRALPAK IA analytical 250×4.6 mm, 5 μm (at 254 nm, methanol)

^bIsolated yield by column chromatography (silica gel, 5% ethyl acetate in hexane)

 $^{\rm c} The$ reaction was conducted at –10 $^{\circ} C.$

Dimethyl-(2S,3S)-bicyclo[2.2.I]hept-5-ene-2,3dicarboxylate (2d)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCI_3$; δ , ppm) 1.46 (dd, J = 1.5 Hz, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.62 (d, J = 8.9 Hz 1H), 2.69 (dd, J = 1.3, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (br s, 1H), 3.27 (br s, 1H), 3.38 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 6.07 (dd, J = 2.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (dd, J =3.2, 5.3 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCI₃; δ , ppm) 174.7, 173.5, 137.4, 135.0, 52.0, 51.7, 47.7, 47.5, 47.2, 46.9, 45.5.

Methyl-(1S,6S)-3,4,6-trimethylcyclohex-3-ene-1carboxylate (2e)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 0.81 (d, 3H), 1.22 (m, 1H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 2.07-2.40 (m, 4H), 2.80-2.84 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 175.3, 132.4, 129.7, 51.8, 41.6, 33.0, 32.4, 27.9, 23.1, 22.9, 20.1.

Methyl-(1S,6S)-4,6-dimethylcyclohex-3-ene-1carboxylate (2f)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 0.91 (d, 3H), 1.25 (m, 1H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 2.10-2.43 (m, 4H), 2.82-2.87 (m, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 5.35 (t, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 179.3, 130.7, 118.0, 52.2, 45.6, 37.0, 36.1, 31.9, 25.1, 22.1.

Methyl-(1S,6S)-6-methylcyclohex-3-ene-1carbooxylate (2g)

 ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; $\delta,$ ppm) 0.88 (d, 3H), 1.23 (m, 1H), 2.11-2.44 (m, 4H), 2.80-2.85 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 5. 33 (m, 1H), 5.63 (m, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; $\delta,$ ppm) 179.3, 120.7, 118.0, 52.2, 45.6, 37.0, 36.1, 31.9, 22.1.

Methyl-(2S)-3-methyl(3S)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5ene-2-carboxylate (2h)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 1.17 (d, 3H), 1.78 (m, 3H), 2.27 (m, 2H), 2.42 (m, 1H), 3.05 (m, 1H), 3.27 (m, 1H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 5.91 (dd, 1H), 6.18 (dd, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 175.2, 138.4, 134.6, 51.5, 47.8, 47.1, 46.8, 45.4, 29.2, 21.1.

Methyl-(1R)-3,4-dimethylcyclohex-3-ene-1carboxylate (2i)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 1.60 (s, 6 H), 1.61-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.90-2.25 (m, 5H), 2.49-2.58 (m, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 176.6, 125.4, 124.0, 51.7, 40.2, 33.8, 31.1, 26.0, 19.1, 18.9.

Methyl-(4R)-1-methylcyclohexene-4-carboxylate (2j)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCI_3$; δ, ppm) 1.59-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.98-2.02 (m, 2H), 2.21-2.23 (m, 2H), 2.45-2.53 (m, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 5.38 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCI_3$; δ, ppm) 176.5, 133.7 119.2, 51.6, 39.1, 29.3, 27.7, 25.5, 23.5.

Methyl-(1R)-cyclohex-3-ene-1-carboxylate (2k)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$; δ , ppm) 1.73– 1.65 (m, 2H, CH2), 2.15–1.99 (m, 2H, CH2), 2.26– 2.25 (m, 2H, CH2), 2.60–2.55 (m, 1H, CHCO2Me), 3.70 (s, 3H, CO2CH3), 5.72–5.65 (m, 2H, CH=CH), ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ , ppm) 176.6, 126.9, 125.4, 51.9, 39.4, 27.7, 25.3, 24.7.

Methyl bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylate (2)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 1.26 (m, 1H), 1.41 (m, 1H), 1.43 (m, 1H), 1.90 (m, 1H), 2.89 (m, 1H), 2.93 (m, 1H), 3.19 (m, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 5.95 (dd, 1H), 6.16 (dd, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃; δ, ppm) 172.2, 134.0, 128.7, 53.4, 49.0, 42.2, 39.0, 38.8, 26.3.

Table 2: Enantioselective Diels-Alder reactions
catalysed by the titanium-based chiral Lewis
acid 1 carried out at different temperature ^a

Entry	Temp.d (°C)	ee ^b %	Yield° %
1	-10	94	70
2	0	94	79
3	10	94	80
4	25	94	82
5	30	80	84

^aAll experiments were carried out using diene and dienophile mentioned in (Table 1, entry 1).

^bEnantiomeric excess (ee%) was determined by chiral HPLC column Chiralpak IA analytical 250×4.6 mm, 5µm (at 254 nm, methanol)

^cYield of racemic mixtures were determined by HPLC

^{*c*}Entries no.1, 2, 3, experiments were carried out for 12, 8, 6 h respectively and entries 4, 5 for 4 h.

924

Entry	Solvent	ee ^b %	Yield ^c %
1	Dichloromethane	94	82
2	1,2-Dichloroethane	90	80
3	Benzene	60	84
4	Toluene	66	80
5	Xylene	68	78
6	n-Hexane	26	88

^aAll experiments were carried out at 25 °C for 4 h using diene and dienophile mentioned in (Table 1, entry 1). ^bEnantiomeric excess (ee%) was determined by chiral HPLC column CHIRALPAK IA analytical 250×4.6 mm, 5µm (at 254 nm, methanol)

°Yield of racemic mixtures were determined by HPLC.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A review of several C_2 -symmetric diol ligands employing boron, tin, and titanium Lewis acids encouraged us to select a titanium-based Lewis acid of type **1** (Fig. 1), in which optically active (1R,2R)-1,2-bis-(2-methoxyphenyl)-ethane-1,2-diol was used as the ligand.⁶ this optically active ligand was easily synthesised using Sharpless osmylation method.⁷ The outcome of the Diels-Alder reactions as illustrated by (Fig. 1) is compiled in (Table 1).

The chiral Lewis acid 1 was generated by transformation of (1R,2R)-1,2-bis-(2-methoxy phenyl)-ethane-1,2-diol to the corresponding dilithiodialkoxide species upon reaction with two equivalents of n-butyllithium in diethyl ether. The resulted mixture was then diluted with dichloromethane, and titanium tetrachloride was added. At this point, lithium chloride got precipitated.8 Then the dienophile and diene were added respectively. The reactions were normally carried out for 4 h and monitored by TLC and purified by silica gel column chromatography using 200-400 mesh particle size of S D Fine-Chem Ltd., Mumbai. The (1R,2R)-1,2-bis-(2-methoxyphenyl)-ethane-1,2-diol was readily recovered in near quantitative amounts. A slight decomposition was observed leading to traces of 2-methoxy-benzaldehyde. The enantioselectivity of products was analyzed by HPLC technique using a chiral column. The results were certainly promising for high enantioselectivity towards Diels-Alder reaction by a titanium-based chiral Lewis acid as a chiral catalyst (compound-1 in Fig. 1). Results for the Diels-Alder reaction are summarised in (Table 1). The configuration of the resulted product (2a-2l) was confirmed by reported literature.9 In all these cases the product was conveniently isolated by column chromatography. It is interesting to note that (1R,2R)-1,2-bis-(2-methoxyphenyl)-ethane-1,2-diol examined as ligands and gave reasonable enantiomeric yield. The results of temperature and solvent experiments summarized in (table 2) and (table 3) respectively has been showed optimum enatiomeric and racemic yield at 25 °C when dichloromethane solvent was used.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, it was found that high enantioselectivities are possible for Diels-Alder reaction of carboxylic esters catalysed by titaniumbased chiral Lewis acid. It may be happening because of availability of the lone pair of electrons on the oxygen atoms present in the carboxylic ester group. The lone pair of electrons may be leading to more steric hindrance in the transition state of reaction. This resulted in high enantioselectivity of the product. (1R,2R)-1,2-bis-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diol, a simple and readily available compound, was effective as the chiral ligand when titanium metal was used in the Lewis acid. The titanium is a transition metal element located in the fourth group of fourth period in the periodic table. Because of typical electronic structure of transition elements, they have the ability to adopt multiple oxidation states to form complexes. They are more effective catalysts because they have the ability to make complexes with non-bonding electron donating groups like carboxylic esters group in the dienophile (see Dienophile in Table 1). Titanium has [Ar]3d24s2 electronic configuration having valence d-orbital which might be responsible to make more sterically hindered complexes in the transition state of reaction. The temperature (Table 2) and solvent (Table 3) studies showed that 25 °C was an optimum temperature and dichloromethane was found to be the best solvent for this type of Diels-Alder reaction when titanium-based chiral Lewis acid 1 was used.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author (YKC) is thankful to University Grants Commission (UGC) – New Delhi, India, for

REFERENCES

- (a) Desmoni, G.; Tacconi, G.; Pollini, G.; Natural Product Synthesis Through Pericyclic Reactions, ACS Monograph 180, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1984, Chapter 5. (b) Johari, N. L. S.; Hassan, N. H., Hassan, N. I., Orient. J. Chem, 2014, 30, 1191-1196.(c) Al-Saeedi, A., Farooqui, M.; Orient. J. Chem, 2013, 29, 1033-1039.(d) Alamdari, R. F., Zamani, F. G., Shekarriz, M.; Orient. J. Chem, 2015, 31, 1127-1132.
- 2. (a) Taeboem, O.; J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 396-399. (b) Ryu, D. H.; Corey, E. J.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6388-6390. (c) Bayer, A.; Hansen, L. K.; Gautun, O. R.; Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2002, 13, 2407-2415. (d) Manickam, G.; Sundararajan, G.; Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1999, 10, 2913-2925. (e) Evans, D. A.; Barnes, D. M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 121, 7582-7594. (f) Bhowmick, K. C.; Joshi, N. N.; Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2006, 17, 1901-1929. (g) Singh, R. S.; Harada, T.; J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 212-218. (h) Sakakura, A.; Ishihara, K.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17762-17764. (i) Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Bisaha, J.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1238-1256.
- 3. (a) Narasaka, K.; *Synthesis*, **1991**, 1. (b) Tomioka, K.; *Synthesis*, **1990**, 542.
- (a) Rebiere, F.; Kagan, G. B.; *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, **1990**, *1*, 199-214. (b) Narasaka,
 K.; Iwasawa, N.; Inoue, M.; Yamada, T.; Nakashima M.; Sugimori, J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 5340-5345. (c) Chapuis, C.; Jurczak, J.; *Helv. Chim. Acta.*, 1987, **70**, 436-440. (d) Corey, E. J.; Imwinkelried, R.; Pikul,

S.; Xiang, Y. B.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5493-5495. (e) Y. Hayashi, K. Narasaka, Chem. Lett. 1989, 18, 793-796. (f) Bednarski, M.; Maring, B.; Danishefsky, S.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3451-3454. (g) Marvoka, K.; Sakurai, M.; Fujiwara, J.; Yamamoto, H.;

the award of 'senior research fellowship'. We are also thankful to Dr. Sandeep B. Kotwal, Assistant

Professor, Department of Chemistry, CHM College,

University of Mumbai, for his willing help.

Tetrahedron Lett. **1986**, *27*, 4895-4898. (h) Corey, E. J.; Imai, N.; Zhang, H. Y.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 728-729.

- Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Bisaha, J.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1238-1256.
- (a) Whitesell, J. K.; *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 1581-1590. (b) Alexakis, A.; Mangeney, P.; *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, **1990**, *1*, 477-511.
- Jacobsen, E. N.; Marko, I.; Mungall, W. S.; Schroder, G.; Sharpless K. B.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, *110*, 1968-1970.
- (a) Seebach, D.; Weidmann, B.; Widler, L.; Modern Synthetic Methods, Scheffold, R., Ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, **1983**, *3*, 217.
 (b) Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Imwinkelried, R.; Roggo, S.; Wonnacott, A.; Helv. Chim. Acta.
 1987, *70*, 954-974. (c) Reetz, M. T.; Kyung, S. H.; Bolm, C.; Zierke, T.; Chem. Ind. **1986**, 824-826.
- 9. (a) Corey, E. J.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1498-1499. (b) Silvia, M.; Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 24, 5119-5225. (c) Virgili, A.; J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3267-3269. (d) Monnin; Helv. Chim. Acta. 1958, 41, 2112-2119. (e) Jerome, A.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 4947-5956. (f) Oestreich, M.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9077-9079. (g) Tanyeli, C; Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2004, 15, 2057-2060. (h) Berson, J. A.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 4083-4087.

926