

ORIENTAL JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY

An International Open Free Access, Peer Reviewed Research Journal

ISSN: 0970-020 X CODEN: OJCHEG 2015, Vol. 31, No. (3): Pg. 1327-1330

www.orientjchem.org

Effect of Temperature on Thermal Properties of Graphene

NEETU SOROT and B.R.K.GUPTA*

Department of physics, GLA University, Mathura, India. *Corresponding author E-mail: brk.gupta@gla.ac.in

http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/ojc/310309

(Received: July 07, 2015; Accepted: August 14, 2015)

ABSTRACT

Many potential applications of graphene in nanotechnology depend on its thermo-mechanical stability. We have calculated the temperature dependent properties such as the volume thermal expansion, and thermal expansion coefficient of the graphene using the equation of state (EOS) based on thermodynamic variables. A simple theoretical method is applied to determine the thermal expansion and thermal expansion properties of graphene. The model employed in the present study consists of only two input parameters and independent of potential. The results achieved as reported in this paper are found in good agreement with those obtained from QHA-GGA ab- initio study²⁵.

Key words: Equation of state, thermal expansion, thermal expansion coefficient, graphene.

INTRODUCTION

Graphene is a two dimensional crystalline material and discovered in 2004. It has exceptional mechanical properties investigated by the different researchers¹⁻⁶ during recent past years. It has been found that electronic structure of graphene depends on the strain produced at different temperatures in it. Graphene band structure can be changed by applying strains larger than 15% ⁷. In addition to that it is noted that a compressed monolayer of graphene with size $30 \times 100 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ is fastened with 0.7% strain approximately⁸. There are two widely used theoretical approaches available to study the various mechanical properties. These theories are based on elastic continuum and the interatomic

potentials (EP)^{4, 9, 10} such as the Brenner potential (REBO)^{11, 12} and the LCBOPII potential¹³.

Due to instability coupled with stretching modes, the graphene is expected to be strongly anharmonic. This coupling plays an important role to prevent the cracking in crystal and stabilize the flat phase¹⁴ as confirmed by atomistic simulations for graphene¹⁵ at room temperature. This is well established that anharmonicity is of general importance in condensed matter in relation to structural phase transitions^{16, 17, 18}, soft modes in ferroelectrics¹⁸, melting¹⁹, and other related phenomena. Since the anharmonicity in crystals is very weak, it can be explained within the framework of quantum theory of perturbation^{16, 20-} ²². However, this is not true for graphene which is a strongly anharmonic crystal. Therefore, the atomistic simulations offer the possibility to study anharmonic effects for a specific material without the need of perturbation theory. A very accurate description of thermodynamic properties of different allotropes of carbon including graphene^{15, 23} is provided by the empirical bond order potential LCBOPII^{24, 25, 26}.

Many interatomic potentials have been used to calculate the thermal and bending modulus properties of graphene at zero temperature^{11,12, 13,} ¹⁸. In the present study we have applied for the first time, the potential free model to analyze the thermal expansion behavior of graphene with varying temperature from room temperature to higher temperature range. The mathematical formulation is described in section 2 and the results are discussed in section 3.

Mathematical formulation

Considering the product of thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha = \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{dV}{dT} \right)_P$ and bulk modulus (K_T) remains constant^{27, 28} under the effect of temperature i.e.

$$\alpha K_{T}$$
 = Constant ...(1)

On the basis of equation (1) Singh and Gupta [29] derived the equation of state to determine the values of α_{T} and V/V₀. These expressions are given below:

$$\alpha_{\rm T} = \alpha_0 - 1 - \frac{\delta_{\rm T}^0 \alpha_0}{T_0^{\rm k} - k - 1} - T_0^{\rm k-1} - T_0^{\rm k-1} - \dots (2)$$

and

$$\frac{V}{V_{0}} = exp \left[\int_{T_{0}}^{T} \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\left[1 - \frac{\alpha_{0}\delta_{T}^{0}}{T_{0}^{k}(k+1)} \left\{ T^{k+1} - T_{0}^{k+1} \right\} \right]} dT \right] \dots (3)$$

$$\frac{V}{V_0} = \exp\left[\int_{T_0}^{T} \frac{\alpha_0}{\left[1 - A\left\{T^{k+1} - T_0^{k+1}\right\}\right]} dT\right] \dots (4)$$

Where

 δ_{τ}^{0} is the value of Anderson Gruneisen parameter (δ_{τ}) and α_{0} is the thermal expansion coefficient at T_{0} , K_{0} is the bulk modulus and k is the dimensionless thermoelastic parameter. The derivations for them are not derived here as they are already given elsewhere²⁹. The equation (4) known as the integral form of equation of state has been used to calculate the nature of volume thermal expansion in graphene as shown in fig.1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the present paper we have determined the value of volume thermal expansion (V/V_o), using the integral form of equation of state (Eq. 4) and the thermal expansion coefficient (TEC)of graphene from equation (2) with varying temperature from room temperature to 1000K. The calculated values are shown in table 2 along with the available experimental data. The input data used in the present work are given in table 1 with appropriate references. The results on thermal expansion and thermal expansion coefficient of graphene achieved in the present work are also shown in figures 1 and 2 and compared with the experimental values. It is clear from figs. 1and 2 that the results achieved in the present study are in close agreement with the experimental data. The negative values of thermal expansion coefficient (TEC) of graphene are

Table 1: Input data used in present work for Graphene at room temperature

α ₀ (Å)	α _。 (10 ⁻⁶ K ⁻¹)	K ₀(eV Å⁻²)	α _T
2.4595 [9]	-4.8±1.0 [9]	12.7 [9]	2.0 [30]

Table 2: Calculated values of thermal expansion coefficient (α_{τ}), volume thermal expansion (V/V₀) and bulk modulus (K_{τ}) with varying temperature

Temperature	$\alpha_{T}(10^{-6}K^{-1})$		V/Vo	
(К)	calculated (Eq.2)	Exp. [25]	calculated (Eq.4)	Exp. [9]
300	-4.8	-4.8	0.9975	0.9969
400	-3.45	-3.3	0.9969	0.9963
500	-3.36	-3.2	0.9963	0.9957
600	-3.21	-3.1	0.9954	0.9951
700	-2.85	-2.9	0.9947	0.9945
800	-2.5	-2.5	0.9941	0.9938
900	-2.35	-2.1	0.9936	0.9935
1000	-2.08	-1.9	0.9928	0.993



Vs temperature (T) of graphene

conformed with the temperature dependent Raman spectroscopy²⁶ and in good agreement with those values obtained from QHA-GGA ab- initio study²⁵. This confirms the validity of the equation of state used in the present investigation to analyze the thermal expansion behavior of grapheme at high temperature.





On the basis of overall descriptions it is emphasized that a simple and straightforward method used in the present study is capable of explaining the temperature dependent properties of graphene successfully. The methodology adopted in the present work may be of current interest to those researchers engaged in the study of mechanical properties of nanomaterials and alike materials.

REFERENCES

- Meyer, J.C.; Gein, A.K.; Katshelon, M.I.; Novoselov, K.S.; Booth, T.J.; Roth, S. *Nature(London)* **2007**, *446*, 60.
- Lee, C.; Wei, X.; Kysar, J.W.; Hone, J. Science.
 2008, 321, 385-388.
- Booth, T.J.; Blake, P.; Nair, R.R.; Jiang, D.; Hill, E.W.; Bangert, U.; Bleloch, A.; Gass, M.I.; Navoselove, K.S.; Gein, A.K. *Nano. Lett.* 2008, *8*, 2442.
- Frank, O.; Tsoukleri, G.; Parthenios, J.; Papagelis, K.; Riaz, I.; Jalil, R.; Novoselov, K.S.; Galiotis, C. ACS-nano. 2010, 4, 3131.
- 5. Fasoline, A.; Los, J.H.; Katsnelson, M.I. *Nature materials.* **2007**, *6*, 858.
- Bao, W.; Miao, F.; Chen, Z.;Zhang, H.; Jang, W.; Dames, C.; NingLau, C. *Nat. nanotech.* **2000**, *4*, 562.
- 7. Guinea, F.; Katsnelon, M.I.; Gein, A.K. *Nat. Physics.* **2009**, *6*, 30.
- Tsoukleri, G.; Parthenios, J.; Papagelis, K.; Jalil, R.; Ferrari, A.C.; Gein, A.K.; Novoselove, K.S.; Galiotis, C. *Small.*2009, *5*(*21*), 2397-

402.

- Zhakharchenko, K.V.; Katsnelon, M.I.; Fasoline, A. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2009, 102, 046808.
- Neck-Amal, M.; Peeters, F.M. *Phys.Rev.B.* 2010, *82*, 085432.
- 11. Brenner, D.W. Phys. Rev. B. 1990, 42, 9458.
- 12. Brenner, D.W.; Shenderova, O.S.; Harrison,J.A.; Stuart,S.J.; Ni,B.; Sinnot,S.B. *J.Phys. Condens. Matter.* **2002**, *14*, 783.
- Los, J.H.; Chiringhelli, L.M.; Meijer,
 E.J.;Fasoline, A. *Phys. Rev. B.* 2005, *72*,
 214102.
- Piran, T.; Weinberg chapi. World Scientific.
 2004, 6 and 11, Singapore.
- Meyer, Jannik C.; Gein, A.K.; Katsnelson, M.I.; Novoselov, K.S.; Booth, T.J.; Roth, S. *Nature(London).* 2007, 446, 60.
- 16. Cowley, R.A. Adv. Phys. 1963, 12, 421.
- 17. Chen, Y.; Ho, K.M.;Harmon, B.N. *Phys.Rev. B.* **1988**, *37*, 283.
- 18. Souvatzis, P.; Erixsson, O.; Katsnelon, M.I.;

Rudian,S.P. *Phys. Rev. Let.* **2008**, *100*, 095901.

- 19. Bratkovskii, A.M.; Vaks, V.G.; Trefilov, A.V. *J.Exp.Theor.Phys.***1984**, *59*, 1245.
- 20. Vaks, V.G.;Kravchuk,S.P.; Trefilov, A.V. *J.Phys. F.* **1980**, *10*, 2105.
- 21. Zoli, M. Phys. Rev. B. 1990,41,7497.
- 22. Katsnelson, M.I.; Trefilov, A.V.; Khlopkin, M.N.; Yu, K. *Philos Mag.B.* **2001**, *81*, 1893-1913.
- 23. Ghiringheli, L.M.; Los, J.H.; Meijer, E.J.; Fasoline,A.; Frenkel, D. *Phys. Rev.Lett.* **2005**, *994*, 145701.
- 24. Lifshitz, M. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **1952**, 22, 475.
- 25. Mounet, Nicolas; Marzari, Nicola. Phys. Rev.

B. **2005**, *71*, 205214.

- Yoou, Duhee; Wooson, young; Cheong, Hyeonsik. Nano letters. 2011, 11, 3227.
- Shanker, J.; Kumar, M. *Phy.Stat. Sol. B.* 1993, 179, 351.
- Anderson, O.L.; Zou, K. Phys.Chem. Mixer. 1989, 16, 642.
- 29. Singh, K.Y.; Gupta, B.R.K. *Physica B.* **2003**, *334*, 266.
- Mohiuddin, T.M.G.; Lombardo, A.; Nair, R.R.; Bonnetti, A.; Sarini, G.; Jalil, R.; Bonihi, N.; Basko, D.M.; Ferrar, A.C. *Phys. Rev. B.* 2009, *79*, 205433.