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ABSTRACT

A simple and selectively visual detection of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) using *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* Linn extract in aqueous solution was investigated for the first time. It was found that positive visual detections of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) gave their color change at pH 7. The selectivity of this method was examined using two masking agents, sodium fluoride (NaF) and dimethylglyoxime (DMG). The method exhibits good selectivity when NaF and DMG were used for the determination of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\), respectively. The flower extract could be employed for Cu\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) detection at the lowest concentration of 0.5 mg/L and 1.0 mg/L, respectively. The results from naked-eye detection were also evaluated by comparing with those of using inductively coupled plasma - atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES), and there was no significant difference noticed. Moreover, the proposed method could be potentially applied for real water samples with visual detection of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\), which was rapid, convenient, low-cost and environmental friendly.
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INTRODUCTION

Copper ion (Cu\(^{2+}\)) plays important roles in various biological systems and the environment. It is well known that copper is an essential trace element for humans and other animals. However, its high concentration in domestic water and groundwater becomes a serious threat to human health. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set the maximum allowable level of copper in drinking water at 1.3 mg/L (~20 μM). Cu\(^{2+}\) had highly toxic to humans at high concentrations\(^1\)-\(^3\). People who were exposed to excess uptake Cu\(^{2+}\) tend to experience some diseases such as liver or kidney damage, neurodegenerative disease, gastrointestinal disturbance\(^4\)-\(^7\). In addition, aluminum ion (Al\(^{3+}\)) is found abundantly in nature, such as in drinking water contamination, and can
be toxic to humans in excessive amounts as well. Many symptoms of Al toxicity mimic those of Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, and osteoporosis. According to WHO (Guideline for Drinking Water Quality, 1997) it has recommended the dissolved Al concentrations in waters with near-neutral pH values usually range from 0.001 to 0.05 mg/L but rise to 0.5–1.0 mg/L in more acidic waters or water rich in organic matter. Furthermore, the tolerable daily intake of Al is about 3–10 mg and the acceptable weekly Al dietary intake in the human body is about 7 mg kg$^{-1}$ of the body weight. Indirect intake of Al$^{3+}$ into our body cannot be ignored as the accumulation of Al$^{3+}$ in brain has been identified to cause diseases, such as Parkinson’s disease (PD), Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and dialysis encephalopathy.

Until now, several methods for detection of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ have been reported including organic fluorophore-based assays, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), flame atomic absorption, fluorescent probes and some electrochemical methods. These methods are available and suitable for the determination of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ due to their unique advantages such as high selectivity and availability. However, most of them have various limitations with respect to low cost effective, simplicity or toxicity. Thus, visual color detection which is one of the popular methods was chosen for determination of metal ions using natural pigments as green alternative approach for molecular and ion recognition.

In recent year, the plant extracts were simply used for naked-eye and colorimetric detection of metal ions. For example, cyanidin extracted from red cabbage was used as natural dye reagent for detection of Cu$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$ and Al$^{3+}$. Since cyanidin is the major type of anthocyanin found in many plants such as Hibiscus, this research requires more development for metal ions detection from the plant genus.

**Hibiscus rosa-sinensis** Linn (Chinese hibiscus) is a well-known member of the family Malvaceae grown native to Southeastern Asia (China). It is appreciat flower color, with the corolla forming a deep-colored heart and a bright red limb. The main compounds that are responsible for their characteristics of heterogeneous color pattern in red cultivars are anthocyanins, being preferentially accumulated on calyces. Previous studies reported that Chinese hibiscus contains flavonoids, cyanidin, hernia contane, quercetin, calcium oxalate, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, ascorbic acid, citric acid, tartaric acid and oxalic acid. This paper is aimed to focus on developing the simple method for selective detection of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ in mixed aqueous solutions using Chinese hibiscus flower crude extract, because it is a flower plant growing all year. Moreover, the naked-eye detection method is rapid, simple, low cost, no requirement of sample preparation and is also environmental friendly detection.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All chemicals used in this research were analytical reagent grade. Red petals of Chinese hibiscus were extracted by deionized water (DI water). Stock solutions of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ were prepared from CuSO$_4$.H$_2$O and AlCl$_3$. The interferences of both cations and anions (Co$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Cr$^{3+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, OH$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, CO$_3^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, Ca$^{2+}$ and K$^+$) were studied. Sodium fluoride (NaF, 0.1 M) and dimethylglyoxime disodium salt octahydrate (DMG, 1% w/v) were used as masking agents for Al$^{3+}$ and Cu$^{2+}$, respectively. Concentrated HCl and magnesium ribbon were used to check the presence of flavonoid by Shinoda’s test. Potassium chloride was used for pH 1.0 solution and sodium acetate buffer was used for pH 4.5 for determination of anthocyanin compounds. Working standard of metal ions was prepared daily by appropriate dilution of stock solutions. Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR), Perkin Elmer (Spectrum One)/Bruker (Tensor 27) were used to investigate the functional group in the flower extract. Absorption spectra were recorded on a UV-Vis spectroscopy performed with an Evaluation 2100 spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Japan). For method evaluation, the contents of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ obtained were determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES), Perkin Elmer/Optima 3300DV.
Preparation and characterization of Chinese hibiscus flower extract

The red Chinese hibiscus flowers were collected from Nakhon Si Thammarat Rajabhat University, Nakhon Si Thammarat Province, Thailand. The calyx was removed and only the petals were rinsed with water and oven dried at 60 °C for 24 h. 10 g of dried petals and 80 mL DI water were mixed. The mixture was heated at 60 °C for 1 h and filtered through Whatman filter paper No. 1. The solvent was removed under vacuum and crude solid mass was obtained. The crude extract was redissolved in DI water prior to use. To confirm hydroxy group of anthocyanin, Shinoda’s test was applied, using 2 mL of the crude extract, a piece of magnesium ribbon and 1 mL of concentrate HCl31.

Colorimetric detection of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺

The color changes of Chinese hibiscus flower extract response for Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ were detected by naked-eye. 1 mL of Cu²⁺ or Al³⁺ (1–100 mg/L) solution and 1 mL of the flower extracted (3000 mg/L) were mixed in test tube. The photographs were taken with a digital camera (8 megapixels). The absorption spectra of the extract for metal ions were recorded. To find out the optimum conditions for determination of metal ions, both concentration and ratio volume of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ with the crude extract in aqueous solution were investigated. Masking agents including NaF and DMG were also considered to confirm that any metal ion interferences effect for the determination. The lowest concentration for their color change was investigated.

Determination of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ in ground water sample

To evaluate the applicability of this proposed method, Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ in ground water sample were determined by naked-eye and colorimetric methods using the flower extract. Ground water samples were obtained from a natural ground well water at Nakhon Si Thammarat Rajabhat University, Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand. They were tested with standard solutions of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺. The results of naked-eye detection were compared with those of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ determined by ICP-AES.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the flower extract

The red-purple crude of the flower extract was used in aqueous solution. They have red color tone in acid solution (pH 1-2) and blue-green color tone in base solution (pH 11-12), indicating that it is characteristics of anthocyanin compounds. The UV–Vis spectrum of the flower extract shows absorption band in range 250-400 nm and 527 nm corresponding to flavonoids and phenolic compounds²⁸, ³²-³³. These results are corresponding to Shinoda’s test. Pink red color of the solution appeared, indicating the presence of flavonoids.³⁴

Colorimetric detection of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺

The pH of solution is a major parameter affecting the flower extract plant pigments. Figure 1(a) clearly shows that the flower extract was selectively sensitive towards Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺. There was no change in color observation after the addition of the other metal ions (Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Mn²⁺, Pb²⁺ and Zn²⁺) in aqueous solution. The effect of pH on the sensing ability of the Chinese hibiscus flower extract was investigated via the naked-eye studied in pH range of 1-13.

Mixed solutions of both Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ with the flower extract showed red color solution at pH 1-2. This result, mostly due to anthocyanins, can be easily deprotonated at low pH resulting in their structural changes in the anthocyanin chromophores.²⁹ Moreover, we found that the stable color changed from pink to purple for Cu²⁺ detection at pH 3-11 and the color change from pink to dark purple was observed for Al³⁺ detection. Therefore, the complexation of the flower extract with Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ was simply investigated. The change in the UV-
Vis spectrum of the flower extract due to the addition of metal ions is shown in Fig. 1(b). A significant absorption at 536 nm is found only for Cu\(^{2+}\) and 544 nm for Al\(^{3+}\) induced by the flower extract among the tested cations. The incremental addition of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) into the flower extract resulted in the red shift of 527 nm to 536 nm and 544 nm, respectively. The new absorption peak may be due to metal complexes of the flower extract with Cu\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\).

Several research groups have investigated the complex ratio and it was found that the different ratios were up to metal ion types\(^{31}\). In this work, the ratios of Cu\(^{2+}\) - the flower extract and Al\(^{3+}\) was also 1:1 used for further experiment.

According to effectively simultaneous naked-eye detection of Cu\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) at pH 7, thus, masking agents were essentially used to mask the unexpected interfering ions. It was found that DMG can completely mask Cu\(^{2+}\) for the determination of Al\(^{3+}\), whereas NaF can be used to mask Al\(^{3+}\) for the determination of Cu\(^{2+}\) (Figure. 2).

The optimum conditions for simultaneous determination were overall performed by using the mixture of the flower extract containing of the masking agent used. In the case of Cu\(^{2+}\)
determination, NaF was employed in the pH 7 solution as Al³⁺ masking agent because F⁻ can interact with Al³⁺ to give the colorless complex of AlF₆³⁻. So NaF was used as masking agent for Cu²⁺ detection. Where as, Al³⁺ was determined along with DMG solution to get rid of the Cu²⁺ interference. After adding 30 mg/L of metal ion into the extracted solution, the chelate extract-Cu²⁺ complex shifts to longer wavelength from 535 nm to 567 nm in the presence of NaF. The same result obtained from the complexation of the extract of Al³⁺ in the presence of DMG shifting from 578 nm to 585 nm as shown in Figure 2(c).

To make sure that the target metal ions will not interfere each other. The experiment was carried out by using the optimum conditions of qualitative determination. The target metal ions (Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺) in the equimolar concentration (30 mg/L) of standard metal ion solution was used as the interfering ion. It was also found that no significant difference was observed (ANOVA test, p = 0.05) as shown in Figure 6.2(b).

Moreover, the flower extract may also be potentially the complex formation with other metal ions and some anions might associate with Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ as they are anionic ligands. Both Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ at the concentration of 1000 mg/L were prepared in the neutral pH solution. Cations and anions (Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, CO₃²⁻, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, F⁻ and Cl⁻) were prepared as interfering ions in each tested metal ion solution. Fig. 3 shows that no significant difference in the absorbance of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ complexes was noticed except F⁻ did not interrupt Al³⁺ detection because it can interact with Al³⁺ to give the colorless complex of AlF₆³⁻. This is the reason to use NaF as the masking agent for Al³⁺ detection.

**Determination of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ in ground water sample**

To evaluate the analytical performance, the proposed method was conducted by naked-eye detection when the metal complex of the flower extract occurred. This reaction process can be monitored visually, thus the naked-eye alone can be seen in the presence of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ ions. The quantitative analysis was done under the optimum
conditions by applying 0.1 mL of selective masking agent into the sample solutions (10–1000 M) followed by 1 mL of the flower extract solution. The solution mixture of NaF and DMG was used for Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ detection, respectively. Naked-eye detection performed as semi-quantitative determination can be divided into different color shades depending on the metal ion concentration ranges. Table. 1 and Fig. 4 show the quantitative determination and the lowest concentration measured by naked-eye detection.

![Colorimetric scale of naked-eye method for Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺.](image)

Table. 1: The color and quantity of Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ by naked-eye detection at pH 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal ion</th>
<th>Color and its concentration range (mg/L)</th>
<th>Lowest concentration (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu²⁺</td>
<td>Pink-Purple 1-10 Purple &gt;10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al³⁺</td>
<td>Purple 0.5-5 Dark purple &gt;5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Colorimetric scale of naked-eye method for Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺.](image)

Table. 2: The Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺ concentrations in ground water sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal ion</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Spiked water sample</th>
<th>ICP-AES Detection</th>
<th>Naked-eye Detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu²⁺</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.3-1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.3-1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.3-1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al³⁺</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.3-1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.3-1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.3-1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The determination of metal ions was carried out by naked-eye detection (Fig. 4.) giving the lowest concentrations of 1 mg/L and 0.5 mg/L for Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺, respectively. From these results, it had lower than that previous report (Warangkhana Khaodee et al., 2014)²².

Application

The ground water samples from Nakhon Si Thammarat Rajabhat university were collected to detect two target metal ions, Cu²⁺ and Al³⁺. The certain amounts of these metal ions were spiked into ground water sample. Color of the spiked water
samples were developed under the optimum conditions as described for naked-eye detection. The results of naked-eye detection were compared with those of the metal ions determined by ICP-AES. Form the results shown in Table 2, the actual concentrations of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ are somewhat close to those of naked-eye measurement and are rather in the same concentration range. So, this method can be applied to determine both Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ in real water samples without any interfering effect.

CONCLUSION

We successfully developed a simple colorimetric sensor for selective detection of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ using the Hibiscus rosa-sinensis Linn extract. The appropriate ratio of the flower extract and these metal ions which was optimized to induce clear color was 1:1 at pH 7. The interference of Mn$^{2+}$, Cr$^{3+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ ions had no effect on the color intensity of the Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ complexes. The method selectivity was effectively when using NaF and DMG as masking reagent for Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$, respectively. Moreover, the flower extract can be used for water sample where monitoring of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ is required. The detections of 20 mM Cu$^{2+}$ and 40 mM Al$^{3+}$ by visual naked-eye observation are achieved. Most attractively, this method is rapid, convenient and low-cost because no instruments are required and the presence of Cu$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ can be easily monitored by the naked-eye. The usage of low volume for all reagents is green approach for environmental friendly detection. The advantages of this development are very simple, rapid, low cost, and no requirement of sample preparation.
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