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#### Abstract

The chiral (S)-9-(1-methoxyethyl), (R)-9-(1,2-dimethoxyethyl) and 9-(1R, 2R)-(1,2dimethoxypropyl) anthracenes were synthesised and used for the thermal Diels-Alder reaction with cyclopentene-3,5-dione. Unlike the maleic anhydride and $N$-substituted malemides, the cyclo-adducts were obtained with high regio-selectivity as a single diastereomer. The X-ray structure of the cyclo-adduct showed an enol form but the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR showed resonances for two cyclopentanone carbonyl groups suggesting the solution structure is in the diketone form. Stereocontrolled studies using organomagnesium additions to the carbonyl groups resulted in hydrolytic cleavage of the enol ether and elimination of water to give â-alkylketone anthracene adducts. These were unsuccessful in preparing chiral cyclopentenone core structures.
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## INTRODUCTION

The cyclopentenone skeleton has been reported in diverse biological active compounds. For example, prostanoids such as clavulone I and clavulone II ${ }^{1}$ isolated from marine natural products and exhibiting strong cytotoxicity. Untenone $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ isolated from the Okinawan marine sponge Plakortis sp. which inhibites cell proliferation of L1210 leukaemia ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mg}$ ) and mammalian

DNA polymerases (pol. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ), and human terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT). ${ }^{3}$ Recently, TEI-9826, ${ }^{4}$ an antitumor agent in preclinical trials, has also been prepared.

Many strategies have been developed to synthesise cyclopentenones including the Nazarov cyclisation, ${ }^{5}$ the Pauson-Khand reaction, ${ }^{6}$ metalcatalysed cyclisation, ${ }^{7}$ and Diels-Alder or retro Diels-Alder reactions using anthracene. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, the
synthesis of the cyclopentenone ring system is highly desirable, particularly with control of relative and absolute stereo-chemistry. The Diels-Alder/retro Diels-Alder reactions between 9-substituted chiral anthracene and maleic anhydride and N -substituted maleimides, or $p$-benzoquinone has been described in previous reports as chiral anthracene could stereo-control the cyclo-addition and control asymmetric substitutions at the carbonyl groups. ${ }^{9-11}$ In addition, regio- and diastereoselective additions has also been achieved in the Diels-Alder reaction of 2-cyclopentene-1-one and chiral anthracene templates. ${ }^{12}$ The work of the authors in the preparation of (S)-9-(1-methoxyethyl)anthracene, (R)-9-(1,2-dimethoxyethyl)anthracene and 9-(1R, $2 R$ )-(1,2-dimethoxypropyl)anthracene, and the availability of cyclopentene-3,5-dione prompted an investigation of the stereo-selective Diels-Alder reactions and transformation of cyclo-adducts via regio-selective and stereo-selective manipulations prior to the asymmetric synthesis of bioactive cyclopentenones.

The Diels-Alder reaction of cyclopentene-3,5-dione, a good dienophile, and anthracene has been reported to give a completely enolic anthracene adduct after refluxing in benzene for four days. ${ }^{13}$ Thus, it was considered that the reaction of cyclopentene-3,5-dione with our synthetic chiral anthracenes might provide single diastereomers of corresponding enolic anthracene adducts. The single diastereomer could be obtained as the hydrogen bond interaction between the enol oxygen and the anthracene C-9 hydrogen similar to the previous discussion in the cyclo-addition of chiral anthracene and maleic anhydride or $N$-methyl maleimide. ${ }^{9}$ The stereo-selective substitution from the less hindered face might provide asymmetric synthesis of cyclopentenone derivatives.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## General Methods

Melting points were determined with a Stuart Scientific SMP 2 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with a Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer ( 300 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) using TMS as an internal standard. Mass spectra were recorded with a POLARIS Q or HEWLETT PACKARD 5973 mass spectrometer. Reactions
were monitored by TLC using aluminium or plastic sheets pre-coated with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$. Column chromatography was performed with Kieselgel 60.

9-Vinylanthracene (1) was prepared as described previously ${ }^{14}$, (S)-9-(1-methoxyethyl) anthracene (6) was synthesised as described previously using Snyder's method ${ }^{15}$, and cyclopentene-3,5-dione (7) was commercially available.

## Synthesis Method

## (R)-9-(1,2-dihydroxyethyl)anthracene (2a)

A mixture of 9 -vinylanthracene 0.1 g (1a) ( $0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), AD-mix $\beta$ ( 0.69 g , ratio 1.414 $\mathrm{g}: 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methansulfonamide 0.1 g in tert-butanol (ratio $1: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : tert-butanol) 7 mL was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a cool room for four days. Approximately half a teaspoon $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ was then added to the mixture with a further 30 min stirring, followed by extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phase was dried over anh. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash column chromatography (silica gel) with Hexane/EtOAc ( $1: 1$ ) as the mobile phase gave a light yellow solid (2a) $0.08 \mathrm{~g}(0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%)$; m.p. $130-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{16}$ $\left.133.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right),[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=-6.6$ (lit. ${ }^{16}=-6.4(c 0.22, \mathrm{EtOH}) ; R_{f}$ $=0.34)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.48-7.40(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 60.4,66.2,124.7,124.9,125.9$, 128.7, 129.3, 129.9, 130.4, 131.9.

## (1R,2R)-1-(anthracen-9-yl)propane-1,2-diol (2b)

A mixture of (E)-9-(prop-1-en-1-yl) anthracene (1b) 0.1 g ( $0.458 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), AD-mix $\beta$ ( 0.66 g , ratio $1.414 \mathrm{~g}: 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methansulfonamide 0.1 g ( $1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in tert-butanol (ratio $1: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : tert-butanol) 7 mL was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a cool room for four days. Approximately half a teaspoon $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ was then added to the mixture with a further 30 min stirring, followed by extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phase was dried over anh. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash column chromatography (silica gel) with Hexane/EtOAc (1:1) as the mobile phase gave a light yellow solid
(2b) $0.09 \mathrm{~g}(0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%) ;$ m.p. $130-132^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $=-$ 129.3 (c 0.75, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.65 (2H, brs, ArH), 8.41 (1H, s, ArH), 8.01-7.97 (2H, m, ArH), 7.48-7.44 (4H, m, ArH), 5.95 (1H, d, J $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.83-4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.2$, 71.1, 75.6, 124.8, 125.8, 128.6, 129.3, 130.2, 131.4, 131.7; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$275.1048, found 275.1043.

## Methylation of (R)-9-(1,2-dihydroxyethyl) anthracene (2a)

A mixture of (R)-9-(1,2-dihydroxyethyl) anthracene (2a) 0.1 g ( $0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) with sodium hydride $60 \%$ wt ( $0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred in 15 mL dry THF under argon at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . methyl iodide ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 hours. The resulting mixture was quenched with an aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water ( 30 mL ) and saturated $\mathrm{NaCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried (anh. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by preparative layer chromatography using Hexane/EtOAc (10:1) as the mobile phase gave the first fraction at $R_{f}=0.45$ as a yellow solid of ( $R$ )-9-(1,2-dimethoxyethyl) anthracene (3a) 0.06 g ( $0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ) and the second fraction at $R_{t}=0.15$ as a yellow oil of $(R)-1$ -(anthracen-9-yl)-2-methoxyethanol (4a) 0.04 g ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \%$ ).
(R)-9-(1,2-dimethoxyethyl)anthracene (3a); m.p. 78.0-80.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{17} 78.0-80.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $=-134.6$ (c $\left.0.75, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.70(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, ArH), $8.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), 7.52-7.45 (4H, m, ArH), 6.05 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0$, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, $3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.47\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $56.9,59.3,75.9,80.0,124.9,125.9,127.2,128.6$, 128.9, 129.3, 130.5, 131.5; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$289.1204, found 289.1199.
(R)-1-(anthracen-9-yl)-2-methoxyethanol (4a); =-18.95 (C 0.21, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{18}=-18.76(c$ $\left.0.22, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCI}_{3}\right) \delta 8.70(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.53-7.43(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,9.0$
$\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.68\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.53$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 48.9$, 57.2, 68.6, 122.8, 123.8, 125.3, 126.7, 127.3, 127.9, 129.7, 132.2; HR-EI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M})^{+}$ 252.1151, found 252.1150 .

## 9-((1R,2R)-1,2-dimethoxypropyl)anthracene (3b)

A mixture of (1R,2R)-1-(anthracen-9yl )propane-1,2-diol (2b) $0.1 \mathrm{~g}(0.396 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) with sodium hydride $0.02 \mathrm{~g}(0.793 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) was stirred in dry THF 15 mL under argon at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Methyl iodide $(0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.00$ mmol ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 hours. The resulting mixture was quenched with an aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water ( 30 mL ) and saturated NaCl $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried (anh. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by preparative layer chromatography using Hexane/EtOAc (4:1) as the mobile phase gave compound (3b) as a yellow solid $0.02 \mathrm{~g}(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%)$; m.p. $110-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $=$ -134.6 (c 0.75, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 9.02 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.44 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.02 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 6.0 Hz, ArH), 7.55-7.44 (4H, m, ArH), 5.75 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, 4.43-4.36 (1H, m, CH), $3.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 0.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.3,56.9,57.6$, 80.2, 84.3, 123.5, 124.7, 125.1, 125.4, 126.3, 126.6, 127.3, 128.5, 129.0, 129.5, 130.0, 131.8, 134.1; HRESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 303.1361, found 303.1348.
(R)-1-(Anthracen-9-yl)-2-methoxyethyl-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanoate (5a)

To a solution of (R)-1-(anthracen-9-yl)-2methoxyethanol (4a) 60 mg ( $0.237 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, (S)-(-)- $\alpha$-methoxy- $\alpha$ (trifluoromethyl)phenylacetic acid ( $S$-Mosher) 110 mg ( 0.470 methyl mmol, 2 equiv.), $N, N$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) 97.8 mg ( $0.474 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and 4-dimethylamino pyridine (DMAP) 3.5 mg ( $0.0286 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.12$ equiv) were added and stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated. Purification by preparative layer chromatography using Hexane/ $\operatorname{EtOAc}(10: 1)$ as the mobile phase gave a light yellow solid (5a) $0.32 \mathrm{~g}(0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 29 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (300 $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $8.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.61-7.38(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,

ArH), 6.10 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.23(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=12.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, 4.62 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0$, $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 45.3,55.1,63.7,100.0$, 122.8, 123.8, 124.1, 125.3, 126.7, 127.3, 127.5, 127.9, 128.1, 128.5, 129.1, 129.7, 131.9, 132.2, 165.7; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$491.1446, found 491.1452.
(9R,10S,11S,12S)-10-((R)-1,2-dimethoxyethyl)-15-hydroxy-10,11-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epi cyclopentaanthracen-13(12H)-one (8a)

A mixture of ( $R$ )-9-(1,2-dimethoxyethyl) anthracene (3a) 0.03 g ( $0.114 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 4-cyclopentene-1,3-dione $0.012 \mathrm{~g}(0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in dry benzene 1 mL under argon was refluxed at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and purification of the residue by preparative-layer chromatography (PLC) (silica gel) using Hexane/EtOAc (1:1) as the mobile phase gave ( $9 R, 10 S, 11 S, 12 S$ )-10-((R)-1,2-dimethoxyethyl)-15-hydroxy-10,11-dihy-dro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclepentaanthracen-13(12H)-one (8a) as a yellow oil 0.03 g ( $10 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.45-7.12$ $(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.88(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.56(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.44\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, CH ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 50.6,53.5,55.4$, 58.8, 60.6, 75.0, 76.6, 100.0, 127.4, 127.6, 128.9, 129.3, 129.7, 129.8, 130.5, 142.6, 143.4, 144.3,
147.4, 203.0, 211.9; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$385.1416, found 385.1441.
(9R,10S,11S,12S)-10-((1R,2R)-1,2 dimethoxypropyl) -15-hydroxy-10,11-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2] epicyclopentaanthracen-13(12H)-one (8b)

A mixture of 9-((1R,2R)-1,2 dimethoxypropyl)anthracene (3b) $0.02 \mathrm{~g}(0.713 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, propyl 4-cyclopentene-1,3-dione 0.01 g ( 1.5 eq .) and dry benzene 1 ml were added in a pressure tube and heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated to dryness and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hexane:EtOAc (1:1)). The product of $(9 R, 10 S, 11 S, 12 S)-10-((1 R, 2 R)-1,2-$ dimethoxypropyl)-15-hydroxy-10,11-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopentaanthracen-13(12H)-one (8b) was obtained as a yellow viscous oil 0.002 g ( $11 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.46(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, ArH), 7.14-7.03 (4H, m, ArH), 6.85 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~d}$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.12$ (1H, s, CH), 4.70 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.59 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, $3.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.47\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, $1.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.4,46.0,46.3,50.6,53.4,55.8,60.3$, $75.2,83.5,111.4,122.6,124.2,124.6,125.3,125.6$, 126.1, 126.3, 126.5, 141.7, 142.3, 147.3, 144.2, 203.8, 211.9; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$399.1572, found 399.1600.
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Fig. 1. Structure of Clavulone I, II, TEI-9826 and Untenone A

15-Hydroxy-10-((S)-1-methoxymethyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopenta-anthracen-13-one (8c)

A mixture of (S)-9-(1-Mehtoxyethyl) anthracene (6) 3.55 g ( $15.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and cyclopentene-1,3-dione (7) 1.75 g ( $18.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in anhydrous benzene ( 25 mL ) under argon was refluxed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After cooling to room temperature, the precipitated adduct was filtered and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (diethyl acetate) to give 15-Hydroxy-10-((S)-1-methoxymethyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopenta-anthracen-13-one (8c) 4.40 g (88\%); m.p. 265-267 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ : MeOD, 9:1) $\delta 7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.35-7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ArH), $7.15-7.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, OH ), 3.03 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2$, ArH), 1.94 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}^{3}$ ) $\delta 20.8,34.7$, 50.6, 53.5, 55.4, 60.6, 78.0, 127.4, 127.6, 128.9,129.3, 129.7, 129.8, 130.5, 142.6, 143.4, 144.3, 147.4, 203.8, 211.9; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 332.1459$, found 332.1412 .

Methylation of 15-Hydroxy-10-((S)-1-methoxy methyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicy clopenta-anthracen-13-one (8c)

To a solution of 15-Hydroxy-10-((S)-1-methoxymethyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epi cyclopenta-anthracen-13-one (8c) 0.23 g ( 0.69 mmol ) and sodium hydride $60 \mathrm{mg}(1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF 4 mL at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, methyl iodide 0.1 mL ( $1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 9 h before being quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 10 mL ). After stirring for 15 min . at room temperature, the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried over anh. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After filtration and evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc, (2:1)) to give 15-methoxy-10-((S)-1-methoxyethyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopenta-anthracen-13one (9a) $0.072 \mathrm{~g}(30 \%)$ and 13-methoxy-10-((S)-1-methoxyethyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopenta-anthracen-15-one (10a) 0.12 g (50\%) as a yellow solid.

a) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \quad 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4$ days
b) $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(1)
a) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
b) $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(2)
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{NaH}$ dry THF, $\mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{hrs}$

(5)

a) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$

a) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
b) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(3)

Scheme 1: Reagents and conditions for the synthesis of chiral anthracene auxiliary
(9a); m.p. $193-195^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.11-$ $7.00(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.76$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $4.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.63(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.51\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), 3.02 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 1.80 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 15.7$, 45.8, 48.1, 50.2, 54.1, 56.0, 57.4, 72.6, 106.3, 122.8,123.0, 123.4, 124.4, 124.5, 124.7, 124.9, 125.1, 125.6, 137.7, 138.5, 139.4, 142.1, 188.0, 202.7; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 346.1641 , found 346.1569 .
(10a); m.p. 275-277 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.88-7.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37-7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,

ArH), 7.32-7.28 (1H, m, ArH), 7.17-7.12 (5H, m, ArH), 4.87 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 4.61 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}$ ), 3.65 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $3.64\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.63$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $1.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 17.2, 46.9, 47.8, 54.4, 55.8, 56.7, 58.7, 74.9, 107.6, 123.0, 123.7, 125.3, 125.6, 125.9, 126.1, 126.4, 126.8, 138.6, 139.5, 140.2, 143.6, 190.7, 204.2; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 346.1641$, found 346.1569 .

13-Acetoxy-10-((S)-1-methoxyethyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopenta-anthracen-15-one (10b)

To a solution of 15-Hydroxy-10-((S)-1-methoxymethyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epi


$160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Xylene 12 hrs , sealed tube
(3a); $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OMe}$
(3b); $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OMe}$
(6); $R_{1}=R_{2}=R_{3}=H$

(8a); $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OMe}$
(8b); $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OMe}$
(8c); $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$

Scheme 2: Enolic anthracene adducts via Diels-Alder reactions


Fig. 2. X-ray crystallography of enolic anthracene adduct (8c)
cyclopenta-anthracen-13-one (8c) 1.0 g ( 3.00 mmol , 1.0 equiv) in acetic anhydride 5 mL , iodide was added with stirring and then refluxed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , The mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with water ( 10 mL ) and stirred for a further 20 min. followed by extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phase was dried over anh. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (silica gel) with Hexane:EtOAc, (10:1) as the mobile phase gave 13 -acetoxy-10-((S)-1-methoxyethyl)-11,12-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopenta-anthracen-15-one (10b) 1.0 g (90\%); m.p. 215-217 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCI}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.90 (1H, m, ArH), 7.37 (1H, m, ArH), 7.15 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ArH), 5.75 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.13 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), 4.50 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 3.73 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $3.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, ArH), $2.32\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

Table. 1: Condition and reagent of the synthetic adducts (9) and (10)

| Entry | Conditions | $R$ | $\%$ Yield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{DMF}$ | Me | $9 \mathrm{a}: 10 \mathrm{a}=30: 50$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$ | Ac | $9 \mathrm{~b}: 10 \mathrm{~b}=0: 90$ |

$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.7,21.4,46.8$, 49.8, 50.1, 55.1, 57.0, 73.5, 119.0, 123.8, 124.0, 124.5, 125.7, 125.9, 126.3, 126.5, 126.7, 138.4, 139.1, 140.3, 143.0, 165.8, 177.2, 204.9; HR-ESI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}(M+H)^{+} 455.2018$, found 455.1974.


Scheme 3: Transformation into enolate anion and protection of the hydroxy group of adduct (8c)


(10)

Fig. 3. HMBC correlations of adducts (9) and (10)

## General Procedure for the Grignard Addition to Cyclo-adduct 9,10

To a mixture of magnesium (5.0 equiv) in diethyl ether ( 5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, alkyl/allyl bromide solution ( 1.0 equiv) was added and stirred for 30 min . to give alkyl/allyl magnesium bromide solution as a turbid grey solution. To the cyclo-adduct 9 , 10 ( 0.20 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ in a 50 ml round bottom flask at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an acetone/dry ice cooling bath, the solution of the Grignard reagent ( 0.40 mmol ) was slowly added dropwise over

2 h under argon. The resulting mixture was quenched with an aqueous saturated $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phase was dried over anh. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (silica gel) with Hexane:EtOAc, (10:1) as the mobile phase gave the desired Grignard addition products.
(9S,10S,11S,12S)-9-((S)-1-methoxyethyl)-15-methyl-10,11-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclo pentaanthracen-13(12H)-one (11a)

Using the general procedure with the addition of MeMgBr ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to the cyclo-adduct $9(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, the title compound was obtained after column chromatography ( $0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ); m.p. $224-226^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18-$ 7.05 (6H, m, ArH), 5.43 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), 5.03 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 4.39 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $3.72\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 3.07 ( 1 H , dd, $J=0.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 2.04 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.7,17.1,47.3,51.4,53.8,55.3,57.0$, 73.4, 123.7, 124.0, 124.3, 125.6, 125.8, 126.0, 126.2, 126.6, 134.1, 138.5, 139.4, 140.5, 143.7, 176.7, 207.4; HR-El MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M})^{+}$ 330.1630, found 330.1673 .

Table 2: Results of the synthesis adducts 11a-d

| Entry | Product | R | Yields (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 11 a | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 83 |
| 2 | 11 b | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 70 |
| 3 | 11 c | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 78 |
| 4 | 11 d | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{33}$ | 75 |



Scheme 4: Preparation of adducts 9 via Grignard reagents and hydrolysis
(9S,10S,11S,12S)-15-allyl-9-((S)-1-methoxyethyl) -10,11-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopen taanthracen-13(12H)-one (11b)

Using the general procedure with the addition of allylmagnesium bromide $(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ to the cyclo-adduct $9(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, the title compound was obtained after column chromatography ( $0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ); m.p. $188-190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85$ (1H, m, ArH), 7.32 (1H, m, ArH), 7.12 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.72 (1H, m, ArH), 5.45 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.15 (3H, m, ArH), 4.41 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $3.71\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.15$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 1.81$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) § 16.7, 29.7, 35.4, 47.4, 51.7, 52.4, 55.3, 57.0, 73.4, 123.4, 124.0, 124.4, 125.6, 125.8, 126.0, 126.2, 126.6, 132.5, 133.3, 138.6, 139.4, 140.5, 143.6, 178.4, 207.0; HR-El MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M})^{+}$ 356.1776, found 356.1806.
(9S,10S,11S,12S)-9-((S)-1-methoxyethyl)-15-pentyl-10,11-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclo pentaanthracen-13(12H)-one (11c)

Using the general procedure with the addition of pentanylmagnesium bromide $(0.75 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.30 mmol ) to the cyclo-adduct 9 ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the title compound was obtained after column chromatography ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91$ (1H, m, ArH), 7.38 (1H, m, ArH), 7.18 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.19(1 \mathrm{H}$, q, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $3.76\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.30\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 13.9,16.7,22.4,26.2$, 29.7, 31.0, 31.5, 47.6, 51.4, 52.7, 57.0, 73.4, 123.6, 123.9, 124.4, 125.5, 125.7, 125.9, 126.1, 126.6, 132.5, 138.6, 139.5, 140.6, 143.8, 181.1, 207.3; HR-

(10)
(12)

Scheme 5: Preparation of adducts 10 via Grignard reagents and hydrolysis

El MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}(M)+386.2246$, found 386.2275.
(9S, $10 S, 11 S, 12 S)$-15-hexadecyl-9-((S)-1-methoxyethyl)-10,11-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epi cyclopentaanthracen-13(12H)-one (11d)

Using the general procedure with the addition of hexadecylmagnesium bromide ( 2.00 mL , $6.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ to the cyclo-adduct $9(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, the title compound was obtained after column chromatography ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ); m.p. 201-203 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.33 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.11 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.43 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), $3.72\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), $3.13\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.26\left(26 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 13.1,15.6,21.7$, 22.9, 25.5, 25.9, 28.4, 28.5, 28.7, 29.3, 30.0, 30.9, 40.9, 46.6, 50.4, 51.6, 54.3, 56.0, 72.4, 122.6, 122.9, 123.4, 124.5, 124.7, 124.9, 125.1, 125.6, 131.4, 137.6, 138.4, 139.6, 142.7, 180.0, 206.3; HR-EI MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{2}(M)^{+} 540.3967$, found 540.4010.
(9S,10S,11S,12R)-9-((S)-1-methoxyethyl)-13-methyl 10,11-dihydro-9H-9,10-[1,2]epicyclopentaanth racen-15(12H)-one (12a)

Using the general procedure with the addition of methylmagnesium bromide $(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ to the cyclo-adduct $10(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30$ mmol ), the title compound was obtained after column chromatography ( $0.07,70 \%$ ); m.p. 232-234 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.21 ( $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.59 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 4.79 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, ~ J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 3.68 ( 3 H ,
$\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=3.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}^{3}\right), 1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}^{3}$ ) $\delta 17.1$, 19.9, 47.0, 52.5, 55.5, 55.7, 74.9, 123.0, 123.6, 125.1, 125.5, 126.0, 126.1, 126.4, 126.6, 135.7, 136.8, 138.4, 139.5, 140.9, 143.7, 178.5, 207.8; HREl MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}(M)+330.1630$, found 330.1673.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of the chiral anthracene auxiliary started with vinyl anthracenes (1a-b) which was prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{14}$ Asymmetric dihydroxylation with AD-mix $\beta$ was prepared using literature procedure ${ }^{16}$ to afford compounds $2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ in fair yields. Then methylation of 2a with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ and NaH in THF for 6 hours at room temperature gave the dimethoxy compound 3 a in $52 \%$ yield and the mono-methylated product 4 a in $36 \%$ yield. Methylation of 2 b using the same conditions gave only the dimethylated product 3b in $18 \%$ yield. This low yield might be due to the steric strain of the methyl side chain of the propyl group. However, the methylated product yield was improved when the reaction time was increased.

The absolute stereochemistry of 2 a was confirmed by comparing the spectroscopic data and optical rotation of the previous report. ${ }^{17}$ To determine enantiomeric purity of $2 \mathrm{a},(R)-9-(1,2-$ dimethoxyethyl)anthracene 4a was treated wtih (-)-(S)- $\alpha$-Methoxy- $\alpha$-(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetic acid $[(-)-(S)$-MTPA] in the presence of DCC and DMAP. These reaction afforded (-)-(S)-MTPA ester 5 a as a single diastereomer ( $\mathrm{dr} \geq 20: 1$ ) after structural elucidation. The low yield of 5 a might be


Fig. 4. COSY and HMBC correlations of adducts (11)

Table. 3: Results of the synthesis adducts 12a-d

| Entry | 10 | Product | R | Yields <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 12 a | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 70 |
| 2 |  | 12 b | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | - |
| 3 |  | 12 c | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | - |
| 4 |  | 12 d | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{33}$ | - |
| 5 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ac}$ | 12 a | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | - |

due to the use of (-)-(S)-MTPA-acid reacted with steric alcohol 4a. The anthracene 6 was synthesised according to Snyder's method and the structure confirmation was compared to the literature. ${ }^{15}$

The Diels-Alder reactions of the chiral anthracene $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ and 6 with cyclopentene-3,5-dione (7) refluxing in xylenes for 12 h resulted in the ketone forms of $8 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ as single diastereomers (Scheme 2). The structures of the addition adducts were confirmed by NMR spectroscopy. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra appeared to have peaks around 203 and 211 ppm which suggested the solution structures to be diketone carbonyl groups of 8a-c. However, the single crystal X-ray crystallography of adduct 8 c were showed to be an enolic anthracene adduct (Fig. 2). These were supported the previous study ${ }^{19}$ that cyclopentene-3,5-dione itself in refluxing benzene was present exclusively as the keto tautomer. The X-ray crystallography also showed the orientation of the methoxy group away from the approaching dienophile. These observations led to a proposition that in the transition state, the facial selectivity is controlled by minimisation of electrostatic repulsion between the methoxyl oxygen and the approaching dienophile. While the cyclo-addition completed, hydrogen bonding helped to stabilise the alternative product, giving rise to a single diastereomer as depicted in Scheme 2.

Prior to transformation of the carboxyl group of 8 c , the hydroxyl group was converted to either an ether or ester derivative with good to poor regio-selectivity depending on the nature of the protection employed (Table 1). Transformation of 8 c into enolate anions led to the breaking of the hydrogen bond, and consequently delocalisation of the enolate anion gave two regio-isomers (Scheme 3). The regio-selectivity obtained was due to the steric hindrance of substituents. The small steric group of the $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ gave the methyl ether low selectivity and about a $2: 3$ ratio of adducts (9) and (10), respectively. Meanwhile, the -Ac group had high selectivity and gave exclusively adduct (10) (Table 1, entry 2). The HMBC analyses were used in assigning the regio-chemistry of the ether/ester adduct. In the regio-isomers (10), the HMBC spectra showed correlations between ether/ester carbons and proton at $\mathrm{C}_{2} 2$.

Treatment of enol ethers (9) and (10) with Grignard reagents gave 1,2-addition and then hydrolysis of the resulted products gave the corresponding $\beta$-alkylketone anthracene adducts (11) and (12), respectively (Scheme 4 and 5). The organomagnesium compounds added to the carbonyl group subsequently caused hydrolytic cleavage of the enol ether and elimination of water ${ }^{16}$ to give 11 and 12. In this approach, steric hindrance plays an important role as using bulky Grignard reagents gave only product (11) from the addition to the less hindered carbonyl ketone (9). While the hindered carbonyl ketone 10 was not attacked by the bulky Grignard reagents (Table 2). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of (11) indicated the absence of the methoxy protons and the presence of the alkyl protons in high fields and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra showed the present of carbonyl group at around $\delta 207.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. The HMBC spectra showed the correlations between $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ proton of the alkyl groups and the $\mathrm{C} 1^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime \prime}$, correlations between H 4 " proton and the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ carbonyl group, and correlations between $\mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}$ " proton and $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ anthracene substituent. The COSY spectra showed the correlations between $\mathrm{H} 1^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{H} 2^{\prime \prime}$ and the long length coupling between C-H proton of the alkyl groups and H2" and $\mathrm{H} 4^{\prime \prime}$ protons. Thus, these NMR experiments assigned the carbonyl group to be on the same side as the $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ anthracene substituent. The COSY spectra of 12 showed the long length coupling between $\mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ proton of the alkyl group, but correlation between $\mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}$ and C -H proton of the alkyl group was not observed.

## CONCLUSIONS

Chiral anthracene templates were synthesised prior to use in Diels-Alder reactions with cyclopentene-3,5-dione. The results showed that the cyclo-adducts were obtained with good regio-selectivity as a single diastereomer from completely enolic forms in crystal structure and diketone forms in the solution structures. However, the studies on the stereo-selectivity using organomagnesium addition to the methoxyenones resulted in the cleavage of enol ether and elimination of water. These could undergo hydrogenation of the enone double bond to give a chiral cyclopentenones. On the other hand, studies with other nucleophilic additions to the carbonyl group without loss of stereo-centre should be investigated.
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