A Selective and Sensitive Method Development and Validation by LC-MS/MS Approach for Trace Level Quantification of Potential Genotoxic Impurities of ERL Ethyl Ester and ERL Nitro Compound in Erlotinib Hydrochloride Drug Substance
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ABSTRACT

A novel reversed-phase liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometric (LC-MS/MS) method was developed and validated for the trace analysis of ethyl 2-amino-4,5-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)benzoate (ERL ethyl ester) and ethyl 4,5-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)-2-nitrobenzoate (ERL nitro compound) genotoxic impurities in Erlotinib Hydrochloride drug substance with the shorter run time. ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound were identified as genotoxic impurities through DEREK nexus software. The method utilizes purosphere star RP 18 e (100 mm X 4.6 mm, 3.0 µm) column with electro spray ionization in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) detection mode. The isocratic mode of elution for the impurities was carried out with the aid of the mobile phase using 0.1% formic acid in water & acetonitrile in the ratio of 42:58 v/v. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min, column oven temperature 25°C and elution was monitored by mass spectrometer. The method was validated as per International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines and quantitate up to 1 ppm of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound.
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INTRODUCTION

During the synthesis of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) the final products may end up with some impurities probably from starting materials, reagents, intermediates and reaction by-products. These impurities may be potential to induce genetic mutations, chromosomal breaks and chromosomal rearrangements which leads to cancer in humans and these impurities were termed as potential
genotoxic impurities (PGIs)\textsuperscript{1-3}. Keeping in view of its significance, European Medicines Agency and ICH [ICH M7] have framed guidelines genotoxic impurities in the drug substance\textsuperscript{4,5}. These guidelines proposed a threshold limit of toxicological concern value (1.5 µg/day) of genotoxic impurities in the drug substance.

It was identified that Ethyl 2-amino-4,5-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)benzoate (ERL ethyl ester) and Ethyl 4,5-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)-2-nitrobenzoate (ERL nitro compound) were potential genotoxic impurities using DEREK nexus software\textsuperscript{6}. LHASA predictions derived out of DEREK nexus report clearly indicates that ERL nitro compound is a very plausible entity that shows carcinogenicity in mammal & mutagenicity \textit{in vitro} in bacterium is plausible and ERL amino compound is a carcinogenicity & skin sensitisation in mammal plausible. Though ERL nitro compound and ERL ethyl ester are known potential carcinogen, these data would ascertain that the regulatory authorities expected to control the levels of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound to be 10 ppm in the drug substance.

Erlotinib hydrochloride (Fig. 1a) is used for the treatment of non-small cell lung malignancy, pancreatic cancer and other types of cancer\textsuperscript{7}. The chemical name of erlotinib is N-(3-ethynylphenyl)-6,7-bis(2-methoxyethoxy) quinazolin-4-amine. Its molecular formula is C\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{23}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}.HCl, which corresponds to a molecular weight of 429.9 (hydrochloric acid salt). Our in-house active pharmaceutical ingredient Erlotinib Hydrochloride was white to pale yellow powder & slightly soluble in methanol. ERL ethyl ester (Fig. 1b) and ERL nitro compound (Fig. 1c) are the two intermediates in the Erlotinib Hydrochloride process. It is essential to control and prove that these impurities is not getting carry forward till final stage as it has structural alert. It was a great challenge for developing a method for determinations of genotoxic impurities at low level using analytical techniques like LCMS, HPLC, GC, and UV. The literature survey related that some spectrophotometric methods, HPLC methods, and LC-MS/MS were developed for the determination of erlotinib in different combination of drugs and biological matrices\textsuperscript{8-11}. No literature was available for the determination of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound at trace level in Erlotinib Hydrochloride.

The main objective of present research article is to develop a selective, sensitive, rapid and accurate method to achieve efficient separation between erlotinib, ERL ethyl ester & ERL nitro compound using LC-MS/MS technique and method to be validated as per ICH guidelines\textsuperscript{12} in terms of specificity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), precision, linearity, accuracy and robustness.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and reagents**

Formic acid, methanol and acetonitrile were obtained in their LCMS grade from M/S Merck (Mumbai, India). Purified water collected through Mill-Q plus water purification system (Millipore,

---

Fig. 1: The Chemical structure of Erlotinib Hydrochloride, ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound
Milford, MA, USA). Erlotinib Hydrochloride, ethyl 2-amino-4,5-bis (2-methoxyethoxy) benzoate (ERL ethyl ester) and Ethyl 4,5-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)-2-nitrobenzoate (ERL nitro compound) were synthesis from our in-house M/S Cipla Ltd (R&D), Bangalore, India.

**Instrumentation**

The mass spectrometer system was used an Applied Bio system Sciex QTRAP-5500 model (Switzerland). LC was carried out on Agilent HPLC (1200 series, Germany) with photodiode array detector. As part of experimentation, additional equipment such as PCI sonicator (22L500/CC/DTC), and precision analytical balance (MX5, Mettler Toledo, Schwerzenbach, Switzerland). Data acquisition and processing were steered using the Analyst 1.6.2 software on a dell computer.

**Chromatographic conditions**

The analytical column used was purosphere star RP 18 e (100 mm X 4.6 mm, 3.0 µm) procured from M/S Merck life sciences (Mumbai, India). The mobile phase was 0.1% formic acid in water and acetonitrile in the ratio of 42:58 (v/v). The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min with the flow rate split down to 0.4 ml/min into the MS source. The column oven temperature was maintained at 25°C and sample cooler temperature was 15°C. The injection volume was 10 µl. Positive ion electrospray ionization probe & multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) detection mode were used for LC-MS/MS method for quantification of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound content in erlotinib Hydrochloride drug substance. Mass spectrometer conditions was represented in Table 1.

**Sample and standard preparation**

The test concentration of Erlotinib Hydrochloride was 1 mg/mL based on the detector response. The diluent was optimized as methanol and water in the ratio of 50:50 (v/v). The standard solution of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound were prepared with a concentration of 1.0 ppm (LOQ level), 5.0 ppm, 7.5 ppm, 10.0 ppm (Limit Level), 12.5 ppm and 15.0 ppm with respect to the test concentration. Test sample spiked with standard at LOQ, 50%, 100% and 150% level were prepared by dissolving 10 mg of test sample with standard solution in a 10 mL volumetric flask.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Method development**

The initial trials were carried out with HPLC using different buffers (Phosphate, TFA, Ammonium acetate etc.). During the trials it was observed that there was a lag in attaining the sensitive of the method to reach the targeted level and hence finally the method development trials were carried out using the LC-MS/MS technique for better sensitivity. The final chromatographic condition was achieved on a purosphere star RP 18 e (100 mm X 4.6 mm, 3.0 µm) procured from M/S Merck life sciences (Mumbai, India) in isocratic mode of elution using the mobile phase 0.1% formic acid in water and acetonitrile in the ratio of 42:58 (v/v)). The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min, with flow rate split down to 0.4 mL/min into the MS source, MS parameters were set to get maximum sensitivity for the impurities of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound. Before obtaining the final method, the method was scrutinized with different stationary phase columns which includes C18, C8,
cyan and amino. In addition to that the trials were carried out with different mobile phase additives such as formic acid, ammonium acetate, methanol and acetonitrile.

**Method Validation**

The specificity of the method was checked by injecting 1.0 ppm of Erlotinib Hydrochloride, ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound with respect to the test concentration by using mass spectral detection. The retention time of the API and genotoxic impurities were mentioned in Table 2.

Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated from S/N (signal to noise) ratio. The LOD and LOQ for ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound were obtained with the concentration of 0.3 ppm and 1.0 ppm to obtain the S/N ratio 3.2, 3.9 and 10.9, 10.6 respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Erlotinib</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ERL ethyl ester</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ERL nitro compound</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Determination of specificity

The linearity of the developed method was verified over a concentration of 1.0-15.0 ppm (LOQ, 50%, 75%, 100%, 125% and 150%). Calibration curve was plotted for the peak areas (Y-axis) of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound versus concentration of ppm (X-axis). The correlation coefficient, slope and intercept values were derived from linear least-square regression analysis and data are presented in Table 3. System precision was studied by injecting six times of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound standard solution at limit level. To determine the method precision six independent solutions were prepared by spiking erlotinib Hydrochloride with the impurity at a concentration of 10.0 ppm with respect to analyte concentration. Intermediate precision was studied with different column, different instrument and different day. % RSD of all the above determinations were calculated and found below 10. The recovery studies by the standard addition method were performed to evaluate accuracy. Accordingly the accuracy of the method was determined in six replicate at limit level, LOQ, 50% and 150% in triplicate. The recovery obtained for both the genotoxic impurities was within 90% to 110%. Spiked limit level chromatograms of accuracy was shown in fig. 2-3. Robustness of the method was determined by making slight and deliberate changes in experimental conditions. The flow rate of
mobile phase was altered by 0.1 units i.e. 1.0 to 1.1 mL/min, 1 to 0.9 mL/min and effect of temperature on resolution was also studied at 23°C and 27°C (altered by 2 units). The cumulative % RSD values from method precision and robustness study (altered conditions) were calculated. The % RSD values calculated were found to be below 10 for ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound demonstrate that the method was robust. The solution stability was carried out for ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound were quantitatively spiked at limit level concentration and stored at 15°C. The spiked sample was injected into system initially and at various intervals. The % variation of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound content in the initial and each interval was calculated and found to be below 10. This indicates that the sample solution was found to be stable up to 48 hours at 15°C. Validation results were summarized and represented in Table 3.

The stability of Erlotinib Hydrochloride was checked by forced degradation studies and confirmed that the potential genotoxic impurities were not formed during these studies. The Erlotinib Hydrochloride was subjected to photolysis, hydrolysis, acid hydrolysis, base hydrolysis, oxidation and reduction. The Erlotinib Hydrochloride was not sensitive for the genotoxic impurities under the stress conditions.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of the present study indicated that the newly developed LC-MS/MS method with the shorter run time is simple, rapid, cost-effective, linear, accurate, precise, sensitive, robust over the specified range and selective for low level quantification of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound in Erlotinib Hydrochloride drug substance. The LOD and LOQ of the developed method were quite satisfactory. In addition to this, the method is more convenient and more reliable. The quantification of ERL ethyl ester and ERL nitro compound has been successfully used for the release in quality control, routine and stability studies.
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