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#### Abstract

The paper attempts to explain at length the close relationship between transition metal carbonyl clusters with main group clusters especially the boranes using the 14 n and 4 n rules. When the 'shielding' electrons are removed from a transition metal carbonyl cluster and becomes 'naked', it resembles a corresponding one in the main group elements. A an expanded table of osmium carbonyl clusters was constructed using the capping fragment $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 n-2)$ and the fragment $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n+0)$. The table reveals the fact that the known series such closo, nido and arachno are part and parcel of a wide range of series especially the capping series $14 n+q$, where $q$ takes up negative multiple integers of two including 0 such as such $=0,-2,-4,-6$, and so on. The linkage between capping series in transition metal carbonyl clusters has also been identified. Apart from the capping series generated in the table, there is another type of series where the skeletal cluster elements remained the same but the number of carbonyl ligands successively decreased. These types of series are referred to as stripping series. Mapping generating functions were also derived which produces any cluster formula or series required. Also the table shows that many clusters form utilizing some of its atoms as closo nucleus around which the larger ones are built and thus forming clusters within larger clusters. The table may be used to categorize a given cluster formula that falls within its range. Otherwise, using the $14 n$ rule or $4 n$ rule can be used for cluster classification. Furthermore, the table indicated that atoms, fragments and molecules can be classified into series. Through this approach of using series, Hoffmann's importantisolobalrelationship of chemical species can splendidly be explained.Using the 14 n rule and 4 n rules creates a framework under which chemical species such as atoms, fragments, molecules and ions some of which may appear unrelated from main group elements and transition metal may be grouped together like 'birds of a feather flock together' provided the skeletal elements obey octet or eighteen electron rule.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Wade-Mingos rules have significantly enhanced the understanding and development of cluster chemistry since $1970 \mathrm{~s}^{1-2}$. Wade's rule related
the number of skeletal electron pairs $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ep}}\right)$ in a cluster to the number of skeletal atoms ( n ) and hence a possible symmetry of the cluster was predicted. In order to fully appreciate the approach presented in this article, it is important to give highlights of Wade's
rule by illustrating its application with a few examples. Let us take $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2}$ cluster with a regular octahedral symmetry ${ }^{3}$. If V represents the number of valence electrons of the cluster and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{H}$ the number of electrons involved in the B-H bonds and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}$ the skeletal electrons, then $\mathrm{V}=3 \times 6+6+2=26, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}=2 \times 6$ $=12$ and hence $S_{e}=26-12=14$. Therefore, the number of skeletal electron pairs in this case, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ep }}=$ $14 / 2=7$. Since $n=6$ for $B_{6} H_{6}{ }^{-}$cluster, this give us the relationship $S_{e p}=n+1=6+1$. This is the same as, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ep}}=\mathrm{n}+1$ which applies to all $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}$ closo clusters. In actual fact, this represents the closo series of clusters whose sleletal elements obey octet(8) or eighteen(18) electron rule. Let us consider $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ cluster; $n=5, V=3 \times 5+9=24, B_{e}{ }^{H}=2 \times 5=10, S_{e}=24$ $10=14$. Hence, $S_{e p}=14 / 2=7$. In this example, $S_{e p}=$ $n+2=5+2=7$. Thus, $B_{5} H_{9}(B-5)$ is a nido cluster a derivative of an octahedral cluster ( $\mathrm{B}-6$ ) where $\mathrm{B}-5$ and $B-6$ represent the 5 and 6 skeletal elements respectively. The $\mathrm{B}-5$ cluster will have an ideal square pyramid shape $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{v}}\right)^{4}$ as opposed to $\mathrm{B}-6$ octahedral shape $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$. In addition to $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ep}}=\mathrm{n}+2$ relationship assisting us to predict the shape $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ cluster, it actually represents the nido series of clusters. Let us look at the last example of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ cluster. In this case, $n=4, V=3 \times 4+10=22$ and $B_{e}{ }^{H}$ $=2 \times 4=8$. Therefore, $S_{e}=22-8=14$ and $S_{\text {ep }}=14 / 2$ $=7$. This gives us the series, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ep}}=\mathrm{n}+3$ which represents arachno series and $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ cluster is a member. The geometrical relationship of arachno to that of the corresponding closo 'parent' may simply be represented as $M-4^{\prime}!M-5^{\prime}!M-6$. Hence, $B_{4} H_{10}$ is derived from $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2-}$ octahedral symmetry. On the other hand, Mingos related the series to the number of electron count of the cluster ${ }^{5}$. The relationship is as follows: (4n-2) Bicappedcloso, (4n) Monocappedcloso, (4n+2) closo, (4n+4) Nido, $(4 n+6)$ Arachno, and ( $4 n+8$ ) Hypho. Both Wade and Mingos relationship were derived from Molecular Orbital Theory ${ }^{6}$.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Universality of the 4 n and 14 n Rules

In an attempt to find a simpler way to teach Lewis shapes of simple molecules, a fundamental question arose. Is there a simple formula that could link the number of atoms that obey the octet rule with the corresponding number of valence electrons? This was found and has been
exceedingly useful in analyzing clusters such as hydrocarbons, boranes, heteroboranes and transition metal carbonyl clusters. Let us consider a few examples to illustrate how the cluster series were simply derived by this approach. Consider a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ diatomic molecule, $\mathrm{n}=2$, the number of valence electrons for the two carbon atoms $\mathrm{V}=4 \times 2=8$. But if the number of carbon atoms, $\mathrm{n}=2$ is multiplied by 4 gives the same numerical result as the total valence electrons, then $S=4 \times 2=4 n=8=V$ where $S$ stands for series. If two hydrogen atoms (2H) are hypothetically added to the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ molecule, we generate $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule. This means that the two added hydrogen atoms have donated an additional 2 electrons giving rise to a total number of 10 . If we take 4 n as a standard or baseline on which other molecules with skeletal atoms that obey octet rule then $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8, \mathrm{~V}=10$. Therefore $\mathrm{S}=4 \mathrm{n}+2=$ V. Hence, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ may be regarded as a member of $\mathrm{S}=$ $4 n+2$ series. How can we relate the number of bonds (k) in the molecule to its series formula? We know that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ has a triple (3) bond, and therefore $\mathrm{k}=$ $2 n-1=2 \times 2-1=3$. Thus, the two hydrogen atoms acted as 'ligands', guest or well-wisher atoms to simply donate the electrons to assist the ' $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ' skeletal atoms attain the octet rule. If we continue the process and add two more H atoms to the ' $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ' skeletal atoms we get $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8$ and $\mathrm{V}=12$. Hence $\mathrm{S}=4 \mathrm{n}+4$ and $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}-2=2 \times 2-2=2$. This is in agreement with the double bond found in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. If we use the same procedure for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule, $\mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8, \mathrm{~V}=6 \times 2$ $=12$. Hence $\mathrm{S}=4 \mathrm{n}+4$ and $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}-2=2$. That is, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ has a double bond although it does not need any donations from the well-wisher atoms. Continuing adding the two hydrogen atoms we get $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. In this case, $\mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8$ and $\mathrm{V}=14$ and hence $\mathrm{S}=4 \mathrm{n}+6$ and $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}-3=1$. This means that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ has one C-C bond. On the other hand,the diatomic molecule $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ gives us a similar result, $\mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8$ and $V=14$ and therefore $S=4 n+6$, and $k=2 n-3=1$ without the support from donor atoms. This agrees with the single bond observed for $F_{2}$. The method can be extended to heteroatomic systems. For instance, $\mathrm{NO}^{+}, \mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8, \mathrm{~V}=5+6-1=10$. Hence, S $=4 n+2, k=2 n-1=3$, the bond order of $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$. We can apply the method to skeletal atoms greater than 2. For instance, $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{n}=4,4 \mathrm{n}=16, V=20, S=4 n+4$, and $k=2 n-2=2 \times 4-2=6$. With the $k$ value of 6 , we can sketch a number of isomers. Two of these are sketched as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. The two selected isomets of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
The isomer $\mathrm{f}-1$ has a planar shape but $\mathrm{f}-2$ has tetrahedral symmetry, $T_{d}$. Also the $\mathrm{As}_{4}$ molecule, $n$ $=4,4 n=16, V=20$. Thus, $S=4 n+4$, and $k=2 n-2=$ $2 x 4-2=6 . \mathrm{As}_{4}$ has a tetrahedral symmetry as in $\mathrm{f}-2$. Let us extend the method to $\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{4} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ge}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Pb}$ ) ions $^{7} ; n=4,4 n=16, V=4 x 4+4=20, S=4 n+4$ and $k$ $=2 n-2=2 \times 4-2=6$. These ions adopt a tetrahedral symmetry $\left(T_{d}\right)$ as in $f-2$. Also the same tetrel elements form the $\mathrm{M}_{5}{ }^{2^{-}}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ge}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Pb})$ ions; $\mathrm{n}=5,4 \mathrm{n}=20$, $V=4 \times 5+2=22, S=4 n+2, k=2 \times 5-1=9$. This type of cluster system has been found to adopt a trigonalbipyramid $\left(\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}\right)^{7}$. Take a hydrocarbon, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, $\mathrm{n}=6,4 \mathrm{n}=24$ and $\mathrm{V}=30, \mathrm{~S}=4 \mathrm{n}+6, \mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}-3=2 \times 6-$ $3=9$. This gives us two of the known benzene isomers among others and these are sketched in Figure 2(f-3 and f-4).

f-3 Benzene

f-4 Prismane

Figure 2. Selected isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$

## Borane and heteroborane clusters

We could extend this approach to boranes and heteroboranes. Let us start with $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}$ion; $\mathrm{n}=3$, $4 n=12, V=3 \times 3+8+1=18, S=4 n+6$ (Arachno), $k=$ $2 n-3=2 \times 3-3=3$. This means that the ideal shape of the $\mathrm{B}-3$ skeletal atoms is a triangle ${ }^{8}$. A hydrocarbon, $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} ; n=3,4 n=12, \mathrm{~V}=18$ has a k value of 3 and one of its isomers is a triangle. Let us follow up with
$\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ example; $\mathrm{n}=5,4 \mathrm{n}=20, \mathrm{~V}=3 \times 5+9=24, \mathrm{~S}=$ $4 n+4$ (Nido), $k=2 n-2=2 \times 5-2=8$. This is expected to have an ideal shape of a square pyramid $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{v}}\right)$ as derived from Wade-Mingos rules ${ }^{1-2}$. Let apply the method to the boron hydride ion $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{2-} ; \mathrm{n}=5,4 \mathrm{n}$ $=20, \mathrm{~V}=3 \times 5+5+2=22, \mathrm{~S}=4 \mathrm{n}+2, \mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}-1=2 \times 5-$ $1=9$. This is expected to have a shape identical to that of $\mathrm{M}_{5}{ }^{2^{-}}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ge}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Pb})$ ions which is a trigonalbipyramid $\left(\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$. The closoborane series is given by a general formula $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{n}=2,3,4,5,6,7$, $8,9,10,11,12, .$. ). The commonly encountered are from $n=5!12$. They belong to the cluster series $S=$ $4 n+2$ and $k=2 n-1$. Hence the characteristic $k$-value for selected cluster are given in Table 1. The generating functions of selected borane series are given in Table2.

Table 1: Characteristic k values of Selected Closo Boranes

| Cluster | n | $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}-1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{2}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{2^{-}}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{2}$ | 4 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{2}$ | 5 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 6 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{2-}$ | 7 | 13 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{2-}$ | 8 | 15 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}$ | 9 | 17 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{22^{-}}$ | 10 | 19 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{2^{-}}$ | 11 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} 2^{2^{-}}$ | 12 | 23 |

A closer analysis of Table 2 shows a very clear relationship of the well cited Rudolphrelationship of borane and carborane shapes ${ }^{4}$. If Table 2 were to be expanded, it would be visible that important Rudolph geometrical relationship is a portion of the borane capping series. Let me present some of these series including those that were presented by Rudolph's work by the structural formulas as seen in Table 2. Starting with $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17}$. Then from $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ at the end in the table. Final example is starting with the octahedral borane $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2-}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ (square pyramid) $\rightarrow \mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ at the end as indicated in the table. If the Rudolph capping series were to be extended, then the next starting cluster for decapping would be $\mathrm{B}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15}$, the next starting point

Table 2: GeneratingFunctions of Borane Series

|  | Tetracp | Tricp | Bicp |  | Monocp | Closo | Nido | Arachno | Hypho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}=$ | 4n-6 | 4n-4 | 4n-2 |  | 4 n | $4 \mathrm{n}+2$ | $4 \mathrm{n}+4$ | $4 \mathrm{n}+6$ | $4 \mathrm{n}+8$ |
| $\mathrm{F}=$ | $\mathrm{n}-6$ | $\mathrm{n}-4$ | $\mathrm{n}-2$ |  | $\mathrm{n}+0$ | $\mathrm{n}+2$ | $\mathrm{n}+4$ | $\mathrm{n}+6$ | $\mathrm{n}+8$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | BH | $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{BH}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{BH}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{BH}_{9}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 5 | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 6 | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 7 | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{15}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 8 | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 9 | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 10 | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 11 | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 12 | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ |

would be $\mathrm{B}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16}$, the next $\mathrm{B}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17}$ and so on. It should be pointed out that no neutral closoboranes are known except that they occur as di-ionic $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{-}$.

## Other important main group clusters

To test the easiness of applying the 4 n rule, let us consider a few more examples ${ }^{9}$. Take $\mathrm{Ge}_{9}{ }^{4-}$ ion; $n=9,4 n=36, V=4 x 9+4=40, S=4 n+4$ (Nido). Since the $\mathrm{M}-9$ cluster is a Nido, it is a derivative of an M-10 closostructure, that is similar to $B_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2}$. It will have one atom missing. As for $\mathrm{Ge}_{9}{ }^{2-}$ ion; $\mathrm{n}=9$, $4 n=36, V=4 x 9+2=38, S=4 n+2$ (Closo). Also its $k$ value will be $2 n-1=2 x 9-1=17$ as in $B_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ cluster. It is therefore expected to have a similar shape. The last similar example to consideris $\mathrm{TISn}_{9}{ }^{-3}$ ion; $\mathrm{n}=$ $10,4 \mathrm{n}=40, \mathrm{~V}=3+4 \times 9+3=42, \mathrm{~S}=4 \mathrm{n}+2$ (Closo), $\mathrm{k}=$ $2 n-2=2 \times 10-1=19$. This cluster is expected to have a skeletal shape as in $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ (comprises of two staggered square pyramid units joined together) ${ }^{3}$.

## Transition metal carbonyl clusters

While analyzing transition metal clusters, to find the link between the number of skeletal elements ( n ) and the valence electrons( V ), it was found that some clusters were such that $n$ when multiplied by 14 gave a result that was numerically the same as V . That is, $14 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V}$. This became a parallel to that of the main group element clusters $4 n=V$. Such clusters were mono-capped clusters ${ }^{10}$ such $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{21}, \mathrm{n}=7,14 \mathrm{n}=14 \mathrm{x} 7=98, \mathrm{~V}=8 \times 7+21 \mathrm{x} 2$
$=98, \mathrm{~S}=14 \mathrm{n}$, and $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18} ; \mathrm{n}=6,14 \mathrm{n}=14 \mathrm{x} 6=84$ and $V=8 x 6+18 x 2=84$. Using $14 n$ as a BASE LINE, other clusters were 'standardized' or their series deduced. Let us apply this 14 n rule to deduce the series of other clusters. Consider $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}, \mathrm{n}=2$, $14 \mathrm{n}=14 \times 2=28, V=7 \times 2+10 \times 2=34, S=14 n+6=V$, and $k=2 n-3=2 \times 2-1=1$. This implies a single bond between the two Mn atoms which is the case ${ }^{11}$. This corresponds to $4 n+6$ of the main group elements. This implies the skeletal elements of transition metal carbonyl clusters behave in the same manner as those in corresponding main group clusters. Indeed if $10 n$ is removed from $S=14 n+6$ we will remain with $S=4 n+6$ of the main group elements. For instance, in the above example if we remove $10 \mathrm{n}=$ $10 x 2=20$ valence electrons, we will remain with 14 electrons for two skeletal elements. In other words, $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ cluster behaves as $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ cluster where X is a halogen such as $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$ or I since for $\mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}$ $=8, V=14, S=4 n+6$ and $k=2 n-3=2 \times 2-3=1$. This means $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ has a single bond as in $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$. Let us determine the cluster series ${ }^{12}$ of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$; $n=2,14 n=28, V=9 \times 2+5 \times 2+2 \times 2=32 ; S=14 n+4$, $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}-2=2 \times 2-2=2$. This implies the cluster has double bond linking up the two Rh atoms. Since $S=$ $14 n+4=V=32$, if we subtract an equivalent of $10 n$ $=10 \times 2=20$, then we will have an equivalent of $S=$ $4 n+4=V=12$. Clearly, this result is the same as that of $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8, \mathrm{~V}=12$ and $\mathrm{S}=4 \mathrm{n}+4$, and $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}$ $2=2)$. Consider $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} ; \mathrm{n}=2,14 \mathrm{n}=$
$28, \mathrm{~V}=6 \times 2+5 \times 2+4 \times 2=30, S=14 \mathrm{n}+2, \mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}-1=$ $2 x 2-1=3$. This corresponds to a triple bond. Again, if we remove 20 from the valence electrons we will remain with 10. This will be equivalent to the cluster such as $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ discussed earlier and known to have triple bonds. The main group cluster series were derived on the assumption each skeletal element obeyed the octet(8) rule. This implies an $s^{2} p^{6}$ electron configuration. On the other hand the eighteen(18) electron rule assumes an $s^{2} p^{6} d^{10}$. Thus, it appears as if by removing 10n electrons from the transition metal cluster we are subtracting a $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ from each metal converting it to a main group element $\left(s^{2} p^{6} d^{10}-d^{10}=s^{2} p^{6}\right)$. This means that the transition metal carbonyl series run parallel to a corresponding one of the main group elements. Hence, $(14 n+2,4 n+2)$ represent Closo Series, ( $14 \mathrm{n}+4,4 \mathrm{n}+4$ ) Nido Series, $(14 \mathrm{n}+6,4 \mathrm{n}+6)$ Arachno Series, ( $14 \mathrm{n}+6,4 \mathrm{n}+6$ ) Hypho Series, (14n, 4n) MonocappedSeries, (14n-2, 4n-2) Bicapped Series, (14n-4, 4n-4) Tricapped Series, (14n-6, 4n-6) Tetracapped Series and so on. Classification of transition metal carbonyls using the 14 n rule has recently been published ${ }^{13-14}$.

## Construction of a hypothetical table of carbonyl clusters

After analyzing the structure of cluster carbonyl series, it was observed that the capping series vary by a change in $k$ value of 3 from one cluster member to the next involving an $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2$ series) fragment while in the noncapping (ordinary)series the variation in $k$ value is 2 units from one cluster member to the next involving an $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 \mathrm{n}+0$ series $)$ carbonyl fragment. With this knowledge in mind, a hypothetical table of osmium clusters was constructed. This is given in Table 3. This table can be extended where possible as required. The table is quite fascinating indeed. In order to analyze the characteristics of clusters, an assumption was made that the clusters in this case was that all clusters will comprise of osmium and carbonyl(CO) ligands only. Since the CO ligand donates two electrons, the charged clusters and other ligands are converted into CO ligand equivalent in terms of donating electrons. For instance, $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{2-}$ is taken as $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ for the purposes of this analysis. The columns represent the ordinary series in which the expansion involvesOs $(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n+0)$ fragment which does not
influence the change in the type of series for instance, the 14 n represent the members of Monocapped series which include, $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}, \mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$, $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}, \mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{15}, \mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}, \mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{21}$, $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{24}$, and $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{27}$. One interesting member is $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ the building block fragment for noncapping series. The rows represent the capping series since the building fragment $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)$ is a capping fragment containing the change "operator unit of (-2)"while the columns represent the ordinary series such as Hypho $(14 n+8)$, Arachno(14n+6), Nido(14n+4), Closo(14n+2), Monocap(14n), Bicap(14n-2), Tricap(14n-4), Tetracap(14n-6) and so on. Let us take the following capping series as seen in Table 3, namely ${ }^{10}$, $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$. The respective k values are given by ( $k$ $=2 n-4=0, n=2),(k=2 n-3=3, n=3),(k=2 n-2=6$, $n=4),(k=2 n-1, n=5)$ and ( $k=2 n, n=6)$ which as a set are( $0,3,6,9$,). This involves in change of $k$ units by 3 . The value of $k=0$ means that the clusterOs ${ }_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ exists as $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ molecules. The other $k$ values imply the clusters have shapes changing from a triangle ( $k=3$ ), to a tetrahedral ( $k$ $=6$ ), to a trigonalbipyramid ( $k=9$ ) and to a capped trigonalbipyramid ( $k=9+3=12$ ). These changes are shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3. Selected members of capping series

However the change in k value from $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}(\mathrm{k}=9)$ to $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}(\mathrm{k}=11)$ involves only $2 k$-value units and the clusters belong to the same closo family and the shape goes from a trigonalbipyramid $\left(\mathrm{D}_{3 n}\right)$ to octahedral $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$.
Table 3: GeneratingHypothetica ICappingOsmium Carbonyl Clusters

| HYPHO | ARACHNO | NIDO | closo | MONOCP | BICP | TRICP | TETRACP | PENTACP | HEXACP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14 \mathrm{n}+8$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+6$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+4$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ | 14 n | 14n-2 | 14n-4 | 14n-6 | 14n-8 | 14n-10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Os | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | -co | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}^{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{13}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{13}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{15}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ | $\mathrm{OS}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{20}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{22}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{13}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{15}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{21}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{23}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{25}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ | $\mathrm{Os} 3(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{20}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{22}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{24}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{26}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{28}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{13}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{15}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{21}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{23}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{25}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{27}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{29}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{31}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{20}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{22}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{24}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})^{26}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{28}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{30}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{32}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{34}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{21}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{23}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{25}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{27}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}{ }_{9}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{31}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{33}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{35}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{37}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{22}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{24}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{26}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{28}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{30}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{32}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{34}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{36}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{38}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{40}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{25}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{27}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{29}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{31}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{33}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{35}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{37}$ | $\left.\mathrm{Os}_{14}{ }_{4} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{39}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{41}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{43}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{28}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{30}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{32}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{34}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{36}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{38}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{40}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{42}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{44}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{46}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{31}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{33}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{35}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{37}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{39}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{41}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{43}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{45}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{47}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{49}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{34}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{36}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{38}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{40}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{42}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{44}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{46}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{48}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{52}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{37}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{39}$ | $\mathrm{Os} 13(\mathrm{CO})_{41}$ | $\left.\mathrm{Os}_{14}{ }_{4} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{43}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{45}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{47}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{49}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{51}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{53}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{55}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{40}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{42}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{44}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{46}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{48}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{52}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{54}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{56}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{21}(\mathrm{CO})_{58}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{43}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{45}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{47}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{49}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO}) 5_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{53}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{55}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{57}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{21}(\mathrm{CO})_{59}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{22}(\mathrm{CO})_{61}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{46}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{48}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{52}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{54}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{56}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{58}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{21}(\mathrm{CO})_{60}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{22}(\mathrm{CO})_{62}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{23}(\mathrm{CO})_{64}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{49}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{51}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{53}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{55}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{57}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{59}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{21}(\mathrm{CO})_{61}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{22}(\mathrm{CO})_{63}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{23}(\mathrm{CO})_{65}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{24}^{24}(\mathrm{CO})_{67}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{52}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{54}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{56}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{58}$ | $\mathrm{OS}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{60}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{21}(\mathrm{CO})_{62}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{22}(\mathrm{CO})_{64}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{23}(\mathrm{CO})_{66}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{24}(\mathrm{CO})_{68}$ | $\mathrm{OS}_{25}(\mathrm{CO})_{70}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{55}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{57}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{59}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{61}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{21}(\mathrm{CO})_{63}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{22}(\mathrm{CO})_{65}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{23}(\mathrm{CO})_{67}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{24}(\mathrm{CO})_{69}$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{25}(\mathrm{CO})_{71}$ | $\mathrm{OS}_{26}(\mathrm{CO})_{73}$ |

Table 4: CorrelationBetween Seriesof Transition Metal Carbonylsand Main Group Clusters

$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{VALENCE}$ ELECTRONS

Just as the elements of the periodic table have their atomic numbers and principal quantum numbers start with a digit of 1 and faithfully increase step by step with a numerical value of 1 , the carbonyl clusters as derived from Table 3 have three main types of series namely, the 'STRIPPING'series (Diagonal in Table 3) which lose 1 CO ligand step by step and have a cluster $k$ value also correspondingly change by 1 , the 'CAPPING' series (Horizontal or row in Table 3) with a periodic change of $k$ value by 3 and the 'ORDINARY' series (Vertical or Column in Table 3) which vary with a periodic k value of 2.

Correlation between $14 n$ series of transition metal carbonyl series and 4 n series of the main group elements

When the corresponding series are
compared, the correlation between the two series becomes vivid. This is illustrated in the Table4 for a few selected series.

Using generating functions, we can identify the closoosmium carbonyl systems that have the corresponding valence electrons.The generating function for closo series $(S=14 n+2)$ is $F(n) \rightarrow(3 n+1)$. Hence, the osmium closo carbonyl clusters are, [M1] $=3(1)+1=4 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4},[\mathrm{M}-2]=3(2)+1=$ $7 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7},[\mathrm{M}-3]=3(3)+1=10 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10},[\mathrm{M}-4]$ $=3(4)+1=13 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{13},[\mathrm{M}-5]=3(5)+1=$ $16 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16},[\mathrm{M}-6]=3(6)+1=19 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19},[\mathrm{M}-$ 7] $=3(7)+1=22 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{22},[\mathrm{M}-8]=3(8)+1=$ $25 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{25},[\mathrm{M}-9]=3(9)+1=28 \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{28},[\mathrm{M}-$ 10] $=3(10)+1=31^{\prime}!\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{31},[\mathrm{M}-11]=3(11)+1=$ $34^{\prime}!\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{34}$, and $[\mathrm{M}-12]=3(12)+1=$

Table 6: CorrelationBetween Transition Metal Carbonylseries and Main Group Series

| $14 n+2$ | CLOSO SYSTEMS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valence electrons, TM | -10n | Valence electrons, MG | $4 n+2$ |
| Carbonyl cluster |  |  |  | Possible main group equivalent |
| $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ | 16 | -10 | 6 | $\mathrm{BH}^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ | 30 | -20 | 10 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ | 44 | -30 | 14 | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{11}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{13}$ | 58 | -40 | 18 | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ | 72 | -50 | 22 | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{2,} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ | 72 | -60 | 26 | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{2-}$ | 72 | -60 | 26 | $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{22}$ | 100 | -70 | 30 | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{25}$ | 114 | -80 | 34 | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{28}$ | 128 | -90 | 38 | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{31}$ | 142 | -100 | 42 | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{34}$ | 156 | -110 | 46 | $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{2-}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{37}$ | 170 | -120 | 50 | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{lr}$ | 34 | -20 | 14 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}[\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{CO})]_{2}$ | 32 | -20 | 12 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}\right]_{2}$ | 30 | -20 | 10 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Os}$ | 48 | -30 | 18 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ | 60 | -40 | 20 | $\mathrm{P}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Rh}_{6}(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{CO})_{15}{ }^{2-}$ | 90 | -60 | 30 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{5}(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{CO})_{15}$ | 74 | -50 | 24 | $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{8}(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{2-}$ | 114 | -80 | 34 | $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{9}(\mathrm{P})(\mathrm{CO})_{21}{ }^{-{ }^{-}}$ | 130 | -90 | 40 | $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{10}(\mathrm{P})(\mathrm{CO})_{22}{ }^{\text {3- }}$ | 142 | -100 | 42 | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{13}(\mathrm{~N})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{24}{ }^{3-}$ | 178 | -130 | 48 | $\mathrm{B}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{6-}, \mathrm{B}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19}$ |

$37^{\prime}!\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{37}$. These closo osmium clusters are loaded with equivalent corresponding valence electron content. For example, $[\mathrm{M}-5]=\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ cluster has the valence electrons equivalent $=$ $5 \times 8+16 \times 2=72$. Therefore, if we remove the "shielding" electrons(10n) from [M-n] system will produce an equivalent $\left[\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]^{2}$ closo system. In this example, $[\mathrm{M}-5]-50=72-50=22=\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{2-}$. Also an analysis of the series indicates that there is a close relationship between the series $S=(14 n+\% q \%)$ \%and $S=(4 n+\% q \%)$. That is, $(14 n+\% q \%) \%-10 n$ $=(4 n+\% q \%)$. This implies that if we strip off the "shielding" electrons from transition metal elements in carbonyl clusters, we will find that they will behave like the corresponding main group elements. This is illustrated in Table 6.

On the basis of the relationship between 14 n and 4 n system, it is possible to suggest a possible formula of the corresponding main group compound. We can also make a correlation with boranes in the case of capped carbonyl clusters. For instance, $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{26}{ }^{2^{-}}$is known to be a tetracapped $\left\{\mathrm{C}^{4} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-6]\right\}$ cluster. Whereas the capping fragment was identified as $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ which is a member of $14 \mathrm{n}-2$ series and with electron content of 12, the corresponding capping fragment in boranes may be taken as $(+\mathrm{B},-\mathrm{H})$ equivalent to adding having a content of 2 electrons. The $(+\mathrm{B},-\mathrm{H})$ may be represented as $[\mathrm{B},-\mathrm{H}]$ or $[\mathrm{B}(-) \mathrm{H}]$ in which case for every capping a $B$ atom is added to the cluster while the H atom is subtracted each time. The fragment $[B,-H]$ may be regarded as $(4 n-2)$ series corresponding to $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 n-2)$ fragment . If we take $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{2-}$ as $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ to correspond to $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2-}$, then capping $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ four times (4) will generate $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}+4 \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ ! $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{27}$. If we apply the same process on $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{-}=\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ we get $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}+4[\mathrm{~B}(-) \mathrm{H}]$ which produces $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ borane cluster. For $B_{10} H_{4}, n=10,4 n=40$ and $V=34$ and hence, $S=4 n-6$ and $C_{p}=C^{1}+C^{3}=C^{4} C[B-6]$. This implies the octahedral cluster of 6 boron atoms will, in theory, be capped with 4 B atoms. In the case of capping boranes, the process involves replacing every H atom in the neutral borane known or unknown by a $B$ atom. This means for $B_{6} H_{6}{ }^{-}=B_{6} H_{8}$ we can, in theory, cap it 8 times resulting into a final $B_{14}$ cluster. It is fascinating to note that $B_{n}(n=3-15)$ boron clusters are being studied ${ }^{15}$.

## Beads, strings and chains of clusters

The way Table 3 is constructed is like chains or strings of cluster units(molecules) increasing as we move from left to right along the capping series. The clusters can be synthesized by adding atoms, fragments, and molecules to make small or short, medium or large clusters. It imitates the way beads are put together to make short or long necklaces. In a way, the process is like putting chains tother to make long ones or train cabs being assembled. For instance the cluster chain may start with one skeletal atom ( $\mathrm{M}-1$ ) at one end goes up to more than 30 skeletal atoms $(\mathrm{M}-30)$ at the other. In principle, such chain could go on indefinitely by adding one skeletal atom at a time. Since moving from left to right involves the addition of $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)$ fragment, we can generate horizontal capping cluster series starting with Os atom as follows: $\mathrm{Os} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{8} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{10} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{14} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{16} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ and so on. It has been shown in this illustration how a capping series can be created starting with Os (horizontal row). This is a simple example of one of the rows of the capping series and $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)$ fragment may be regarded as a capping constant. The same applies to the movement from the top to bottom for an ordinary cluster series such as closo or nido. The series are mathematically precise in terms of the content of valence electrons. For instance the table classifies osmium atom (Os) (see beginning of the Table 3) as a member of $14 n-6$ (tetracap)series thus, $\mathrm{Os}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$. The corresponding valence electron contentare numerically equal to 8,22 , and 36 . This sequence varies by 14 electron units as expected from ordinary carbonyl series. The osmium atom also obeys the series $S=14 n-6=V$ as $n=1$ for one osmium skeletal ton $S=14(1)-6=8$ the valence content of osmium. If we continue to successively add $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n+0)$ fragment starting with Os we will generate a column of Tetracapped Series(S $=14 \mathrm{n}-6, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{C}^{4} \mathrm{C}$ ) as follows: $\mathrm{Os}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{9} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{15} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{18} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{21} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{24} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{27}$ and so on. As can be seen from Table 3, $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{27}$ is a member of tetracapped series with its closo nucleus based on octahedral symmetry. We may symbolically express this as $C_{p}=C^{4} C[M-6]$. In other words, it has a nucleus with an electron content
equivalent to that of an octahedral cluster $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ or in the case of the main group elements, $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2}$ cluster. Similarly, from Table 3, $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{24}$ is tetracapped based on trigonalbipyramidcloso nucleus. That is, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{C}^{4} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-5],[\mathrm{M}-5]=\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16} \hat{\imath}$ $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{2}, \mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{21} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{4} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-4],[\mathrm{M}-4]=\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{13} \hat{l}$ $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}, \quad \mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{18} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{4} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-3],[\mathrm{M}-3]=\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10} \hat{l}$ $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{15} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{4} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-2],[\mathrm{M}-2]=\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7} \hat{1}$ $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{4} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-1],[\mathrm{M}-1]=\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \hat{I}$ $\mathrm{BH}^{2}$. Using other points or 'beads'similar capping and ordinary cluster series can be derived. 'The $S=$ $14 n-4$ (Tricapped)series has the three first members as $\mathrm{OsCO} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ with the corresponding electron content as (10, 24, 38). As can be seen, they also vary by 14 electron units. If $n$ $=1$, then $14 \mathrm{n}-4=14(1)-4=10$ which corresponds to the electron content of OsCO fragment. Also the Table 3shows that CO molecule can be placed in the category of CLOSO series( $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ ) as CO $\rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ and so on. In terms of valence electron content this corresponds to $2 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 30$. Again the change from one member to the next is 14 electron units. Indeed the CO obeys the $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ rule since when $n=0,14 n+2=14(0)+2=2$, the number of electrons one CO donates to a cluster. What is also fascinating is that the CO molecule also obeys the $S=4 n+2$ rule for $n=2(V=10)$ of the main group element clusters already discussed. This links up nicely the parallel relationship between ( $14 n+2 \rightarrow 4 n+2$ ) series. Finally, let us look at the three first members of the Monocap (14n) series namely, $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$. The respective electron content is $14^{\prime}!28^{\prime}!42$ with the series variation of 14 electron units. If $n=1$, then $S$ $=14 n=14(1)=14$ the valence electron content of $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ fragment. Indeed the $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ fragment is a member of the 14 n series. THE DIAGONAL RELATIONSHIP The way the table is arranged reveals yet another interesting relationship of the cluster series. For example consider the following diagonal relationship type of series. Let us begin with $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ and follow its path;
$\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{16} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{15} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{13} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{10} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{9} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{8} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})^{\prime}!\mathrm{Os}_{4}$. The stripping series showing a 'naked' cluster such as $\mathrm{Os}_{4}$ is quite interesting.Can the clusters of the series $\mathrm{Os}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10$. .)exist in the absence of supporting ligands? The above type of
series may be called STRIPPING SERIES since at every step the CO ligand is stripped off. Some good work has been done that reveals the existence of stripping series ${ }^{16-17}$.

## The generating functions of series and Cluster

When these carbonyl cluster series are scrutinized, it becomes clear that they have inherent orderly simple patters. Such patterns can easily be expressed by simple algebraic functions. For instance, the Hypho Series ( $S=14 n+8$ ) can be generated by a simple mapping function, $f(n)$ $\rightarrow(3 n+4)$. Let us apply the mapping function to generate a few clusters as follows: $f(0) \rightarrow 3(0)+4=$ 4. This means that when there is no skeletal element, therefore we get 4CO ligands as members of the $4 n+8$ series. Since $n=0$, then $S=14 n+8=0+8=8$, the valence content of $4 \mathrm{CO}(4 \times 2=8)$ ligands. When $n=1$, then $f(1) \rightarrow(3 \times 1+4)=7$. This means we have generated the hypothetical chemical species $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ which has also been obtained in the process of constructing the series table. For $n=4$, for example, we get $f(4) \rightarrow(3 \times 4+4)=16$. This gives us the cluster member of $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ one of the carbonyl complex of osmium. It also belongs to Hypho Series $(S=14 n+8)$. The generating function for Arachno Series $(S=14 n+6)$ is $f(n) \rightarrow(3 n+3)$, Nido Series $(S=14 n+4)$ is, $f(n) \rightarrow(3 n+2)$, Closo Series $(S=14 n+2)$ is, $f(n) \rightarrow(3 n+1)$, Monocap ( $S=$ 14) is, $f(n) \rightarrow(3 n)$, Bicap $(S=14 n-2)$ is $f(n)^{\prime}!(3 n+1)$, Tricap ( $S=14 n-4$ ) is $f(n) \rightarrow(3 n+2)$ and Teracap(S $=14 n-6)$ is $f(n) \rightarrow(3 n+3)$. Selected generating functions of Nido, Closo, Monocaped and Bicapped series as well as osmium carbonyl clusters produced up to $\mathrm{n}=12$ are presented in Table7.

## Detrmining a required hypothetical cluster formular and the simple algebra of clusters

Apart from generating members of the clusters series using generating functions such as those shown above, it is possible to create a simple formula to produce a required or targeted cluster carbonyl complex. Let us consider the following hypothetical examples.Let us take $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ complex as a starting material on which to build other carbonyl clusters. Let us further visualize adding 57 more fragments of the formula $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$. The final cluster will have a molecular formula obtained by the following simple relationship $\mathrm{F}=$ $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}+57\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]=\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}+\mathrm{Os}_{57}(\mathrm{CO})_{171}$
$=\mathrm{Os}_{60}(\mathrm{CO})_{183}$. The classification of this cluster can readily be identified using the 14 n Rule ${ }^{18}$ as follows, $n=60,14 n=14 \times 60=840, V=8 \times 60+183 \times 2=846$. Hence, the cluster belongs to $S=14 n+6$ (ARACHNO) Series. Clearly this is a form of an arithmetical series $F=a_{0}+(n-1) d$ where $a_{0}$ $=\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}, \mathrm{n}=58, \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ fragment. Thus, $\mathrm{F}=$ $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}+(58-1)\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]=\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}+57$
$\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]=\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}+\mathrm{Os}_{57}(\mathrm{CO})_{171}=\mathrm{Os}_{60}(\mathrm{CO})_{183}$ as derived earlier. Let us categorize the starting simple cluster, $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} ; \mathrm{n}=3,14 \mathrm{n}=14 \times 3=42, \mathrm{~V}=$ $8 \times 3+12 \times 2=48$. Hence, the cluster belongs to $S=14 n+6=V=48$. It is therefore a member of Arachno Series. From Table3, the fragments can be categorized into series. Therefore, $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}, \mathrm{n}=$ 1 (one skeletal element), $14 \mathrm{n}=14(1)=14, V=8+3 \times 2$

Table 7: Generating Functions of Selected Cluster Series


## MONO CP BICP <br> $S=14 n \quad 14 n+2$

| $\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow$ <br> $\mathbf{O S}$ | 3 n <br> $\mathbf{C O}$ | 3n-1 <br> Formula | $\mathbf{C O}$ | Formula |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3 | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ |
| 2 | 6 | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ | 5 | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ |
| 3 | 9 | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ | 8 | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ |
| 4 | 12 | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ | 11 | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}$ |
| 5 | 15 | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{15}$ | 14 | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}$ |
| 6 | 18 | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ | 17 | $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ |
| 7 | 21 | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{21}$ | 20 | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{20}$ |
| 8 | 24 | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{24}$ | 23 | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{23}$ |
| 9 | 27 | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{27}$ | 26 | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{26}$ |
| 10 | 30 | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{30}$ | 29 | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{29}$ |
| 11 | 33 | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{33}$ | 32 | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{32}$ |
| 12 | 36 | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{36}$ | 35 | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{35}$ |

$=14$. Hence, $S=14 n=14=V$. Thus, the fragment is a member of $S=14 n$ Monocapseries. Thus, $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ fragment is behaving like a 'BEAD' being utilized to create a long CHAIN or STRING of beads of clusters of the same series, in this case the ARACHNO series.Let us analyze this cluster growth in more details in terms of the following equations shown in Scheme 1.

## The growth of clusters

A close analysis of Table 3 shows that there are two principal fragments responsible for producing osmium carbonyl clusters namely $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n+0)$ and $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 n-2)$. The repeated addition of $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n+0)$ fragment produces an increase in cluster size)length without change in the type of series. This is illustrated and summarized in the example shown in Scheme 1. On the other hand, the addition of $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 n-2)$ fragment


Scheme 1. Process of generating the same series
introduces a cap to the chemical system in question. When a molecule being extended is a member of series below the closo series, the capping goes on till a closo cluster has been attained. After that the capping becomes centered on that closo unit. This is illustrated in Scheme 2 where the capping is based on a trigonalbipyramid unit. As it can clearly be seen, there is no change in the type of series as it starts with $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}(\mathrm{~S}=14 \mathrm{n}+6)$ and ends with $\mathrm{Os}_{60}(\mathrm{CO})_{183}(\mathrm{~S}=14 \mathrm{n}+6)$ for the successive addition of $57 \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n+0)$ fragments. Let us compare the above hypothetical example with a similar one but this time using the transforming fragment $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 n-2)$. The final cluster formed will be given by $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}+57\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]=$ $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}+\mathrm{Os}_{57}(\mathrm{CO})_{114}=\mathrm{Os}_{60}(\mathrm{CO})_{126}$. This cluster can be categorized as follows, $n=60,14 n=14 \times 60$ $=840, V=732, S=14 n-108$. This can be written as $S=14 n+54(-2)$. Hence the capping notation by this method derived from this series is given by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ $=\mathrm{C}^{1}+\mathrm{C}^{54}=\mathrm{C}^{55} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-5]$. This symbol implies the $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ starting simple carbonyl cluster was capped 57 times but 2 of those copings were utilized to create a Closo nucleus with a trigonalbipyramid entity symbolized as [M-5]. Since the nucleus is of Closo Series its generating mapping function is $f(n)$ '! $(3 n+1)$. Hence, $[M-5]=f(5) \rightarrow(3 \times 5+1)=16$. This gives us the valence equivalent cluster of $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$. This nuclear cluster has, in principle, a trigonalbipyramid shape. In other words, $\mathrm{Os}_{60}(\mathrm{CO})_{126}$ is expected to be a huge carbonyl cluster of 60
skeletal atoms but hidden inside is another smaller cluster of a trigonalbipyramid $\left(\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$ symmetry. In Scheme 2, we can give a possible process of the formation of the giant cluster and the transformation of the cluster series. The addition of $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-$ 2) fragment decreases the code of the cluster by (2). For instance, if the molecular system belongs to $S=14 n+10$ series, it will be converted into [ $14 n+10-$ 2] $=14 n+8$. The next addition generates $14 n+6$ (hypho series) , then $14 n+4$ (nido), $14 n+2$ (closo), $14 n$ (monocap), 14n-2(bicap). 14n4 (tricap), $14 n-6$ (tetracap), and so on.In this way, the concept of cluster formation is more or less similar to that of POLYMERIZATION. An attempt to demonstrate the concept of cluster formation is illustrated in Shemes 1 and 2 as well as in Table 7.

But the fragment $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} ; \mathrm{n}=1,14 \mathrm{n}=14$, $V=8+4=12$. Hence, the fragment is a member of the Bicap Series $S=14 n-2$.As can be seen from Scheme 2, what is exciting in adding the fragment $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ is the corresponding successive decrease of the series by (-2). For instance when the $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)$ fragment is added to $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}(14 n+6)$ the product becomes $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}(14 \mathrm{n}+4) . \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}$ cluster has a tetrahedral geometry $(\mathrm{k}=6)$. Continuation of adding $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)$ fragment toOs ${ }_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}(14 \mathrm{n}+4)$ produces $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}(14 n+2)$ cluster which has a trigonalbipyramid and belongs to a Closo family. Thus, the two $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)$ fragments have


## Scheme 2. Process of generating capping series

resulted into the transformation of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ Arachno cluster into a Closo one. After these two additions, the rest of the $55 \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 n-2)$ will all be utilized for capping to generate a giant cluster $\mathrm{Os}_{60}(\mathrm{CO})_{126}$. It is quite clear that in the capping process, the $14 n$ parameter remains constant, the change involves only the interactions of the outside digits in the series codes. With this observation, we can do the arithmetical additions of the outside digits to determine the final code of the cluster. In this case, we have to consider the changes of the code additions of $(14 n+6)+57(14 n-2)$. In terms of the code
addition, this will be equal to $[14 n+6+57(-2)]=(14 n-$ 108). This is indeed the cluster series code for $\mathrm{Os}_{60}(\mathrm{CO})_{126}$ carbonyl complex. We also know that $14 n$ represents Monocapping. Any extra capping after $14 n$ is represented by $(-2)$. Hence, $-108=54(-$ 2) represents another 54 cappings. Therefore, we can translate the code $14 n-108$ to mean 1 cap from 14 n and 54 caps from (-108) giving us a total of 55 caps all together. For visual purposes we may represent a huge cluster with a smaller one inside shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4. Possible symbol to represent a cluster within a cluster

Classification of carbonyl clusters using the osmium carbonyl cluster table

The above constructed carbonyl table(Table 3) is extremely useful in categorizing a carbonyl cluster into its type of series code. That is, to deduce whether a given cluster is a member of klapo, hypho, arachno, closo, monocapped and so on. If a
given cluster comprises of osmium and CO ligands only, the deduction is simple. It is a matter of tracing the formula of that cluster and determine its location in Table 3 and hence the code of the series is just on top of the table. Let us illustrate this idea using the table with the following osmium clusters. Starting with a simple oneOs ${ }_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ and doing a little calculation

Table 8: GeneratingMonocap Series $(S=14 n)$ withOs $(C O)_{3}(14 n+0)$ Fragment

|  |  | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{15} \rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{~s}=$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+0$ | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{21} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{24} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{27} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{30} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{33} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{36} \rightarrow$ |
| 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{39} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{42} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{45} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{48} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{51} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{54} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}{ }_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{57} \rightarrow$ |  |
| 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n | 14 n |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{60} 14 \mathrm{n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9: Generating CappedSeries withOs(CO) $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}}(14 n-2)$ Fragment
OPERATOR = -2

|  |  | $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{8} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16} \rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{~s}=$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+10$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+8$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+6$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+4$ | $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{20} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{22} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{24} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{26} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{11}(\mathrm{CO})_{28} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{12}(\mathrm{CO})_{30} \rightarrow$ |
| $14 \mathrm{n}+0$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-2$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-4$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-6$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-8$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-10$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-12$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{13}(\mathrm{CO})_{32} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{14}(\mathrm{CO})_{34} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{15}(\mathrm{CO})_{36} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{16}(\mathrm{CO})_{38} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os} 17(\mathrm{CO})_{40} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{18}(\mathrm{CO})_{42} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Os}_{19}(\mathrm{CO})_{44} \rightarrow$ |
| $14 \mathrm{n}-14$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-16$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-18$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-20$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-22$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-24$ | $14 \mathrm{n}-26$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{20}(\mathrm{CO})_{46}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $14 \mathrm{n}-28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

will quickly show you where it is located. For instance, looking for its lower members in the ordinary series, by removing $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ fragment we get the following: $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}-\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}{ }^{-} \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and this places it in the ARACHNO family $(14 n+6)$. In the stripping series, the next member on the diagonal is $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{CO}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}$. In the capping series, its neighbor will be $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}+$ $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}!\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}$. Thus, with certainty, $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ is a member of Arachno series. We can also use the $14 n$ rule which is exceedingly useful for the classification of large clusters which may not be in Table 3 as follows, $n=3,14 n=14 \times 3=42$, valence electrons $V=3 \times 8+12 \times 2=48$ and series $S=14 n+6$ $=\mathrm{V}$ (Arachno). This process is summarized in Scheme 3.


Scheme 3. Location of Custer Position in Table 3

Take $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ example. We can remove $4 \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}=\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ fragments to determine the starting building block of its ordinary series. Thus, $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}{ }^{-} \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}=\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$. This places $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ in the Closo series $(14 \mathrm{n}+2)$. When there is a charge as in $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{2-}$ cluster, the two negative charges are converted into its CO equivalent. In this case, (-2) $=1 \mathrm{CO}$ and the cluster becomesOs ${ }_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$. Removal of $5 \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}=$ $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{15}$ from $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ gives is the starting unit as $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}\left(\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{{ }^{-}}\right.$) is a member of the closo series and since it has six skeletal atoms $\mathrm{Os}_{6}[\mathrm{M}-6]$, they will take up an $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}$ symmetry. If a cluster has "guest atoms"or ligands other than CO , then the valence electron content of the cluster is converted into its osmium equivalent. For instance $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{~B})(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ its valence electron value $V=3+6 x 8+3+16 x 2=86$. However the 6 Os atoms have a valence electron content given by $\mathrm{Vos}=6 x 8=48$. Hence the remaining electrons Vco $=86-48=38$ will be converted into its CO equivalent ligands as $38 / 2=19$. Hence, the osmium equivalent cluster will beOs ${ }_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}\left(\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{2-}\right)$ which is closo and has an $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}$ symmetry. Consider $\mathrm{Ir}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ cluster, $V=4 \times 9+12 \times 2=60$, $\mathrm{Vos}=4 \times 8=32$, $\mathrm{Vco}=\mathrm{V}$-Vos $=60-32=28$ and number of CO ligands $\mathrm{Nco}=\mathrm{Vco} /$
$2=28 / 2=14$. Hence, the osmium cluster equivalent is $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}$. The starting block in its ordinary series is given by $\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}{ }^{-} 3 \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}{ }^{\prime}!\mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}=\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$. This places $\mathrm{Ir}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ cluster in the Nido family $(14 n+4)$. For very large carbonyl clusters, their formulas get out of the range of the table. In that case, the 14 n rule explained in our earlier work ${ }^{18}$ is very helpful.

## Giant python clusters swallowing smaller ones

Take $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ (closooctahedtral cluster) as a starting cluster on which others can be built. If $94 \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ fragments are added to it we will generate a huge cluster of the formula $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ $+\mathrm{Os}_{94}(\mathrm{CO})_{188}=\mathrm{Os}_{100}(\mathrm{CO})_{207}$. Applying our method of classification, $14 \mathrm{n}=14 \times 100=1400, \mathrm{~V}=1214$. Hence this cluster belongs to $S=14 n-186$ series. The series may be written as $S=14 n+93(-2)$. This has a capping given by the symbol $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{C}^{1}+\mathrm{C}^{93}=$ $\mathrm{C}^{94} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-6]$. This symbol means that this will be a huge cluster with an [M-6] cluster embedded inside other 94 skeletal atoms. The [M-6] notation is a symbol to represent an octahedral nuclear cluster enclosed in the giant cluster of total 100 skeletal atoms. The cluster series code can also be derived from $S=[(14 n+2)+94(-2)]=[14 n+2-188]=(14 n-186)$ as obtained above. All the fragments have been utilized for capping purposes since the starting cluster is already a closo member.


Figure 5. The procosed remesertation ofa huge cluster whth a hundred atoms
The symbol [M-6] represents a closo cluster equivalent to $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ or $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2-}$. The cluster $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ has attracted a lot of attention ${ }^{19-20}$ probably due to its having the same number of CO ligandsas $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{2-}$ with the exception that it does not carry the two negative charges. Its shape is usually described as a monocapped cluster with respect to a trigonalbipyramid or a bicapped cluster with respect to a tetrahedral geometry. If we look at it in terms of series(see Table 3), we find that it is simply a member of mono-capped series (14n).This series
is a unique one as 14 times the number of skeletal atoms gives a numerical result exactly the same as the number of valence electrons of the cluster. It may regarded as a hexa-mer of $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n)$ fragment. We can also write the series as $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n+0)$. Since the digit after $14 n$ is 0 , the various combinations of the same fragment will generate the same series. Let us generate some of its members up to $\mathrm{n}=10$ to enable us have a feel of this type of series: $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{15} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{15} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{21} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{24} \rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{27} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{30}$. It is also interesting to note that the ratio of $n$ to number of $\mathrm{CO} s$ is $1: 3$. Hence, the series can be expressed as $\mathrm{Os}_{n}(\mathrm{CO})_{3 n}=\left\{\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$. This process is similar to the polymerizationencountered in organic chemistry involving fragments such as $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ '!n $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n}$. Since capping usually refers to a capping on a closo system, in case of $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ cluster, it implies capping will be on $\mathrm{M}-5$ closo system which will be a trigonalbipyramid, $\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}$ which is a member of its capping series. Indeed if we add upthe two chemical species $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{5}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}\right.$ $(14 n+2)+\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)=\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}[14 \mathrm{n}+2+(-$ $\left.2)]=O s_{6}(C O)_{18}(14 n+0)=\mathrm{Os}_{6}(C O)_{18}(14 n)\right]$ we generate the mono-capped cluster. We have seen 10 members of the 14 n series in which $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ belongs. Such members are generated using $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 \mathrm{n})$ fragment. We can also generate some members of its capping series by adding $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)$ fragments. These are already given in Table 7 in the bicapped series column.

## The Capping Symbol, $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{C}$

The desire to understand clusters more stimulated the need to create a symbol to express capping. Consider the following osmium clusters, $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}{ }^{2-}\left(\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19} \quad\right.$ octahedral, closo)'! $\mathrm{Os}_{7}(\mathrm{CO})_{21}$ (Monocapped octahedral, closo) $\rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{22}{ }^{2-}\left(\mathrm{Os}_{8}(\mathrm{CO})_{23}\right.$ ) (Bicapped octahedral, closo) $\rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{24}{ }^{2^{-}}\left(\mathrm{Os}_{9}(\mathrm{CO})_{25}\right)$ (Tricapped octahedral, closo) $\rightarrow \mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{26}{ }^{2-}\left(\mathrm{Os}_{10}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{27}\right)$ (Tetracapped octahedral, closo).The respective series are, $(14 n+2)$, $(14 n+0),(14 n-2)$, ( $14 n-4$ ), and ( $14 n-6$ ). After pondering over these series, it was deemed a good idea to use, $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ to represent mono-capped geometry. Hence, (14n) ${ }^{\prime}!C^{1},(14 n-2)=[14 n+1(-2)]$. Since the capping starts at $14 n$, then any additional multiple of (-2) corresponds to an additional capping. Therefore,
$[14 n+1(-2)]^{\prime}!C^{1}+C^{1}=C^{2}$. This simply means, the $(14 n-2)$ series is a Bicaped series ( $C^{2}$ ). Since these clusters are closo systems, the symbol becomes $\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{C}$. In this particular set of clusters, the capping is based on an octahedralcloso geometry of six skeletal elements. For further refinement of the introduced symbol of closo six skeletal elements, the symbol [M-6] was added. Thus, Mono-caaped symmetry based on octahedral is represented by $C^{1} C[M-6]$ from ( $14 n+0$ ) series, Bicapped $C^{2} C[M-6]$ from $[14 n+1(-2)]=(14 n-2)$ series, TricappedC ${ }^{3} C[M-$ $6]$ from $(14 n-4)=[14 n+2(-2)]$ series $!C^{1}+C^{2}=C^{3} C[M-$ 6 ] , and so on. It is also proposed that a symbol (Mn) could represent capping other than that based on non-closo system. For instance in the case of $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ we could refer to it as monocapped based on [M-5](closo) or bi-capped based on (M4)(nido). The nido mapping generating function is given by $F(n) \quad!3 n+2$. For $(4)=3 \times 4+2=14$. Hence, $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ is bicapped $\mathrm{onOs}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}$ cluster. The symbol [M-6] has been introduced to represent an octahedral clososystem in this case. We also know that the Generating function of a closo system is given by $F(n)=3 n+1$. This means that for $n=6$, $3 n+1=3 \times 6+1=19$ giving us the osmium cluster $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$. Therefore, $[\mathrm{M}-6]$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ cluster that has valence electron content of 86 for an octahedral geometry. Using the $14 n$ rule or Table 3 above, the cluster $\mathrm{Os}_{10}(\mathrm{CO})_{26}{ }^{{ }^{-}}$can be shown to belong to the series $S=14 n-6=$ $[14 n+3(-2)]=C^{1}+C^{3}=C^{4} C[M-6]$. If the series type of a cluster is determined, then the type of capping (Cp) can be deduced. Using this approach, the capping systems of small to medium to large clusters have readily been derived with amazing results. Consider the following clusters, $\mathrm{Ru}_{8} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}{ }^{2}$; $\mathrm{n}=$ $9,14 n=14 \times 9=126, V=114, S=14 n-12=14 n+6(-$ 2). Hence the capping will be given by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{C}^{1}+\mathrm{C}^{6}=$ $\mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-2]$. This symbol means that 7 skeletal atoms will be involved in capping while the remaining two will form the closo nucleus. Following the same method, $\mathrm{Os}_{17}(\mathrm{CO})_{36}{ }^{2}(\mathrm{~S}=14 \mathrm{n}-28)$ will have a capping given by $_{p}=\mathrm{C}^{1}+\mathrm{C}^{14}=\mathrm{C}^{15} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-2]$. In this case as well the nucleus will have 2 skeletal atoms while the remaining 15 will be capping the cluster the tiny closo nucleus. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Ni}_{38} \mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{48}{ }^{6}$; $\mathrm{n}=44,14 \mathrm{n}=44 \times 14=616, \mathrm{~V}=542, \mathrm{~S}=14 \mathrm{n}-74$, $[14 n+37(-2)], C_{p}=C^{1}+C^{37}=C^{38} C[M-6]$. With this code notation derived from series, means that the skeletal cluster of 44 atoms has six of them with a
closo octahedral symmetry surrounded by 38 capping atoms. This fascinating result predicted from series is what is observed ${ }^{21}$. Furthermore, the octahedral inner core comprises of platinum atoms only ${ }^{21}$. With series knowledge we have so far, it can it can be shown the symbol $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{C}^{1}+\mathrm{C}^{37}=\mathrm{C}^{38} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}-$ 6] represents the valence electron content of the cluster as follows: $[\mathrm{M}-6]=\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}$ as already proved, $\mathrm{C}^{38}=38\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]=\mathrm{Os}_{38}(\mathrm{CO})_{76}$. Therefore the cluster formula equivalent is given by $\mathrm{F}=$ $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{19}+\mathrm{Os}_{38}(\mathrm{CO})_{76}=\mathrm{Os}_{44}(\mathrm{CO})_{95}$. The valence electrons of this cluster $=44 \times 8+95 \times 2=542$ as calculated forNi ${ }_{38} \mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{48}{ }^{6-}$ complex. We can represent this giant cluster of six platinum closo octahedral nucleus by a ketch shown in Figure 6. Using series method, the cluster $\mathrm{Pd}_{23}(\mathrm{CO})_{22} \mathrm{~L}_{10}$ where $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$, has a capping symbol $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{C}^{15} \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{M}$ 8] and $\mathrm{Au}_{6} \mathrm{Ni}_{32}(\mathrm{CO})_{44}{ }^{6-}$ also has a capping symbol $C_{p}=C^{27} C[M-11]$. Clearly, the sizes of skeletal closonulearatoms varieswidely. In these few examples we have seen the range $[\mathrm{M}-2]$ to $[\mathrm{M}-11]$. This reminds us of the notorious African pythons which can ambush, grab and swallow animals as small as rabbits and as large as antelopes. It does appear that these huge carbonyl clusters portray an image of pythons.


Fig. 6: Symbolic Representation of $\mathrm{Ni}_{38} \mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{48}{ }^{6-}$ cluster

## The algebra of series

A closer scrutiny and study of the series in Table 3 clearly shows that the clusters series can easily be added and subtracted. This may have some implications on future synthetic work of carbonyl clusters. Let us look at the following illustrations based on the Table. As we have observed, the transition metal carbonyl series are based on 14 n baseline. Therefore the digits after
the 14 n are the deciding factor on the type of product series that is theoretically expected to be formed. This means the digits can be added or subtracted
using similar concepts found in algebra. This is illustrated by a few examples given in Table1O.

Table 10: Selected Examples Illustrating Additionand Subtraction of Series

| Cluster |  |  | Operation | Cluster |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Cluster product

## Isolobal concept

Table 3 also demonstrated that individual atoms, molecules and chemical fragments can be classified into series based on $14 n$ or $4 n$ rules.In order to greatly appreciate Hoffmann's extremely important isolobal concept ${ }^{12,22}$, it is equally important to briefly provide some highlights on how series are derived for re-emphasis as this is a new approach ${ }^{13,14,18 \text {. }}$. This summarized in Scheme 4 below.


The classification is based on 4 n rule for the main group elements which obey the octet rule and 14 n rule for transition metal atoms which obey
the 18 electron rule.If the value of $4 n$ is smaller than the valence value V of the atom, molecule or fragment in question, then the difference $d$ between 4 n and is added to 'top up' in order to be equal to the value of V . Then the series of the chemical species in question will be given by $S=4 n+d=V$ for main group elements and $S=14 n+d$ for transition metal carbonyl clusters. However, if $4 n$ is greater than V then the difference between 4 n and V must be subtracted in order to be equal to the value of the valence electrons V and hence the series will be given by $S=4 n-d=V$ for main group elements and $S=14 n-d$ for the transition metal carbonyl clusters. The borderline case occurs when $4 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V}$ (main group) or $14 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V}$ (transition metal). This is where capping begins in clusters( refer to Scheme 4). For $S=14 n+d$, these are series which are below mono-capped series such as closo, nido and arachno. For the series 4 n -d or $14 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}$, all these will be capping series beginning with $(14 n+0)$. Let us illustrate how the series of fragments are deduced. Consider, the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ molecule discussed earlier, $\mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8$ and $\mathrm{V}=8$. Hence, $\mathrm{S}=4 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{n}+0$. For the monoatomic carbon, $C$, also $S=4 n$. However, for the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}=2,4 \mathrm{n}=8, \mathrm{~V}=10$ and so S
$=4 \mathrm{n}+2=\mathrm{V}$, when the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is fragmented into 2 CH units each unit carries 5 electrons. Thus, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~V}=$ $10, S=4 n+2, n=2) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{V}=5, \mathrm{~S}=4 \mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{n}=1)$. What has happened in terms of series, when the molecule is fragmented, the baseline, $4 n$ or $14 n$ does not change except the digit after it. In short, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~S}=4 \mathrm{n}+2) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{~S}=4 \mathrm{n}+1)$. This in line with the algebra of series concept discussed above. Alternatively, the series of the fragment can be deduced by saying for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{n}=1,4 \mathrm{n}=4$ and $\mathrm{V}=5$ and hence $S=4 n+1$. Likewise, forC ${ }_{2} H_{4}(S=4 n+4$, $n$ $=2, \mathrm{~V}=12) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{~S}=4 \mathrm{n}+2, \mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{~V}=6)$. For ethane, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~S}=14 \mathrm{n}+6) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{~S}=4 \mathrm{n}+3)$. For transition metal elements, 14 n rule is used. The

Table 11.Categorization of ChemicalFragments into Series

| Fragment |  |  |  | Categorization |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Os |  |  |  |  | 14n-6 |
| Os(CO) |  |  |  |  | $14 \mathrm{n}-4$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $14 \mathrm{n}-2$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ |  |  |  |  | 14 n |
| $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ |  |  |  |  | $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ |  |  |  |  | $14 \mathrm{n}+4$ |
| Fragment | n |  | 4n | V | Classification |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1 |  | 4 | 7 | $4 \mathrm{n}+3$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 1 |  | 4 | 7 | $4 \mathrm{n}+3$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 |  | 4 | 6 | $4 \mathrm{n}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | 1 |  | 4 | 5 | $4 \mathrm{n}+1$ |
| CH | 1 |  | 4 | 5 | $4 n+1$ |
| Fragment |  | $n$ | $14 n$ | V | Classification |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 17 | $14 \mathrm{n}+3$ |
| $\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 17 | $14 n+3$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 17 | $14 \mathrm{n}+3$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{5}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 17 | $14 \mathrm{n}+3$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 16 | $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 16 | $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 16 | $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})$ |  | 1 | 14 | 16 | $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}^{-}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 16 | $14 \mathrm{n}+2$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 15 | $14 \mathrm{n}+1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ |  | 1 | 14 | 15 | $14 n+1$ |

series for selected fragments from the main group elements and transition metals have been worked out and are presented in Table 11. This includes some fragments derived from Table 3. The table also puts more emphasis on the method of classifying molecules and fragments.

When we scrutinize Table 11, the fragments with the same (d) value as defined in Scheme 4 will be isolobal. Accordingly, for $(\mathrm{d}=3)$, the following fragments are isolobal, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$, $\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}, \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5,}$ andMn( $\left.\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{5},(\mathrm{~d}=2), \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}, \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}, \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO}) 4, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO}), \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}{ }^{-}$, (d $=1), \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$. Clearly, this approach of applying the series to classify chemical fragments, is extremely useful in understanding and explaining the isolobal concept.

## CONCLUSION

As can be deduced from the Table 3, there are three main types of cluster series. The first typeis the one which we may call the ORDINARY series and this includes the familiar ones such as Arachno, Nido and Closo. In this type of series the cluster type remains constant and valence electrons change by 14 units as the chain size or length increases. These utilize the $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(14 n+0)$ FRAGMENT. Then there are the CAPPING series. These involve the use of $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(14 \mathrm{n}-2)$ fragment as the chain length increases, the cluster type varies by 12 electron units. Finally there are the STRIPPING series in which CO ligands are being removed. The cluster type varies but the number of skeletal elements constant. But the valence electrons change by 2 . Using the14n and 4 n rules, shows that there is close relationship between the transition metal carbonyl clusters and the corresponding ones of the main group elements especially the boranes. Many carbonyl clusters have a tendency of forming clusters centered around nuclear closo systems. The earlier work by Wade, Mingos with a bearing on series and Hoffmann on isolobal concept all based on Molecular Orbital Theory go along way in providing vital the pillars in supportof the validity of the 14 n and 4 n rules in explaining the carbonyl clusters, boranes, heteroboranes, Zintyl ion clusters, and the isolobal concept. Furthermore, the 18 electron rule and the octet (8) rule give a strong and firm foundation of the $14 n$ and $4 n$ rules in analyzing clusters.
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